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**EPROM PROGRAMMERS**

**Stand-Alone Gang Programmer**

$750.00

- Completely stand-alone on PC driven
- Programs EEPRoms
- 1 Megabit of EPROM
- User upgradable to 32 Megabit
- 3.3V 7805 socket, RS-232
- Parallel in and Out
- 32K internal Flash EPROM for easy firmware upgrade
- Olive Point Asembler (OPLA) 1.5 sec, 1 Megabit in 17 sec.
- 2 year warranty
- Made in U.S.A.
- Technical support by phone
- Complete manual and schematic
- Single Socket Programmer also available $500.00
- Split and Shuffles 16-28 bit
- 10 User Definable Macros, 10 User Definable Configurations
- Intelligent identifier
- Binary, Intel Hex, and Motorola S

**Internal Programmer for PC**

$139.95

New Intelligent Assembling Algorithm. Programmes 64K in 10 sec., 256 in 1 min., 1 Meg (272001) in 2 min. 45 sec.,

2 Meg (272001) in 5 min. Internal card with external 40 pin ZIF.

- Reads, verifies, and programs 2716, 32, 32A, 64, 44A, 128, 128A, 256, 512, 1024, 2048, 4096, 8192.
- 272201, MCM 66764, 2532
- Automatically sets programming voltage.
- Lock and save buffer to disk
- Binary, Intel Hex, and Motorola S formats
- Upgradable in 32 Meg EPROMs
- We personally modularize required
- 1 year warranty = 10 day money back guarantee
- Adapters available for 8748, 8149, 701, 702, 55, 73774, 27210, 571024, and memory cards.
- Made in U.S.A.

Call for more information

(916) 924-8037

FAX (916) 972-9960

---

**SAGE MICROSYSTEMS EAST**

Selling and Supporting the Best in 8-Bit Software

Z3PLUS or NZCOM (now only $49 each)

XBios for SB180 ($50)

ZMATE text editor ($50)

BDS C for Z-system (only $60)

DSD: Dynamic Screen Debugger ($50)

PCED: ARUNZ and LSH for MSDOS ($50)

ZMAC macro-assersembler ($50, $70 with printed manual)

Order by phone, mail, or modem and use Check, VISA, or MasterCard.

Z-System public domain software by mail.

Regular Subscription Service

Z3COM Package of over 1.5 MB of COM files

ZHELP Package with over 1.3 MB of online documentation

Z-SUS Programmers Pack, 8 disks full

Z-SUS Word Processing Toolkit

And More!

For catalog on disk, send $2.00 ($4.00 outside North America) and your computer format to:

**Sage Microsystems East**
1435 Centre Street
Newton Centre MA 02159-2469

(617) 965-3552 (voice 9 to 11AM)

(617) 965-7259 (pvt=DDT)

(MABOS on PC-Pursuit)

---

**NEEDHAM'S ELECTRONICS**

4526 Orange Grove Ave., Sacramento, CA 95841

Fax: (916) 924-8037

---
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Welcome to the holidays. We don’t have any special seasonal articles for you in this issue. However I did get a welcome gift as I was finishing up the magazine. Dave Thompson the owner of MicroCornucopia sent me all his Kaypro disk. Now TCJ is ready to sell you those Kaypro disk that you have been waiting to get. I am printing half the list in this issue, with the second part next time. That list is on the last few pages of the magazine.

Our writers have been busy as usual producing some great material for you. We have Jay Sage continuing his discussion on Language Independence. Tim McDonough is back with a report on microprocessor development tools. Rick Rodman comments on Minix and UZI (a Z80 UNIX?). We start out Kaypro support with Mr. Kaypro by Charles Stafford. Herbert Johnson explains how to get started bringing up an S-100 system.

We have two special articles for you, one to bring back those fundamentals of electronics, and one on multitasking in Forth. Clem Pepper show you a great use for “C”, by explaining and giving you the source code for a simple program to calculate the resistors and capacitors needed for timers and monostable oscillators.

Brad Rodriguez tells us all and more about the Forth multitasker. This in depth study should answer questions about Forth’s multitasker, as well as giving examples and alternatives to the way F83 works now.

Doing Business

I have been working very hard to get TCJ’s production problems under control. The subscription problems however continue. It is becoming apparent that a new mailing label program is needed. I have been using the old program, but far too many items are not handled correctly. For the reader this means more time until mailing related problems go away. Please bear with me as I try and correct this problem.

When phoning TCJ please remember that my office hours are 9 to 11 PM week nights in California. My employer has dropped the alternate Fridays off; so I no longer can make daytime phone calls except on weekends. This makes doing business very difficult, but there are no alternatives at the present.

I will not be teaching next year and so two more nights a week will be available to catch up on mail. Currently I only answer mail and phone messages by letter between issue preparations. It goes something like this: edit stories non-stop; page set the stories in a rush, do a panic collate the material into a magazine (ignoring errors in hopes of being only a week or two late and not two months behind); take to printer quickly; update last months worth of phone calls and subscription updates; print labels before printer has issues ready (takes 3 hours to print if no errors happen); get issues back and put labels on over two very long nights; take time off from work to mail out the issues; relax for one day; start contacting writers; do mail; start again.

To add to all this frantic chaos, I must dig into my own pocket each issue to cover expenses beyond subscription returns. I have calculated cost at $2.75 each to mail in the US. So far renewals and new subscriptions have not been keeping pace with expenses (about 30% short). The results of this will be subscription increases next year, about a dollar per issue for now. This is far short of what some have suggested I charge ($50 a year), but well within what others are charging for like publications. As always I am open to suggestions and alternative proposals.

Till next issue, read, enjoy, and be merry, Bill Kihler.
Dear Mr. Kibler

I just received the extra "free" copy of TCJ, and was fairly pleased at the changes discussed.

My perspective on things:

I am one of those that has been looking for a good alternative to the Byte/Creative Computing of yesteryear. Today's Byte is not much more than PC Magazine with a few features on bigger personal computer systems.

Dr. Dobbs Journal often has interesting material, but has headed very much into software engineering, which may be useful, but isn't much fun.

I saw one issue of MicroCornucopia, which seemed to be just about perfect in having coverage of various levels of "hobby computing." Even the articles that I didn't have any thoughts on implementing were highly interesting. They even had some people who could actually write, and an editor with a good sense of humor. I understand that that issue may have been the last one, alas!

Then I heard about TCJ, which was a little more hardware oriented than I am, and was foremost a CP/M and Z-System journal. But I heard that they were heading towards more support of Forth, and tried to do various sorts of coverage. Sounded pretty neat.

After a year, it seemed to be a little too oriented towards CP/M stuff, in which I have relatively little interest. Issue #56 seems to be better oriented, from my perspective.

I'll just quickly describe my computer system:
- Atari Mega STe, 4MB RAM
- SCSI Hard drive (85MB, mostly full!)
- Using GNU things like GCC (GNU C), and perl (Practical Extraction and Reporting Language OR Pathologically Eclectic Rubbish Lister ...Probably worthy of an article in TCJ.)
- Multitasking system called MiNT (MiNT is Not TOS)

People that want to do UNIX-like stuff on the ST should check out MiNT (I can send out copies - it uses the GNU Cypyleft license, and is free!). It tends to crash quite a bit on me, which is partly a function of having a lot of system utilities that "break OS rules" and a function of working on fairly complex code that crashes far too much...It would work better with an MMU, I'm sure.

It's probably a more viable system than MINIX, particularly since it actually has some Atari support, and extensive developer support on Usenet.
- The LATEX typesetting system. Its fundamental purpose is to do "nasty math," like:

  (editor comment:....It's does such a nice job of nasty display that I can't even try matching them...take my word it looked great in his letter...)

It produces VERY nice output, and is extremely portable.
- Many implementations of Forth for the Atari ST
  I know of only two version that I don't have, one being the Forth-83 for 68000, and the other being some f-83 commercial variant called MutliForth. I probably ought to do an article for TCJ on the various implementations that I do have. (Mitch Bradley's Forthmacs, The Australian FORST, H&D Forth, 4xForth) each certainly has advantages and disadvantages. 3 of these are commercial, so that people might be quite pleased if they got reviewed. (There would be some kind words for each one of the bunch.)

My current "big project" is some numerical analysis work, solving some fairly large scale optimization problems. More specifically, I am nearing completion of a Master's thesis on the topic: "Using Interior Point Methods to Solve the Multicommodity Network Flow Problem." When I'm not writing letters, I'm debugging code for some combination of linear programs and network flows.

One thing that is notable about all this stuff is that unlike the 8 bit users, I don't have any important applications that are NOT memory intensive. There isn't even one program (of importance) that takes less than 64K of RAM. The various compilers vary fairly wildly in memory consumption - GCC would not work when I had only 2MB, and I think it would be happier if I had more memory. Perl dynamically generates hash tables to build "association lists," and may take up a whole lot of RAM, or (relatively!) little if there's only a little data.

Something that I would like to see/get is some sort of small single-board computer that could act as an experimental controller or perhaps as a home-control coprocessor within my computer system. I've got this HUGE case in which my hard drive is mounted. There's power to spare, cables, and quite a lot of space. Every time I look around, I see another
different single board computer that wants to be used.

At this time, money is certainly a major restriction for me. The question is: Should I find some 8031/51 Kit, find some way of getting some old Z80 design to run, or maybe pick up one of those New Micros "Single Chip Computers?" There's no old code for me to support - I can go for something new without breaking anything. What would be nicest would be to have something running Forth, with an extra serial line to tether to either the VT100 or the ST. I'd like to see an article discussing some of the pros and cons of different small controllers.

I hope that this information is useful, and that it can help (to some extent) to guide where things go at TCJ. I'd certainly be willing and able to write an article or two, within the areas that I know. I've mentioned a couple of my ideas - if you have any thoughts, I wouldn't mind hearing them!

Yours truly, Christopher Browne, University of Ottawa

Well Mr. Browne:

I was unable to print your letter last issue, but your letter only seems to get better with time. Since you sent it, I have been working on finding reasons and applications for classic systems. Filling your slot in the disk cabinet would be a great place for an AMPRO or YASBEC systems. You might consider using it for a print spooler as the LATEX output looks like it might require lots of printer function commands.

However I might also recommend the Motorola trainers and sample boards. They were selling the 68HC16 demo board for $168. Not only do you get a full system, but they give you TONS of literature about the chip and support software (includes PCDOS based assembler and debuggers).

Being an Atari ST owner I know of their great cost/usage ratio. I have MINIX for the ST and have found it lacking in some areas. Your MiNT sounds like something I would like to try, or better yet have you tell us all about it. I guess my main question is source code, does it come with it all?

You are also correct in that TCJ readers are interested in more than CP/M. I am finding out that some of our information is not available in any other magazine. That is one reason I keep saying we are and will continue to be the only magazine supporting classic systems. I am sure you have found out that most magazine are treating the Atari ST as a classsic system as well. So if you want to do that article about Forth systems on ST's, we are ready and waiting. Thanks for all the comments. BDK.

October 5, 1992

Dear Bill:

I picked up the TCJ survey from GENIE yesterday and will answer by mail.

The first issue of TCJ under your leadership came the other day. I confess to a fair degree of disappointment in it. Having subscribed to the Journal since about issue 37, I have a great appreciation for what it has contributed to my knowledge and skill as a programmer in Z80. (My contributions to the Z-System community include ZDB, ZDT, ZBIB, and IOPZXR). I also enjoy other articles (although I am not at all into FORTH). My disappointment has more to do with the quality of the writing and editing than with the content. Your "Next Ten Years" on page 5 of issue #56 abounds with typos, grammatical and punctuation errors, and awkward, confused, and incomplete sentences. Maybe this does not matter to engineer types -- which I am not -- but a technical journal ought to be clear and accurate. I have some background as a writer, having written and reviewed for the late and lamented PROFILES and MicroCurncupia.

Now to answer the questions in your survey:

1) Why do I read TCJ? My main interest is in Z-Systems, ZCPRI, Z80 stuff, although I generally read everything else.

I never miss Jay Sage's column. I miss terribly Bridger Mitchell's great contributions. Al Hawley and Terry Hazen are electronic friends whose articles are always helpful. 2) What do I want from TCJ? See #1. 3) How much equipment do I have? Kaypro II/83, modified with TurboRomm, 42 Meg hardrive, modem, printer, Macintosh. I also use MS-DOS stuff at work. 4) Would I still subscribe to TCJ at $50/yr? $100/yr? Price of subscription will have very little bearing on my decision to renew when the time comes. Content of interest to me and quality and clarity of writing will determine. 5) Is there another magazine's format you like better? No comment. 6) What do I want? Quality articles -- in some cases longer may be better to cover subject adequately. I like regular writers who have something to say. I lean more toward software than hardware topics. Advanced and beginner topics are both appreciated. I was once a woeful beginner.

7) What do I hate most about TCJ? I think I have already covered this question except for one thing. I really hate the blue cover.

Bill, I know I've been fairly critical. I do want TCJ to succeed, and I'd be happy to help out in some way as a copy editor or consultant if that fits into your needs.

All the best to you and TCJ.

Joseph I. Mortensen, Midland, MI.

Thanks for your sincere comments Joseph.

It seems my panic in putting #56 together caused me more errors than I thought. I am trying to cut them down, but must confess it will take several issues and freeing up of time to correct the problems. I have asked my contributing editors to act as reviewers of articles, but alas they too are very busy. In the near future I may call on you to review articles, or better yet help in getting them.
and making sure they fully explain the topic for all levels of our readers.

We will be raising our rates after the first of the year. Mostly to offset and correct foreign mailing rates, but also to try and get some extra money so our writer might get some compensation for their hard work. As to your favorite writers, I only hope they see this and contact you to learn what you might like to see them comment and report on. I have asked all our past and present writers for articles, but it is up to them and not me as to when I have something of their's to publish.

You might consider writing something about your Z-System programs and using Z-products. Many of our readers are real beginners to CP/M and ZCPR and I am sure would love to hear about your early beginner projects. As always, I am grateful you took the time to respond to my survey. Thanks for your response and support of the Z-community. BDK.

Sept. 24, 1992

Dear Bill,

I have recently introduced a few people to Z-system over here, at the same time I have sent details of TCJ to them. Some of them have expressed an interest in TCJ but do not want to subscribe without seeing what it is like for themselves, so far away from me to see one of my copies. I am enclosing a list of Z-system users and interested people, you might like to send them an introductory copy.

I have all TCJ from number 25 up to date and have recently ordered all the available back issues.

I own a SB180FX with ETS board and unused GT180 graphics board which I was hoping to use as a terminal but it is beyond me to get it sorted. I have the denied Turbo Module 2 with graphics toolbox, but it needs some software to get the lot together. Has anybody used this board? I have had no mention of it since it was introduced in Byte several years ago. I also have an Amstrad PCW8512 which runs Z3plus and doubles as a terminal for the SB180FX.

Keep up the good work with TCJ as without it I would have given up computers long ago or worse still use and IBM clone.

Your Sincerely, Mark Minting, Suffolk, England.

Thanks for the names Mark.

I sent all the people on your list a trial subscription. They should have gotten issue #57 by now, but knowing that the surface mail forever takes to get to Europe they could still be in shipment. I have decided to reprint (photo copy) back issues as sets, so you could get bound sets and fill in the gaps if you have any.

It seems that CP/M is alive and well in England. I recently received a letter from a person still making and selling CP/M systems. The Amstrad appears to be very popular as well (something like 500,000 strong). As to your graphics board I can only hope someone reads this and send us the articles you need. The idea of using classic systems for terminals is not new, but certainly a great use. Maybe I need to find some articles about turning Kaypros into X-Terminals or ANSI compatible (MSDOS ANSISYS) terminals. With all the increase interest in UNIX, classic systems as terminals might be very cost effective.

Thanks again for the list of possible users, and keep up the good work in spreading TCJ's name around Europe. BDK.

Sept. 23, 1992

Dear Bill

I am enclosing my check for $20.00. This is in part a donation to support TCJ and for issue 56 which I have not received. Please send me a copy of issue #56. I don't understand the post office not forwarding TCJ since they forwarded everything else. Any way I don't want to miss an issue.

I have been an avid reader of TCJ since I met Art Carlson at the SOG in Bend many years ago. I started subscribing with issue 16, and have perused every issue since. The mix of articles has been great. I like both hardware and software subjects. Al Hawley's series on assembly language have been great. Jay Sage's have been near the top of my list.

What I have liked especially about TCJ is that in addition to articles I can understand there are articles that stimulate my thought and interest. TCJ is essentially the only serious journal that is keeping alive CP/M, and 8 bit computing. Your idea of responding to the novice as well as advanced hobbyist is great. Please keep up the good work.

Yours sincerely, A. A. Straumfjord, Camp Sherman, Oregon.

P.S. I personally would find TCJ worth $50 or $100/yr but I fear many subscribers could not afford that.

Thanks for the extra money Al.

The last few months have been a big money drain for me personally. TCJ hasn't paid it's own way for a long time. I'll put some of your donation toward the increase in rates coming up next year. I have figured out it cost me about $2.75 to get an issue to you. So our problems with the post office was just too much. I tried sending make up issues out, but discovered I had spent almost an extra $100 doing that. I have had a talk with the post office and am sending TCJ with a minor change which should eliminate (or at least cut down) on incorrectly handled issues.

Well if you met Art at a SOG, you most likely saw me as well. I went to all the SOGs but the first one. I also helped Art man a table more than once. I really miss having SOG like meetings, so we are starting to consider having one in Sacramento next summer. Charles Stafford our Kaypro person has had several here already and wants to try and go national. With the Trenton computer
feast in New Jersey, only seems natural to have one on the west coast to match. I believe that 30% of my subscribers are from California. It may be hard getting your favorite writers out here, but we will try. I know they like seeing and hearing from their fans.

Thanks again, from Bill Kibler.

Oct. 3, 1992

Dear Bill,

I apologize for taking so long to reply to you. I'm terrible about writing letters. Articles I can whip up in a hurry, but letters...

I'm quite pleased with your new format. You probably share the kind of frustration I have felt with ASICS, since you specifically say none of those in articles. Thank you.

I have a little difficulty writing elementary level articles. The biggest problem is that no steering is possible. I often do classes in beginning LANS and in those I can adapt as I go.

There is really little difference between a stat mux and a LAN. That's usually the approach I take in trying to explain how a LAN works. I prefer not to confuse the issues of media versus access method. In effect, a multi-user computer becomes a degenerate LAN in some cases a "LAN in a can".

As far as Netbios, leave me out. Most of the bad experiences I alluded to in my articles have been from PC networks. Personally I never thought any PC ever belonged on a LAN, although the BSD for PC might finally make it. Usually I tell people to get a workstation or some other capable machine and put two interfaces in it so that all the PC's can be isolated on their own segment without causing grief for everybody.

As far as network checkers, we make use of Netwatch quite a bit. It's freely available. There are some packages that are even better, but some of them have so much information that you can't read the display from a distance. Netwatch just scrolls the header of possible packets on the screen.

By the way, do you have Internet access? It was very convenient for me to be able to email my stuff to Jay Sage.

Wayne Sung

I loved getting your hand written letter Wayne. I am a lot like you when it comes to letters and articles. That is one reason I often hand write responses (only use computer for articles not letters), as well as being so much faster and personal. Please don't feel you can't "steer" our readers like you do your classes. I know what you mean about getting students to look over material and concepts by just prodding them in the right direction. However I find the students "steering" me more often than not. It is all those funny questions that make you think they just woke up that minute in your class even though you have been looking them straight in the face during the last hour of lecture. My advice to all my writers has been to think you are teaching a class and talking to students not readers. Maybe just tape recording your class and reprinting the questions and answers would make some great articles.

Novell's Netbios has been nothing but problems again. We thought we had the problem fixed only to find it back again last month. Yes my opinion about networks is changing but I am not burned out on them yet (soon however I am sure). I think you are a little overworked like most of the writers right now. The economy has everybody doing double duty and some like me doing triple duty.

I have looked for Netwatch but yet to find it. I will keep looking. How about an article on what and why of checking network data by using Netwatch? I know my students can't wait to learn about trouble shooting LANs. On ASICS, it really is PALs, they just burn out too often for my taste. Then when they do burn out, often the whole system becomes junk when the supplier is gone and you have no replacements for the part. The official stand at TCJ is do it first in TTL chips, then show the difference or alternate PAL option. Or in short give us choices and alternatives.

Lastly I am trying to get on Internet. I have joined Compuserve to access their Internet link, and now it seems GENIE has started doing Internet as well (which I already belong to.) With so many things to get up to speed on, Internet is really a back burner option at the moment. I hope to be using it some time after the first of the year. Thanks again for all you have done for TCJ. Bill Kibler.

10-17-92

Dear Mr. Kibler,

I've just received issue #57 of TCJ, with my article "Shell Sort in Forth". My only lament is that a shaky Undo Key apparently introduced a series of characters into a line of code in screen #7. The line between BEGIN and WHILE should be

DUP GAP @ - DUP 0< NOT SWAP S@ SV @ > AND

as in screen #13. Ah well, fast computers only make for faster mistakes!

Yours truly, Walter J. Rottenkolber

Thanks for the correction Walter. Your article fit in perfectly with the introduction to Forth. Not only was I able to give our readers a good how to get started, but also a great application in the same issue. I try to get supporting articles as much as possible, but that option is based more on what our writers give me and less on what I would like to see.

Our readers will be looking forward to one of your next articles. You have mentioned doing some more applications (game of LIFE, and Interrupt handling) which I am sure will go over very well. Your idea however of showing how to debug Forth code, especially after the typo above, is probably something our readers could use now. Learning to debug what you have written is always such a big hurdle for beginners to master. Thanks for all your support of TCJ.

Bill Kibler.
The Z-System Corner

By Jay Sage

In my last column I described a new idea for allowing message text in programs to be changed easily for adaption to various languages, or even just to suit a user’s preferences. I would like to continue that theme this time as well.

First, in the process of working on the new ZFILER version 1.1, which includes the language overlay implementation, I thought of a couple of additional points. Second, Al Hawley sent me some very interesting comments, and he built on my ideas for use in the new version of BYE that he is writing. [BYE is the resident program that is used to implement what is called a “remote access system”, a computer system that can be operated by a remote user via modem or direct connection to a serial port.]

An Addition to the Module Header

In the version of the text message (i.e., Z3TXT) module I finally arrived at by the end of the last column, there were several items included in a header at the beginning of the module. First, there was an opcode of “RST 0”, which, if executed, would result in a warm boot. A file containing a Z3TXT module should never be executed, but at a cost of one byte we could protect ourself against that outside chance.

The header also contained the string of characters “Z3TXT” followed by a null (0) byte. Many Z-System modules include such identifiers. In this category are resident command packages (RCPs), flow command packages (FCPs), and environment descriptor modules (Z3ENVs). Programs, such as Bridger Mitchell’s excellent JETLDR.COM, that load these modules from files into memory can use the ID string to validate the file, that is, to make sure that it is the kind of module that the user has stated it to be. User mistakes and damaged files can thus be detected. Some modules, however, such as named directory registers (NDRs) and terminal capability descriptors (Z3Ts), do not have such ID strings; they probably should have.

The next header item I included was the name of the program for which the Z3TXT file contains message text. Loading a text overlay intended for one program into a different program would probably not produce very satisfactory results. By including the program name in the header, a loader utility — the equivalent of JETLDR — would be able to catch such an error. Even if it didn’t outright refuse to load the module, it could at least point out the possible problem to the user and ask for confirmation of the command.

The last item in the header I proposed was a three-letter language identifier. This would ensure proper identification of the language contained in an overlay module. This could help a user who could not otherwise identify the language with certainty, and it might also be of use to a loader utility.

There was one very important item that I omitted: the size of the module. If the loader cannot determine how much space has been allocated for the text module, it might end up installing a module that was too large. This would probably result in some executable code being overwritten. Again, the user would probably be quite disappointed by the results! This happened to me while I was working on ZFILER. I don’t know if Al Hawley suffered any such accidents or whether he was just smart, but his list of suggestions to me included such an item for the header. The header, thus, now stands as follows:

```
rst 0
db 'Z3TXT':0 ; null-terminated ID
   12345678 ; must be 8 characters,
db 'PRONAME' : pad with spaces
   123 ; must be 3 characters
db 'ENG' ; name of language
dw LENGTH ; length of module
```

The use of this length byte is somewhat tricky. When the program code is assembled, the messages for some language have to be included directly in the source code. In that case, the length word should contain the amount of space allocated in the program for the text overlay, not the amount of space actually used. It would probably be defined using an EQU directive in the source code.

On the other hand, when modules are assembled as independent overlays, the length word should contain the actual length of the module. Then, when the loader utility attempts to load a language overlay into a program’s COM file, it could compare the length word in the overlay to the length word in the COM file to make sure that the new overlay will fit properly.

Here is the trickiest part. The loader must remember the original value of the length from the COM file and reinstall that value after the new language overlay has been installed. In other words, the length word in the header serves two distinct purposes: in a free-standing overlay file it represents the space needed for the module, once installed into a COM file it represents the space available for the module.
New Data in the Text Module

In my examples last time, the Z3TXT module contained only null-terminated text strings. While working on the text module for ZFILER, I realized that one might wish to include some other types of data. At Hawley also showed me an additional way to handle the address table and data. I will now describe both of these extensions.

In ZFILER, as in many other programs, users are occasionally prompted with a question that requires a yes or no answer. In the past, the answer has been given by pressing either the "Y" key or the "N" key. This is all well and good for English speakers, but other languages may use different words represented by different letters. (Interestingly enough, though the word for "no" varies, the first letter is nearly universally "N" for European languages; "Y", on the other hand, will often not fit the bill.)

I wanted to fix this in ZFILER, so I decided to put the two letters representing an affirmative and a negative answer into the text overlay and to have the code compare the user's response to the variable characters stored there. Recall the complete structure of the module as I proposed it last time. Between the header and the actual message strings was a table of address offsets to the text strings. The appearance was thus as follows:

```
z3txt: ; start of module
    ; header goes here (see above)
    ...
    ; table of address offsets
    msg1: dw .msg1 - z3txt
    msg2: dw .msg2 - z3txt
    ...
    ; actual message strings
    ...
```

Since the length of the "yes" and "no" characters is fixed, namely one character, there is no need to use indirect addressing as with the variable-length message strings. The letters can be put directly into the address-offset table. It might then have the following appearance:

```
; table of address offsets and
; fixed-length data
affirm: db 'Y'
```

In Al Hawley's new version of BYE for Z-Systems (called NZBYTEE) there are places where one wants the code to modify a string dynamically. For example, there might be a message of the form, "You have 7 minutes remaining." This message might be displayed each minute once the user has fewer than 10 minutes of allowed access remaining for the current call. Here is how Al sets up the module.

```
; table of address offsets
timmsg: dw .timmsg - z3txt
timval: dw .timval - z3txt
msg2: dw .msg2 - z3txt
...
; actual message strings
    db '7 minutes remaining', cr,lf,0
...
```

The table values stored at the addresses labeled "timmsg" and "msg2" point to the beginnings of complete message strings (at addresses "timmsg" and "msg2"). Those messages would be displayed as I described last time using a special string-printing subroutine. In Al's extension, the address at label "timval" would be used differently. A fixed-length character string would be computed by the program and written into the space at label "timval", whose address would be computed from the table entry at "timval". A slightly more elaborate version of such a subroutine is presented later in this column.

Alexander Schmid and I faced a similar problem in ZFILER but handled it differently. We broke the strings into separate pieces. Sticking with the above example, we would have:

```
; table of address offsets
timmsg2: dw .timmsg2 - z3txt
timmsg: dw .timmsg - z3txt
msg2: dw .msg2 - z3txt
...
; actual message strings
...
```

In the program code, the two pieces of the message would be printed separately, each by a call to the message-printing subroutine. The time value would be sent to the terminal in between those two calls. It is not clear to me which method is best. The second approach has an extra null byte at the end of the first message string, and the display subroutine has to be called an extra time. On the other hand, with Al's method, the address has to be calculated and the computed value has to be stored into the message string instead of just sending it directly to the screen. My feeling is that the two methods are so nearly equal in efficiency that the choice should be made based on one's programming style preference.

Some Additional Points

Al Hawley was still not completely satisfied with the storage efficiency in the text module. Remember that last time we began with an approach in which each individual message started at a fixed address, with extra space included with each one to allow for longer messages in another language. Then we added the address-offset table -- with all entries in fixed locations -- so that the messages could be of different lengths without having to waste expansion space for each one.

With this approach, however, one still has to allocate some overall extra space in case the complete collection of messages in another language is longer. Consequently, space is wasted in the COM file when a shorter language overlay is loaded. This bothered Al.

I had thought about this, too, and had concluded that there really was nothing one could reasonably do about it. If the Z3TXT module could be placed at the end of the COM file, then its length could be variable. However, programs generally store data after the end of the material stored in the COM file. If the Z3TXT module were appended, then the code would have to provide indirect addressing for all data references, with the addresses depending on the length of the currently loaded Z3TXT module.

This approach would also cause prob-
lems with type-4 programs. These are programs whose load-address is determined at run-time by the ZCPR34 command processor. Generally, type-4 programs are loaded as high as possible in memory. This requires knowing how much memory the program uses. Variable-length text overlays stuck on the end of the COM file would greatly complicate this situation.

Al Hawley's thinking on the subject was colored by the task he was tackling. BYE is not a transient (temporary) program. The COM file (BYE.COM) causes a block of code called a resident system extension (RSX) to be installed semi-permanently at the top of memory under the normal CP/M operating system code. There it intercepts operating system calls, changing existing functions and adding new ones. Because it is resident, BYE reduces the memory space available to all other programs. Therefore, it is of great importance to keep the code as short as possible. To accomplish this with NZBYTE, Al came up with a very clever idea that I will describe in just a moment.

First I want to mention another new consideration that arose in connection with NZBYTE. Remember that BYE's function is to make the modem port an extension of the system's console (keyboard and screen) so that the computer can be operated either by the local operator (the sysop) or a remote caller.

Picture Al sitting at the console of his Laderac Z-Node in Los Angeles while a caller from France is on-line. Being an accommodating person, Al would like user messages sent out by BYE to appear in French. On the other hand, there are some messages generated by BYE that are for the sysop only and appear only on the local screen. These should be in English for AI. For Helmut Jungkunz in Germany, however, they should be in German, even when the French caller is using the system.

Well, the conclusion AI came to was that NZBYTE needed to break the messages into two groups: local messages and remote messages. (The remote messages go to the local console as well. Ideally, these messages would be provided in two languages and separate versions would be sent to the local and remote consoles. However, this would waste memory by complicating the code and increasing the space taken up by the message text.)

Since each user of BYE has to assemble the program anyway (because of the choice of various options and system characteristics), there is no reason not to assemble in the message text as well. To make it easy to change the messages, Al has gathered them into one place and put their code in a separate LIB file. Those messages do not have to be addressed via an address-offset table; they can be addressed directly. Conditional assembly pseudo-ops can be included so that when certain optional functions are omitted from the BYE code, the corresponding messages can be omitted as well.

The remote messages have to be loaded dynamically depending on the caller. They could be handled as we have described for standard programs, but then one would have to pre-allocate enough space to accommodate the longest set of messages for all supported languages. AI conceived the following approach.

The remote message text is not stored in BYE at all; it is stored in a separate RSX that is loaded after (underneath) BYE. As a result, its size can vary. Here is a more detailed description of what happens. When BYE.COM is run, it has to deal with two tasks. As before, it has to make sure that the BYE RSX is loaded, installing it in upper memory if it is not already present. Then it has to make sure that the correct language RSX is loaded. If BYE.COM has just loaded the BYE RSX, then it has to load the required language module, too. If BYE was already present in memory, then BYE.COM has to remove the old language RSX and then install the one now required. (It could try to determine if the right one is already installed, but it may be easier to just dump the old one and start over.)

There is now one new complication. With our Z3TXT modules, the main code does not know where the actual message strings are stored, but it does know where the address-offset table is stored. This may not be the case with the BYE.RSX. We could change the structure of the language RSX, placing the address offset table at the end of the module. This would be a fixed address relative to the BYE RSX code, and the address could be adjusted just as all other addresses are adjusted when a relocatable module, such as an RSX, is loaded. However, one would like to maintain compatibility and minimize the proliferation of standards.

In order to use the same module structure, Al added an extra level of address indirection. Since BYE.COM handles the installation of both RSXs, it has the global picture and can provide the BYE RSX with the address at which the language RSX begins. This address is loaded into a specific data word in the BYE RSX. Here is what Al's double-indirection implementation looks like.

Suppose the language RSX is to contain the messages "msg1" and "msg2" that we showed in the earlier examples. An RSX contains some additional header code for intercepting operating system calls, for protecting itself (so it does not get removed during warm boots), for identifying itself, and for removing itself from memory. The remainder of the RSX will look just like the Z3TXT modules used for other programs. The starting address of that code (+3txt) is what BYE.COM will store at, say, the label "byerem" in the BYE RSX code.

AI uses a subroutine to compute the real addresses of the messages through two levels of indirection. This sounds more complicated than it really is; I hope you will try to follow it. When the routine is called, the HL register pair has been set to the relative position (or offset) in the Z3TXT address-offset table for the desired message (or other data structure). For example, in the case of the first message, this value would be (msg1-z3txt). Although the individual values of "msg1" and "z3txt" will vary depending on where the language RSX is loaded in memory, the difference is a constant that is known at the time BYE is assembled. Since "msg1" is the first
message in the table, the value would be 20 (the length of the Z3TXT module header). The second message would have the value 22, and so on.

Here is the complete code for Al’s subroutine, called GETM2. The value of the offset to the offset in HL is converted into the absolute address of the specified object and returned in HL. Otherwise, only register A is altered; if one desired, register pair AF could be protected on the stack as is done with DE.

push de ; save DE on stack
ld de,(byterem) ; address of Z3txt
; first indirect: get absolute address where
; offset to message text is stored and load the
; value into HL.
add hl,de ; real address of offset
ld a,(hl) ; get low byte into A
inc hl ; point to high byte
ld hl,(hl) ; get high byte into H
ld l,(hl) ; get low byte into L
; second indirect: given offset in HL, calculate
; actual address of the message text in Z3TXT
module.
add hl,de
pop de ; restore DE

Plans for Future Columns

In two issues, TCJ will be marking its 10th anniversary. At the request of the editor, for that issue I will be revisiting the topic of getting Z-System running on a computer that is currently running a form of standard CP/M. The column will be addressed to novices, but there might be some tricks and thoughts of interest even to veteran Z-System users.

To learn about the subject myself, I plan to dig out one of the many Kaypros warehoused in my basement and go through the complete process of bringing up an NZCOM Z-System on it. I will also install Z3PLUS on one of my CP/M-Plus computers, perhaps the Televideo 803, on which I currently have CP/M-2.2 and NZCOM but for which I believe I have a CP/M-Plus boot disk as well.

For next time I hope to describe some advanced uses of the PMATE/ZMATE text editor. MATE is generally used manually to edit files, but it can also be used as a generalized, programmable text-processing tool. I have installed a special permanent macro that greatly facilitates this kind of use.

For example, on my 486/33 PC at work I have been running many long series of automated simulations of electronic circuits using PSPICE. A 4DOS batch file starts the process by writing out a file with the circuit parameters (for example, capacitor values, voltage levels, clock rise and fall times). It then invokes PSPICE and feeds the output file to PMATE, which uses a macro to analyze the data and determine whether or not the circuit operated as desired. This macro is stored in a separate file (or files, if there are subroutine macros).

The results of the evaluation are written out in files that are then read by the 4DOS batch file and used to generate the next set of simulation parameters. In this way, I can leave the computer running unattended for days or even weeks, and when I come back there is a nice report awaiting me with a summary of the results. Readers may be able to think of many uses for this approach.

Language Independence is a big problem. While checking on new versions of Novell’s Netlile, I discovered they have a separate version for each language. It solved the problem but at a price in programming time and stockng different versions. Jay's ideas sure make more sense and like Al Hawley's concept of needing different languages for different sections: From a maintenance standpoint, by having different modules you could load them on the fly, that would allow English speaking support people to work at a site that normally uses another language.

I am sure there are many other options to this problem. If you have one, or found some "slick" way of enhancing Jay's work, let Jay know.

Contact Jay on GENIE as JAY.SAGE or see his add on the inside cover for other options. BDK.
Minix, Uzi, Gnu - and lessons from home movies

Minix goings-on

Many Minix OS hobbyists have changed over to Linux, for two reasons: first, there are no restrictions to worry about, and second, Linus Torvalds, the author, is in favor of it becoming a big, complex operating system.

The Minix community has been revitalized by a sudden new burst of activity, however. A gentleman named Frans Meulenbroeks proposed that the Minix kernel be rewritten as a clean microkernel design. As Andy Tanenbaum pointed out with respect to Linux, the microkernel type of OS design has been almost universally acclaimed as superior, and most new operating systems use that design. While Minix started out as a microkernel, the severe design constraints of the Intel 8086 architecture compromised it to the point that most advantages of a microkernel were lost. The new design discussion has basically centered around building a true microkernel design while still retaining as much as possible of Minix.

In a true microkernel, the protected kernel of the operating system does task switching and message passing only. Device drivers and other service tasks run as user-level tasks.

Frans introduced his proposals in an essay entitled "Proposal to restructure MINIX". Here are a few excerpts.

"Minix as it is now is quite cumbersome if you want to add new tasks. You have to change NR_TASKS, table.c, add the new task, recompile fs/mm/kernel/tools (they all depend on the include file which defines NR_TASKS). Quite a job.

"This way it is quite complicated to add/remove drivers. Furthermore it is difficult to understand how the system works.

"My idea is to restructure the kernel into a number of different processes. Each process corresponds with a kernel task (e.g. the floppy driver). None of them accesses variables from other task (low coupling).

"To support this, there is a microkernel (further on called core, to avoid confusion with the current kernel) mainly consisting of system.c and proc.c (and perhaps a part of main.c).

"This core should have the following functions:
- context switching
- message passing
- basic clock handling
- memory copying between tasks
- support for interrupt handling
- creation and removal of processes.

"Functions supplied by the core itself are:
- the functions from system.c and proc.c
- install interrupt handler
- deinstall interrupt handler
- attach to major device number (this way device numbers can be connected to drivers). This can simply be the mapping of the device number to the task id.

"If we identify tasks by a bit in their proc struct and make them standalone, it is very easy to add a new task to the system or remove one. Of course only the super user can do so (by means of a new system call). An implementation of this could be done stepwise by:
- introducing task and driver bits in the proc struct. perhaps also a bit must be reserved to identify the idle task.
- rewrite the macros in proc.h to use these driver bits instead of the NR_TASKS.
- rewrite the code which uses things like END_TASK_ADDR.
- allow message passing between all drivers.
- take a simple driver and rewrite it so that it is stand alone compilable (so it uses the sys_copy system call instead of directly calling phys_copy and umap). The printer or the memory task seems a good candidate for this.
- add a way to attach/detach an interrupt routine to an interrupt source
- add a way to attach/detach to a major device number
- add a system call which lets a program start as task or server.
- remove the rewritten driver from the system and start it using the new system call
- add code to the build process to load standalone drivers on boot time
- rework the other drivers, taking them out of the kernel one at a time
- clean up the remaining kernel (which should mainly be system.c proc.c and some hulp [sic] code)."
cussion in a positive way. While Minix 1.6 will be released soon as an incremental improvement over 1.5, it's good to see that the community has rediscovered a technical vision for Minix' future - a simple but extensible operating system that can be completely understood and extended in meaningful ways by a single individual.

Recently published on Usenet was a syntax guide for the PC MINIX assembler. This assembler doesn't follow the arcane and stultified "structure" conventions of Intel's and Microsoft's assemblers. Nor does it follow the more normal but still quirky conventions of the CP/M-86 assembler. Instead, it follows those of IBM's PC/IX. "Aha! Of course!" I hear you all saying. Well, for those of you who have been on the other side of the planet from such earth-shaking products as PC/IX (like me) and don't know that syntax like the back of your hand, send me a quick note and I'll send you a copy. It's too lengthy to print in this column.

Uzi

Another free operating system is Uzi, developed by Doug Braun for the Z-80 processor. Written in C, it is a fairly complete Unix Version 7 clone - in a little over 6,000 lines of code. Although it's a monolithic kernel design, it's pretty small. It takes 32K bytes of RAM for the kernel itself on the Z-80. I've been thinking about porting it to the NS32 processor - and putting it in PROM.

Gnu tools under OS/2

GCC, the Gnu C Compiler, and G-Plus, the Gnu C++ translator, have been ported to OS/2 2.0. Some folks have been using them for code development. This is a rocky road to travel down, but the product is free (at least if you have ftp access). Interestingly, the 32-bit linker (LINK386) and the Resource Compiler (RC) are supplied with the operating system, so all you need is the compiler itself. I don't have copies of this software yet, but I'm looking to acquire them shortly. Other Gnu tools, such as Gawk (Gnu AWK), Bison, and Gnu Emacs are being ported and will be available shortly.

I mentioned last time that IBM presently offers only C for OS/2 2.0. There are other compilers which can generate 32-bit code for the system, notably Watcom C, Microway's Fortran, and Logitech Modula-2. IBM also provides a strange programming environment which works with C, called System Object Model (SOM). It's heavily into object-oriented mumbo-jumbo. In response to much hue and cry, IBM has promised to release a C++ compiler "soon". Personally, I don't care. C lets me do what I need to do.

Programming is the art of effectively expressing algorithms in a form comprehensible to both machines and humans. That language which does so most efficiently for a specific class of problems is the best for that class. In my investigations so far, object-oriented programming languages I've looked at have failed to either generate efficient code or express algorithms clearly. The industry will probably never make a transition to OOP, but OOP may be more than a fad nonetheless; it may be an incremental step to the next technology.

Remember home movies?

You know, Super 8 and Standard 8? They were big once. They had full color, slow-motion, sound, and zoom. What happened to it all? It disappeared overnight. Video equipment blew it away - even faster than the video proponents themselves expected.

OK, in retrospect, we can say that the technology was lousy. You only got about 3-1/2 minutes per roll of film. You had to wait for it to be developed. Especially with Standard-8, you could mess up and lose the whole roll trying to unload the film.

The lesson we learn from the rapid demise of home movies is that people will dump a technology almost instantly when something better comes along - especially one that addresses their long-ignored frustrations and problems.

In TCJ #57, I discussed some technology I think you expect. People are claiming all the available bytes are packed with video. Still photography has terrible technology problems - the same ones as home movies, in fact. I've mentioned losing whole rolls of film due to camera jams, for example, to other photographers, and been surprised to hear that even professional photographers have these problems. Besides, the insurance industry is adopting still video like mad. With a video digitizer boards, the photos can be centrally stored on computers and made available via LANs.

Based on the lessons learned from the home movie to VCR transition, some have predicted that still video will completely eradicate film even while the cost is higher.

Personally, I don't think so. The cost is still too high for the full suite of necessary equipment - don't forget, you've got to include printing hardware. Also, the resolution still isn't too good. The day when Hasselblads sit unsold on flea-market tables at giveaway prices is still a couple of years off.

Nevertheless, this may be the best time for technical folks to familiarize themselves with the technology. With industry and government moving away from film so rapidly, there will be lots of opportunities in file conversion, viewing/cataloging software, and suchlike. One nice thing coming out of the imaging technology drive is the move to SCSI as a standard I/O interface. Most high-end color printers, for example, have SCSI interfaces, as do most of the new scanners coming out.

Next time

Push the edge of the envelope with us as we try to fix corrupted Minix filesystems, using only our bare hands, the ROM monitor, and RDMINIX tools under DOS. Where else but in computers
would something so fragile be called a "superblock"?

Where to call or write:

BBS or Fax: 1-703-330-9049 (There’s an autoswitch feature in the fax machine; strange though this seems, it appears to work just fine.)

Here is a brief synopsis of the MINIX assembly language. It is the same as IBM’s PDPX assembler.

2.3 Strings

2.4 Symbols

2.5 Separators

2.6 Comments

The command character is ‘;’.

2.7 Opcodes

Listed below.

Names:
1) Different names for the same instruction are separated by ‘/’.
2) If both (i) indicate that 1 or 2 of the enclosed characters can be included.
3) Curly braces ({} ) work similarly, except that one of the enclosed characters must be included.

2.7.1 Data Transfer

2.7.2 Shifting

2.7.3 Bit Manipulation

2.7.4 String Manipulation

The following instructions address string sources through SI and dest string through DI.

2.7.5 Control Transfer

Displacement is indicated by opcode.”jump” generates a 16-bit displacement, and ”call” generates 8 bits only. The provision for “far” labels is described below.

3.1 Location Counter, Segments and Labels

3.3 Labels

There are two types: name and numeric. Name labels consist of a name followed by a colon (:). Numeric labels consist of one or more digits followed by a dollar sign ($) . Numeric labels are useful when their definition disappears as soon as a name label is encountered, thus numeric labels can be reused as temporary local labels.

4.1 Null Statements

Contain neither an assembly command nor a pseudo-op. May contain a label or comment.

4.2 Instruction Statements

Label: opcode, operand1, operand2 | comment

4.3 Pseudo-op Statements

An assembler instruction, see below.

5.1 Expressions

5.2 Expression Semantics

The following operator can be used: ” && ” (shift left) - (shift right) (arithmetic minus) (less than). Bit-integral arithmetic is used. Division produces a truncated quotient.

6. OPERAND SYNTAX

6.1 Addressing Modes

7.1 Conditional with 16-bit Displacement

Branch if equal
branch if greater or equal (signed)
branch if greater (signed)
branch if higher or same (unsigned)
branch if higher (signed)
branch if lower or same (unsigned)
branch if not equal
Since Rick mentioned U21 for the 280, I found it on GENIE (in CPM section) and have included the introduction section just so you know exactly what it can and can not do. BDK

U21: UNIX Z-80 IMPLEMENTATION
Written by Douglas Braun

Introduction:
U21 is an implementation of the Unix kernel written for a Z-80 based computer. It implements almost all of the functionality of the 7th Edition Unix kernel. U21 was written to run on one specific collection of custom-built hardware, but since it can easily have device drivers added to it, and it does not use any memory management hardware, it should be possible to port it to numerous computers that use the CPM operating system. The source code is written mostly in C, and was compiled with The Code Works' Q/C compiler. U21's code was written from scratch, and contains no AT&T code, so it is not subject to any of AT&T's copyright or licensing restrictions. Numerous 7th Edition programs have been ported to U21 with little or no difficulty, including the complete Bourne shell, ed, sed, dc, ccc, etc.

How it works:
Since there is no standard memory management hardware on 8080-family computers, U21 uses "total swapping" to achieve multiprocessing. This has two implications: First, U21 requires a reasonably fast hard disk. Second, there is no point in running a different process while a process is waiting for disk I/O. This simplifies the design of the block device drivers, since they do not have to be interrupt-based. U21 itself occupies the upper 32K of memory, and the currently running process occupies the lower 32K. Since U21 currently barely fits in 32K, a full 64K of RAM is necessary.

U21 does need some additional hardware support. First, there must be some sort of clock or timer that can provide a periodic interrupt. Also, the current implementation uses an additional real-time clock to get the time for file timestamps, etc. The current TTY driver assumes an interrupt-driven keyboard, which should exist on most systems. The distribution contains code for hard and floppy disk drivers, but since these were written for custom hardware, they are provided only as templates to write new ones.

How U21 is different than real Unix:
U21 implements almost all of the 7th Edition functionality. All file I/O, directories, mountable file systems, user and group IDs, pipes, and applicable device I/O are supported. Process control (fork(), execve()), signal(), kill(), pause(), alarm(), and wait()) are fully supported. The number of processes is limited only by the swap space available. As mentioned above, U21 implements Unix well enough to run the Bourne shell in its full functionality. The only changes made to the shell's source code were to satisfy the limitations of the C compiler.

Here is a (possibly incomplete) list of missing features and limitations: The debugger- and profiler-related system calls do not exist. The old 6th edition seek() was implemented, instead of lseek(). The supplied TTY driver is bare-bones. It supports only one port, and most IOCTLs are not supported. Inode numbers are only 16-bit, so filesystems are 32 Meg or less. File dates are not in the standard format. Instead they look like those used by MS-DOS. The 4.2BSD execve() was implemented. Additional flavors of exec() are supported by the library. The format of the device driver switch table is unlike that of the 7th Edition. The necessary semaphores and locking mechanisms to implement reentrant disk I/O are not there. This would make it harder to implement interrupt-driven disk I/O without busy-waiting.

Miscellaneous Notes:
U21 was compiled with the Code Works Q/C C compiler and the Microsoft M80 assembler under the CPM operating system, on the same hardware it runs on. Also used was a version of C/PP/M, which since the Q/C compiler does not handle macros with arguments. However, there are only a couple of these in the code, and they could easily be removed.

Because U21 occupies the upper 32K of memory, the standard L80 linker could not be used to link it. Instead, a homebrew L80 replacement linker was used. This generated a 64K-byte CPM/COM file, which has the lower 32K primed by the CPM PIP utility. This is the reason for the appearance of the string "MOMBASSA" in fillmac and loadunix.sub.

To boot U21, a short CPM program was run that reads in the U21 image, copies it to the upper 32K of memory, and jumps to its start address. Other CPM programs were written to build, inspect, and check U21 filesystems under CPM. These made it possible to have a root file system made before starting up U21. If the demand exists, these programs can be included in another release.

Running programs under U21:
A number of 7th Edition, System V, and 4.2BSD programs were ported to U21. Most notably, the Bourne shell and ed run fine under U21. In addition the 4.2BSD audio library was also ported. This, along with the Code Works Q/C library and miscellaneous System V library functions, was used when porting programs.

Due to obvious legal reasons, the source or executables for most of these programs cannot be released. However, some kernel-dependent programs such as ps and fck were written from scratch and can be included in future releases. Also, a package was created that can be linked to CPM/COM files that will allow them to run under U21. This was used to get the M80 assembler and 1.80 linker to run under U21. Cpp was also ported to U21. However, it was not possible to fit the Q/C compiler into 32K, so all programs (and U21 itself) were cross-compiled under CPM.

The Minix operating system, written for PCs by Andrew Tanenbaum et al., contains many programs that should compile and run under U21. Since Minix is much less encumbered by licensing provisions than real Unix, it would make sense to port Minix programs to U21. In fact, U21 itself could be ported to the PC, and used as a replacement for the Minix kernel.
Recently, I've received several letters from readers asking questions about past articles and questions in general about working with embedded systems. I really enjoy hearing from you because it lets me know someone out there is interested and it helps me figure out what direction to take for future articles. At the end of this article, I've listed several ways that you can contact me. My preference, if you have the capability, is via one of the two forms of electronic mail. In most cases I answer e-mail the day I receive it. Besides, it saves trees and helps keep paper from piling up around here.

The most frequently asked question I get is "Where can I get affordable development software for the (fill in the blank) microprocessor?" Affordable can mean different things to different people. In the case of the hobbyist or small business it typically means the cheapest thing that will get the job done.

There are a lot of companies that produce assemblers, compilers, and interpreters for various microprocessors and microcontrollers. I'm not going to attempt to list them all because I'm sure I'd miss someone with a great product. The tools I use are all MSDOS programs since the PC clone is my development platform. To my knowledge the products mentioned below are only available for that platform.

A good source of reliable, solid cross-assemblers, simulators, and disassemblers is PseudoCorp. The Level 1 demonstration versions of many of their cross-assemblers are available on many BBS systems and from major shareware vendors. I've personally used the commercial (Level 2) 8051, 68HC11, and 8096 products. Cost is about $50 each for the Professional Level 2 Assemblers.

The PseudoCorp products were among the first that I used. Those of you that have followed my 8031 articles here may recall that the program listings mention them. They offer features such as include files, a powerful flexible macro facility and the ability to generate segmented object files for systems where your code and/or data spans several ROMs. In writing code for the TCJ articles I avoided using these features to make it as easy as possible for you to use the software of your choosing.

Over the last few years there has been more and more interest in using high level languages, especially C, for embedded systems development. For the hobbyist or the small developer that meant drooling over compilers that cost anywhere from $800 to well over $2,000 in some cases. I don't know what your hobby budget is like but for most people a $2,000 compiler is probably out of the question.

A couple of years ago I ran across Dave Dunfield of Dunfield Development Systems on a BBS. Dave has a remarkable collection of microprocessor software development tools that seems to grow each month. His flagship products are MICRO-C, a very portable C compiler, and his XASM series of cross-assemblers. Currently his products are available for the 6800, 6801/6803, 6805, 6502, 6809, 68HC11, 8051/8052, 8080/8085/ Z80, 8086 and 8096 microprocessors. Versions for the 68HC16 should be available by the time you read this.

So, just what does affordable mean? Dunfield Development Systems sells a package that includes ALL of the assemblers mentioned above with documentation on disk for $49.95 plus shipping. If you plan to delve into multiple processors and are going to stick with assembly language you probably won't find a better deal than Dave's XASM product.

Sooner or later your projects will grow in complexity and unless there's an overriding need for flat out speed you'll probably yearn for a high level language to use for your projects. If you like C then Dunfield's MICRO-C for the processor of your choice is probably the best bargain you're likely to find in the embedded systems market. The "Developer's Kit" for a particular processor has just about everything you need to write code except the microprocessor manufacturer's data book. My MICRO-C 8051 package included the following items: MICRO-C 8051 compiler, ASM51 cross-assembler, optimizer, hand coded (in assembly) standard library functions (with source code), monitor/compiler (with source code), macro pre-processor, linker and a text editor. The best news--a "Developer's Kit" for any of the supported processors is only $99.95 plus shipping when you buy it direct from Dunfield Development Systems.

MICRO-C is a K&R style C compiler. It provides features of special interest to the embedded systems developer such as being able to write interrupt handlers in C and extending the standard library with some additional bit manipulation functions. Other features include inline
There are a few drawbacks to MICRO-C that the C purist might criticize. Currently there is no support for structures, unions, or bit-fields. There are also no floating point math functions or operations. For the most part, the effect of these omissions on an 8-bit embedded system is negligible. In most instances coding techniques can easily work around these limitations. Of course if these things really bother you there’s always those $2,000 systems.

A word of caution—purchasing MICRO-C or any other high level language will not relieve you from learning the intricacies of the hardware for your target system. Unlike your PC or CP/M machine, there is no operating system or system services; more correctly, there are only those services you provide in the code you develop. There are no free rides when you’re working with computers at this level.

Writing articles doesn’t mean I’m the last word on the subject of microcontroller hardware and software development. There are lots of talented folks out there and I’m sure a lot of them are more experienced than I am. Do you have a favorite piece of software you use that you think could help others? Drop me a note and tell me about it. I’d especially like to hear from you if you’re using something other than an MSDOS computer. Let me know what’s available for the developer that uses an Amiga, Atari, Color Computer, or CP/M machine. When writing please remember to tell me what machine it runs on, who publishes it, and where to get it (BBS, direct sales, etc.) In fairness to all, please indicate if you are somehow associated with the publisher/manufacturer. I’ll still mention your product if it’s related to embedded systems work but I want to keep things on the up and up.
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**CLASSIFIED, FOR SALE and SUPPORT WANTED**

**For Sale:** GIMIX 6809 SS-50 floppy DMA disk controllers. Have six to sell at $25 each (plus shipping). These are like new and DOCS may be had for a fee. These were top of the line controllers for the SS-50bus system. Contact Bill at TCI (916) 645-1670.

**For Sale:** GIMIX FULL SYSTEM, 6809 SS-50 box (very big and heavy), 15 slot mother board, with several SS-30 slots. 6809 CPU, 64K memory, 2 DMA disk controllers (8 and 1 1/4" drives supported), 2 serial ports, 1 720K 5 1/4" drive and 1 360K 5 1/4" drive in case. Books, Disks, works, some programs (Forth, wordprocessor, etc.), currently running FLEX. $100 (plus shipping if not in San Francisco bay area). Contact Neale at (415) 892-1432, or Bill at TCI (916) 645-1670.

**Support needed:** Looking for help with South West Technical 6800 system. This is a tape cartridge unit (AC30). Trying to find tapes, docs, any help? Contact Todd Silk, at (208) 772,4631.

**Wanted:** SAGE/Stride CP/M68k or UNIX software. Have a SAGE IV, (actually II but labeled wrong) with 500K of memory. Also looking for harddrive for same. Want source code for CP/M BIOS and BOOT disk. have entire P-System with source code for that BIOS and ROMS. Complete set of DOCS and will copy what you need in exchange for software or support. Unix may not run on my system, but feel that I need to collect this information before it disappears for good. Interested in hearing about projects/uses you may be doing with these systems. Contact Bill at TCI (916) 645-1670.

*The Computer Journal* Classified section is for items FOR SALE, it is priced and setup the same as NUTS & VOLTS. If you currently have an ad running in NUTS & VOLTS, just send us a copy of the ad and your invoice from them, along with your check, and we will publish it in the TCI.

Classified ads are on a pre-paid basis only. The rate is $.30 per word for subscribers, and $.60 per word for others. There is a minimum $4.50 charge per insertion.

Support wanted is a free service to our readers who need to find old or missing documentation or software. No FOR SALE items allowed, however exchanges or like kind swapping is permitted. Please limit your request to one type of system. Call TCI at (916) 645-1670.
Resurrecting your S-100 system

My experience suggests that setting up a new S-100 system from old cards is like helping a person recover from a stroke: there is a period of tests to find damage, and stages of exercise to restore full functionality. New parts may be necessary, which also require an adjustment period. And, like a stroke, these events are rarely planned for.

I was rewriting a Tarbell floppy disk controller BIOS for a SD Systems floppy controller recently. My development system was a Vector Graphics S-100 chassis, an IMSAI front panel, a Cromemco Z80 ZPU, and four CCS 16K static RAM cards. The memory cards feature an LED which lights when the board is addressed, and DIP switch logic for independently addressing each 4K of memory. Static memory, by the way, is strongly recommended on the S-100 bus! Of course, the IMSAI front panel allows easy rebooting and memory examination. The Cromemco ZPU is switchable between 2 and 4 MHz, ideal for debugging as you’ll see later.

As is my custom, I ran the assembler and trotted to the “little programmers room.” By the time I got back, all should have been assembled and the front panel blinking merrily away waiting for a keystroke. Instead, it was dark. After the appropriate calling down of the gods for daring to fail my “last” programming change of the day, my next reaction was...what? What do you do when your system fails?

Use your senses

Before you grab a scope or even a meter, inhale through your nose repeatedly, i.e. smell! Is something burning? With experience, you can easily tell the difference between the “toasty” smell of resistors, the “reaping the streets” smell of a fried transformer, and so on. Without those experiences, you can still trace the odor to some source. My luck, I noticed no such smells.

Next, use your hearing. Are the fans running? This will tell you if AC power is active (assuming you have AC fans). Some people, incidentally, have S-100 systems without fans. They call them “heaters.” Of course, use your eyes! Do you see smoke? Are some boards lit up (hopefully with LEDs, not with the incandescent glow of components). If you find any catastrophic damage, shut down your system at once!

Use your instruments

Total failure (no lights) is actually good news! That limits the problem to the power supply or the AC source. In my case, however, no such luck. The front panel lights were on, but not blinking. The next step was the trusty voltmeter. Mine is a Radio Shack digital voltmeter, cost an about 25, but any meter will do. Measure the power supply voltages. Generally it is easiest to do from the enormous capacitors that old S-100 systems have: newer systems will have a nice set of power terminals to inspect. Measuring from the S-100 connector is risky! Positive and negative voltages are adjacent: a brief short will at least ZAP your fuses, and possibly your logic!

Use your head

My system showed no +8 voltage: thus the S-100 cards had no source for their +5 volt regulators. However, the AC side of the power supply had 110V AC avail-able. To test my system power supply, I removed most of the S-100 cards, both to minimize possible damage from any accident and to see if reduced power requirements (or disengaging a board’s shorted regulator) would change the results.

In diagnosis there is a pattern of behavior to follow: isolate, test, modify, repeat. Isolate a subsystem, test its operation, modify a single feature of that subsystem, and test again. Repeat this cycle of modification and testing until you have either found a fault or verified the subsystem’s operation. When you find a fault, isolate the fault area further and continue testing.

Forward and retreat

On my system, a resistance check of the rectifier diodes was good (forward resistance a few tens of ohms, reverse resistance very high). While a resistance check of the transformer did not suggest the windings were open, no voltage was produced at the secondary. What now?

In principle, I could have torn down the chassis and thoroughly tested all features of the power supply. In fact, I pulled out the rest of the S-100 cards, and went to the garage to get another S-100 chassis. Meanwhile, I commended Mr. Payson for his foresight in keeping several S-100 systems as a repair resource. If you, however, enjoy building power supplies or have a source of Vector Graphic transformers, you could have fixed my system. It’s all a matter of priorities.
member, mine was writing a new BIOS.

How to stabilize a "new" system

My new box was from a banking system, and had a full load of cards itself. There is an art to pulling out a dozen S-100 cards without shredding your hands on the IC pins which protrude like Punji sticks through each one. Hint: reach below each card to the bottom-most edge and push up. Practice helps.

From my previous use, I knew the power supply on this box was operational. It was certainly powerful enough, noting the number of chips on each card I just pulled out. To make space for my front panel card, however, I would need a bus extender card. (Why? The IMSAI front panel card is 19 inches long, and rests in a special slot on the bus that is extended outside the S-100 card cage, which is about 10 inches wide.) As my previous Vector Graphic box also required an extender, this was no problem. So, I plugged in the front panel, the processor card, one memory card and powered up the new system. The lights on all the boards came on! This suggested that no board was seriously damaged, at least.

"The helm is not responding, Captain..."

My first tests were, of course, to read memory locations via the front panel. I quickly found that, although I could read an address, I could not use the NEXT ADDRESS or DEPOSIT NEXT switches to proceed to the next memory address! Was this a failure of the processor card, or the front panel card? I know the answer, and decided to deal with it another day. (Remember the BIOS I was writing?) Who else out there knows the problem? I'll tell all next issue.

The fact that addressed locations had a variety of data values in them, as displayed from the front panel, suggested "nominal" operation of all cards. I then added the Tarbell controller, the serial I/O card and all memory cards, and attempted to boot. I guess I didn't mention that I was using two 8" floppy drives - the "small" half-height Mitibushi drives favored by Heath in their Z-100 systems. These drives, as do all 8" drives, have a distinctive sound to their operation that is critical to diagnosing boot-up faults. The sound of a "homing" drive is like drilling through wood, for instance.

Allow me to elaborate further, to demonstrate the value of "deep understanding" as well as the power of the senses to determine computer operations. The floppy boot process involves homing the drive (a seek to track 0), followed by a click or two as each track is sought (past sense of seek, sorry) and read. The contents of these "boot tracks" is the CP/M operating system including the BIOS specific to your machine. However, you may hear instead the "homing" sound followed by a sound like trying to drill through a metal plate and failing: that is due to the controller not sensing the track 0 line from the drive to stop the "home" operation, probably because the drive ready line is apparently not active. In my case, this occurred because the floppy drive I used did not have "terminating resistors" on its control lines that give the interface driver chips a source of current sufficient to "pull down" the disk drive's drive select or drive ready lines. (See figure 1 for the schematic of this scenario.) Of course, I didn't know all this at once, so don't feel overwhelmed by this detail: experience comes with time and study.

More ailments

Once I added the terminating resistor pack, which was not needed by the Tarbell controller but necessary to the SD Systems controller, I heard the familiar "clunk-a-thunk" operation of the A drive. However, I did not get the final "A>" prompt on the screen. Again from experience, this is a typical symptom of some kind of memory fault. An area of memory vital to CP/M was absent, or a memory chip was bad, or the processor was running too fast for some area of memory to respond reliably.

Another possibility was poor contact on the S-100 bus by one or more cards. Unfortunately, this is a chronic problem in any system with hundreds of edge-contact points (i.e. number of cards multiplied by the number of bus connections per card). Age and storage make the problem more acute - kind of a "hardening of the arteries." The cure is a combination of edge connector cleaning and careful "seating" and reseating of the cards into the connectors. Observe any residue on the connectors. Try to use contact cleaner and a soft cloth to clean the edge of the board. Alcohol-based cleaners will degrade the plastics; and pencil erasers can be abrasive. A soft artist's eraser is better. Even pieces of paper, or a toothbrush with a small amount of soapy water followed by clear water, can make a difference. By the way, poor contacts are also a problem with IC sockets: examine these closely as well!

As for memory tests, try to run some large programs that will use all available memory, or switch suspect areas of RAM into or out of active memory space. A general memory test program is better still. You can at least use CP/M's DDT to fill and examine memory areas. Again, if your processor card has switchable speeds, run your system "too fast" to highlight errors. Note: hot IC's, including memory chips, fail at lower speeds than cold chips. For subtle errors, I've resorted to putting S-100 cards in the freezer and using a hair dryer to exaggerate temperature-based errors.

Running at last!

By a combination of the above, working on it over a few evenings, I finally re-established a running and stable system. All this may seem to be a lot of work to some of you. But, consider what we have learned about disk controllers, memory, contacts, and so on. The modular nature of the S-100 bus is ideal for repair and for self-education. My "lost" time is a gain for you if you have picked up some hints on testing and repairing your system! Have fun, and tell me what you have learned when it's your turn to resurrect your computer.

Letters and notes

Larry Cameron writes from GENie (via his IMSAI!) on how he feels he may be "the only person crazy enough to be doing something like restoring old "obsolete" computers" and hopes that TCJ
will "continue S-100 stuff." Larry, I hope my previous column shows a good beginning. I hear from a number of people who enjoy "antique computing," but rarely are any of them in the same city! For communications, you have to go to national or international sources. I recommend the CP/M Tech echo on the BBS FidoNet network; I've also heard good reports about a similar echo on the Internet. Look at the end of my column for contacts.

Eliot Payson of Littleton CO says he "has several S-100 machines in various stages of disrepair." Well, join the club! Your condition is normal for the true S-100 fan! I think you'll like my column this month! Eliot also sent me, incidentally, a copy of his club's newsletter, and I hope our Editor Bill Kibler will "plug" it in more detail.

Some time ago, Gary Stagliano of Manchester CT wrote to me and asked about MYZ80. I'll refer him to the Sept/Oct 92 issue of TCIJ for the brief from Lee Bradley. Incidentally, thanks to Lee's BBS, I have the latest version of Simeon Cran's MYZ80. As an early beta tester, I too am encouraged by this product. However, as currently distributed it lacks development documentation necessary for any "tinkering" programming. I'll encourage such docs by contributing some of my "investigations" to Lee's board. See his column for contact info.

**Resources**

FidoNet: an international network of Bulletin Board Systems, usually with small or no subscription charges. It supports a number of informational exchange areas, including one for CP/M called "CP/M Tech." Contact your local computer club for a list of local boards which may carry FidoNet.

Internet: another international computer network, but harder to get onto for free as it is usually associated with universities or other institutions. Contact your local university's computer center for details. Lee Bradley's Z-Node #12: (203) 665-1100 in CT.
This is the first of a series of articles, the thrust of which is to make your hardware more friendly to the only user that counts, YOU. All of the modifications described will be possible with only ordinary hand tools, all of these modifications have been done by the author who has two left thumbs and is supposed (according to his mother) to be right-handed. Although the platform involved is a Kaypro, the principles are applicable to any machine, and the use of the Kaypro as an example, is only because that’s what I happen to own. I am available for questions most evenings at (916) 482-8305.

The RESET Button

The Reset button on the Kaypro is mounted on the rear panel some-where in the vicinity of the top right comer. I say “somewhere” because the exact location varies with the age and type. Perhaps I am more adventurous than most, but I seem to get my trusty side-kick “hung” rather frequently, and it occurred to me once as I wished for a ten foot right arm, that I could correct Kaypro’s design error. I’m sure that the designers put the Reset button where they did out of concern that it not be accidentally activated and to make sure that its use was the result of a conscious decision, but it certainly was inconvenient. The bottom line was that it belonged on the front panel.

A little research (removing the cover) revealed that the proposed location was clear of any interference, and that the wires were long enough to reach. It also became apparent that the actual button was mounted from the rear of the panel, and held by a serrated ring screwed on from outside, and only finger tight.

Here is how we do it.

As it says in all the manuals, unplug the power cord not only from the wall, but also from the computer (unless you have a really early one where the line cord is mounted in a strain relief). Remove the cover carefully, and put the screws (10 for a Kaypro) in a safe place. I use those plastic cans that film comes in to keep track of the screws.

Decide where the new location is, make sure it will be clear of any folding keyboards, and leave some room for another front panel modification later. Mine is centered between the monitor and the drives, about an inch and a half above dead center vertically. Put a piece of masking tape over the spot, do your final measurements and mark the spot on the tape. If you have a center punch and are a purist, this is the time to use it.

Next comes the sneaky part. We use a six inch piece of two and a half inch duct tape, you know, McGyver’s favorite tool, and make a tent over the back side of the new location (on the inside surface of the front panel). Pinch the sides of the tent shut and we have a “pouch” over the place we’re going to drill to catch all the shavings and filings.

Here comes the scary part!

Using your favorite electric (OK, Hand) drill a 3/16” pilot hole being careful not to hit the duct tape, and then a 3/8” hole.

Remove the masking tape, smash the duct tape pouch down on the inside of the front panel to trap all the nasty things and remove it carefully along with the trash. Clean up the hole if necessary and the hard part is done.

One last bit of surgery, the wires going to the Reset button are fastened to the right stand-off (support) for the motherboard by a small plastic ty-wrap which must be cut. Diagonal cutters work just fine.

The fun part

Unscrew the plastic ring that secures the Reset button, move the button assembly to the new hole and reinstall the retaining ring.

Carefully check the area under the new location to make sure no metal chips got away, replace the cover and screws and

YOU’RE FINISHED.

When you realize the convenience of the new location, you may start thinking about the video brightness control. The only differences are 1. the knob; 2. the wires will have to be extended. Otherwise the operations are the same.

Good Luck, and May You Live Long.

Chuck has proposed some articles for his next column unless our readers flood him with requests for information and help. The proposed articles include: A replacement of the 65 watt power supply with a pc-xt 150 watt power supply. A 5 Mhz speedup. A side select modification to convert early ssdd machines to dsdd. A Construction of a 4-drive decoder (TurboRom). If you have any special ideas or problems, give Chuck a call, and he will help you in resolving those Kaypro problems. BDK.
"It's test time", at least that's what I say to my students. For those who like to see if you remember your fundamental electronic skills, here is an article about timers and calculating the resistors and capacitors. This article also shows an appropriate use for doing something in "C." Read on and see if you can pass the fundamentals test. BDK

**COMPUTE IC MONOSTABLE AND TIMER VALUES WITH THESE C PROGRAMS**

IC monostable equations appear to be straightforward for the most part. They should present but few difficulties. But there is a catch: keeping track of the decimal points. Resistors are in M ohms, K ohms, or just plain ohms. Capacitance in micro-, nano-, and picofarads. Pulse widths in whatever submicrofraction of a second. Not to mention time spent thumbing through data manuals in search of an equation.

Well, here are two programs to do away with all that. The one, MONO.C, solves for any one of three variables when given the two known for thirteen popular IC monostables. The other, TIMR.C, solves for two of the five variables for the 555/556 timer in the astable mode. (Suggestions for the monostable mode are provided in MONO.C.) Both programs are fully menu driven. In addition to calculation of component values and/or timing testing is performed for over/under limit conditions where these occur. If a limit is exceeded an explicit error message is displayed.

The programs are written in C. They should compile with any C compiler. I took care to avoid code that would limit the portability. For that reason MS DOS ANSI functions are used for cursor and screen clearing tasks. My original code was written in 1986 using The Software Toolworks TOOLWORKS C compiler. I recently recompiled it with Borland’s Turbo C version 2.0 with only minor revisions. If you do not have a C compiler a disk containing both the source and executable code for a PC or compatible is available from the author.

**Using The Monostable Program**

For PC clone users, be sure that DEVICE=ANSI.SYS is included in your computer’s CONFIG.SYS.

Table 1 is a listing of the timing equations for the IC monostables. In its operation the program first displays the 13 IC types. It then queries for the type and the variable to solve for. The three monostable variables are the pulse width, the timing resistance, and the timing capacitance. You are asked to enter the two known. The solution for the third is then calculated and displayed. If a component value exceeds a limit for the device an error message is displayed. The program can be run repeatedly without exiting from it.

The program begins with a display of the monostables for which a solution can be made. This is:

Hi! Enter the letter matching the mono of your choice from the selection below.

A = 9600   E = 74122   I = 74LS221
B = 9601   F = 74123   J = 74C221/4538
C = 9602   G = 74LS123   K = 4528
D = 74121   H = 74221   L = 555/556

The first step, then, is to enter the letter corresponding to the device of interest.

In response the program prints a verification request using the device number.

Is the 74LS123 the mono you want? <Y/N> : y

Pressing "y" (or "Y") causes the program to continue. If "n" (or "N") is pressed you are asked to make another selection.

Assuming the entry is for the desired mono you are asked to select from one of the following options:

Type in T if the program is to solve for the pulse width:
Type in C if the program is to solve for the timing capacitor:
Type in R if the program is to solve for the timing resistor:

Suppose we enter "T" (or "T") for the pulse width. We are then queried for the two remaining requirements - the timing components:

Enter your timing capacitor requirement, CV : 1
Enter multiplier M=mid : N=mid : P=pfm : n
Enter your timing resistor requirement, RV : 47
Enter multiplier O=ohms : K=kohms : L=mohms : k
In this example we are using a one mfd capacitor with a 47K resistor. With this information provided the program calculates the pulse width and displays it's value along with the two given inputs.

TV = 21.15 uSec is the pulse width solution.
CV = 0.001 MFD is the timing capacitor solution.
RV = 47000 OHMs is the timing resistance solution.

We are then given the opportunity to continue with another or the same device with changed values or to exit the program.

Do you wish to continue with new input <Y/N>? : n

This sequence as it appears on our screen is illustrated in Figure 1.

The Monostable Program

The program source code is given in Listing 1. (The line numbers are for convenience only, not a part of the code.) Comments are used throughout to aid in its understanding. Defines and global declarations head the listing, preceding the instruction code. Program operation begins with the function main(). There is nothing particularly devilish about the code with the possible exception of the use of structure variables. Their primary purpose is to simplify the logic for the printf() statements.

Each function call is preceded by comment describing its purpose. A comment stating the source of the call is also provided. Each call to scanf() is followed by a call to flush the keyboard buffer. Without this call the program will leap over the next query. This arises from a bug in the scanf() library function.

The heart of the program is in the function mon_calc(). Here is where the program learns which variable it is to solve for. The variables ms, rn1, rn2, andrn3 (refer to Table 1) are then assigned. Variable md1 was assigned earlier in mono.init() when you entered the device letter.

Following the assignments the program queries for the two known quantities and their multipliers. The actual multiplier is assigned with a call to scan_part(). A call is then made to equatn() for calculation of the unknown. The assignment variable ms is passed in the function call. Note that assignments for three quantities - TV, CV, RV - are made in this function.

On return from equatn() the three values are displayed. An advantage of C’s structure is seen in the simplicity of the printf() statements.

A test then follows for limits. Should one or more be exceeded an error message is displayed.

Using The Timer Program

Table 2 is a listing of the 555/556 equations. In its operation the program first displays the five variables and instructions on their selection. You are asked to enter the two unknowns for which you want solutions. You are asked to verify these - a chance to change if desired. You must then provide information on the remaining three variables. Solutions are then calculated and displayed. No limits on component value exist. There is, however, an upper limit of 0.33 for the duty cycle - an error message is displayed if this is exceeded. Also for negative values in any solution. The program can be run repeatedly without exiting from it.

On typing TIMR and pressing RETURN the screen first clears and then displays the following:

Hi! Pick two variables for solution from the table below.
Enter them in ascending order (b c, not c b).

Follow each with a RETURN:

A = ONE CYCLE TIME, TT. TT = t(low)+t(high).
B = THE DUTY CYCLE, DC. DC = t(low)/TT
C = THE TIMING CAPACITANCE, TC.
D = THE UPPER RESISTANCE, RA.
E = THE LOWER RESISTANCE, RB.

Note: Do not select A and C as a pair.
An entry is not a commitment till you make it so.
If you flub, just continue on, till queried.
Then enter N to start over.

Note that we cannot obtain a solution for the duty cycle and the timing capacitance as a set.

In this example the solution for the two resistors, RA and RB, is desired, so we type "d" (or "D") followed by a RETURN, the "e" (or "E"). Again followed by a RETURN. Like so:

d
e

We are given an opportunity to change our minds:

Are D and E what you want? <Y/N> : y

Assuming happiness with our selection we enter "y" (or "Y"). We are then queried for the three remaining variables:

Enter your one-cycle time requirement TT: .5
Enter multiplier S=seconds : T=mS : U=uS : t
Enter your duty cycle (must be <.33) requirement DC:.2
Enter multiplier - No multiplier for DC. Press RETURN.
Enter your timing capacitor requirement TC:.05
Enter multiplier M=mfd : N=nfd : P=pfd : m

In this we have chosen 5 mS for the period, a duty cycle of 0.2,
and a timing capacitance of .05 mfd. The resistance solutions follow:

RB = 2.89e+04 OHMS is the lower resistance solution.
RA = 8.66e+04 OHMS is the upper resistance solution.
TT = 5e+03 : DC = 0.2 : TC = 0.05

As with the monostable program we have the option of continuing:

Do you wish to continue with new input <Y/N>? n

Usually we know the frequency and duty cycle of the desired waveform. Capacitor values adhere closely to a fixed numerical scheme. The most flexibility and our greatest need to know is with the resistors. The effect of going to the nearest standard resistances is easily checked by their substitution and solving for the period, capacitance, and duty cycle.

The preceding sequence as it appears on our screen is illustrated in Figure 2.

The Timer Program

The program source code is given in Listing 2. It is similar in its construction to MONO C. Although shorter it is somewhat more complex in having to deal with five variables. As with MONO C the program takes advantage of #defines and the structure. The structure may look strange in that there are repetitions for the resistance variables RA and RB. This arises from the multiple solutions for these and the manner in which the data is displayed. Table 2 includes the program assignments associated with each of the possible solutions for all the variables.

The heart of the program is in the function ast_calc(). As in mon_calc() the program learns which variables relate to the unknowns (refer to Table 2). This function is more complex than its monostable counterpart in the number of possible combinations that exist. As in MONO C scaling takes place in scla_par().

Two calls are made to equatn() and the values displayed. A test for the duty cycle and any negative results follow.

Reference

Pepper, Clement S.
“A Monostable Catalog For Experimenters”
Popular Electronics, September, 1979, pp 69 - 79.

A Program Disk

A disk containing the equation tables, C source code, and the run files (EXE) for the two programs is available from the author. Disk format may be any IBM compatible mode: 5 1/4 360 K/1.2M or 3 1/2. To obtain the disk send a check or money order for six dollars ($6.00) along with your mailing address to:

C. S. Pepper,
13409 Midland Road, Apt. 175
Poway, CA 92064

A photocopy of the referenced article is also available for $2.00. Allow three to four weeks for delivery of these.
**Note:** The code snippet appears to be a mix of Pascal and C-like syntax, which is not directly translatable into a readable text format. It seems to involve various data structures and algorithms, possibly related to a graphics or simulation program. The code is较长 and includes multiple functions, loops, and conditional statements. Without further context, it's challenging to provide a meaningful translation or understanding of the entire document. The snippet includes comments in multiple languages, indicating it may be part of an international research or development project.
Listing 1. The source code for MOLOC:

1. /*
2. ** a program for calculation of 355.15 hertz
3. */
4. 8:
5. 9: #include "stdio.h"
10:
11: 11:
12: 12:
13: 13:
14: 14:
15: 15:
16: 16:
17: 17:
18: 18:
19: 19:
20: 20:
21: 21:
22: 22:
23: 23:
24: 24:
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The below reprint is from National Semiconductor Linear Databook. It is application information typical of manufacture specifications used to calculate timer values.
Multitasking in Forth is very simple, incredibly powerful ...and widely misunderstood. This talk will describe what multitasking can do, and when it can be profitably used. The most common Forth multitasker -- the cooperative, round-robin model -- will be explored in general terms. Example code will be given for F83, which will be used to illustrate how to create and control parallel tasks, protect shared resources with semaphores, and pass messages between tasks. Preemptive and prioritized multitaskers will be mentioned briefly.

INTRODUCTION

What is multitasking?

Multitasking is the ability to run several independent programs in a single CPU, apparently simultaneously. Of course, a single CPU can only run one instruction at a time. The illusion is created by switching the CPU very quickly -- hundreds of times a second -- among several different programs. Each of these programs is called a "task," hence the name "multitasking."

A popular fallacy is that you need a big CPU, like a 68000, in order to do multitasking. This is simply not true. Any CPU can multitask; I've done it on Z80s and single-chip Z8s.

Another fallacy is that you need a real-time clock interrupt to "time slice" the tasks. While some multitaskers do indeed work this way, the Forth multitasker does not. You can multitask without interrupts, and with no special hardware support at all!

It is important to distinguish between multitasking and multuser. Multuser systems are those that support multiple terminals, and give several people the illusion of working each on his own computer. Multitasking is simpler: there is only one user, but he can have several things running simultaneously. Unix is multuser. Amigas, Macintoshes, and Windows PCs are multitasking.

Occasionally, in a computer science text, you will see multitasking called multiprocessing. I prefer to avoid this usage. To me, multiprocessing means several CPUs operating in parallel. If it's on a single CPU, I call it multitasking.

When should multitasking be used?

There are several situations -- some more obvious than others -- which can benefit from multitasking.

Parallel operations. Some computer applications just naturally have several things running at once. An embedded process controller probably has to keep the control loops running, even while the operator is typing commands on the keypad. Network communications generally have to be maintained while other things are going on. And usually you'd like to be able to do something else while the printer is grinding out a long listing. All of these are candidates for multitasking.

Using idle time. Many computer programs spend a lot of time waiting -- maybe for a keypress, an external event, or a data transfer to complete. Sitting in a wait loop is a waste of good CPU time! Multitasking allows the CPU to be doing something else while waiting for an event.

Coroutines. Sometimes you need to break out of a program "in the middle." For example, I recently wrote a command processor which needed to stop and wait for a keypress at a deeply nested level. Multitasking allows a program to be suspended at any point, and later resumed, with no special effort on the part of the programmer.

Clarity and ease of programming. Often a program is easier to write, and its logic is more obvious, if you use the facilities that a multitasker gives you. Sometimes an algorithm is best expressed procedurally, in terms of waiting for an event -- even when you know the CPU can't just sit there and wait. I could have written the command processor using a huge state table, and entered the routine "at the top" on every keypress -- but it would have been much larger, and impossible to read and maintain. Multitasking adds WAIT and PAUSE to your "programming toolbox." You just use them naturally, and the multitasker takes care of the details.

HOW FORTH MULTITASKING WORKS "INSIDE"

What each task requires

What is needed to support multiple Forth tasks?

Separate programs. In most multitasking applications, each
task will run a different program. In single-user Forth systems, these need not be in separate dictionaries; they can simply be Forth words with different names.

In multiuser Forth systems, several people may be adding definitions at the same time. So, each user needs some RAM for a private dictionary. F83 does this. (How multiple dictionaries are managed, and linked to the “main” Forth dictionary, are beyond the scope of this article.)

Re-entrant kernel code. We’d really prefer not to have a separate copy of DUP or FIND for each task! Code which can be shared by several tasks simultaneously is called “re-entrant.” Among other things, re-entrant code can’t leave important data in global variables. Fortunately, Forth code, with its use of stacks, tends to be naturally re-entrant, and the “cooperative” multitasker relaxes some of the restrictions on temporary variables. Most Forth kernels are fully re-entrant.

Private stacks. Obviously, each independent Forth program will need its own parameter and return stacks. So, each task has some RAM for its stacks, and also has its own parameter and return Stack Pointers.

Private “user areas.” Some things simply must be kept in variables, and yet will have different values for each task. The “bottom” stack addresses S0 and R0 are two examples; the BASE for number conversion is another. So, each task needs a private RAM area for variables, called the user area. Different Forth kernels may keep from six to several dozen variables in the user area. Most Forths provide a “user variable” which, instead of returning an absolute address, indexes into the user area of whichever task is currently running.

The user area is essential to hold certain task control data: among other things, the “saved” stack pointer, and the link to the next task. Both of these will be discussed shortly.

Private buffers. Certain buffers must exist privately for each task. One example is the PAD buffer which is used for numeric output. If two tasks tried to display a number at the same time, using a single PAD, nonsense would result! Such buffers may be kept in the user area, or (in multiuser systems) in the private dictionary.

Multiuser systems also need separate Terminal Input Buffers. Usually, though, a single set of disk buffers is shared by all the tasks.

Switching tasks

Most Forth systems use the simplest of multitasking schemes: the “round-robin, cooperative” multitasker. Round-robin means that each task takes its turn at the CPU, one at a time, in a fixed sequence -- a big loop of tasks. Cooperative means that each task has the CPU as long as it wants, and releases the CPU only when it’s ready -- nothing will “grab” the CPU away from a task.

Figure 1 shows the RAM allocation for a three-task Forth system. The private areas described above are usually grouped together for convenience. The dictionary and the disk buffers are common to all of the tasks.

Switching from one task to another -- that is, from one program to another -- requires three steps.

a) Save the state of the current program. Everything necessary to restore this program -- to exactly the point where it was suspended -- must be saved. This is called the “task context,” and may include the CPU’s program counter, flags, and registers, as well as data in RAM.

In Forth, most of the task context is on the (private) parameter and return stacks, and so is safe from alteration. But there are four crucial values which are so frequently used that they are usually kept in CPU registers:

SP - the parameter Stack Pointer
RP - the Return stack Pointer
IP - the Interpreter Pointer
UP - the User Pointer (base address of the user area)

These four registers must be saved and restored when the task is switched. (It turns out that we don’t need to save the CPU’s Program Counter, since we never change tasks in the middle of a CODE word.)

b) Select the next task to run. This may be done in fixed rotation, or according to some priority scheme.

c) Restart the new task according to its saved context. This will resume execution of the new task at the point where it was last suspended.

The task switch in F83

The Forth word which switches tasks is traditionally called PAUSE. It must do the following:

a) Save the IP, RP, and SP. Typically two of these will be pushed on a stack, and that stack’s pointer will then be saved in the user area. We don’t need to save UP; you’ll see why in a moment.

b) Get the address of the next task’s user area. This is what the LINK variable in the user area is for: it contains the address of the next task in the round-robin sequence. (The tasks are thus chained together in a linked list.) Note that this link gives you the UP (user area address) for the new task! This is why UP doesn’t need to be explicitly saved.

c) Restore the IP, RP, and SP of the new task.

d) Continue Forth execution!
The time it takes to do this is called the "context switch time," and is an important figure of merit for multitasking systems. Since Forth systems only have to save three CPU registers, their context switching times are quite fast -- under 10 microseconds on some 8-bit CPUs!

F83 on the IBM PC uses some tricks to improve performance. (Refer to Figure 1.) In F83, step (b) is performed by jumping into the next task's user area. In this area is a code fragment (INT 80h) which executes a RESTART routine. This RESTART routine does the step (c) described above.

Why does F83 do this? A task which is not ready to run can be "put to sleep" by changing the INT 80h to a JMP instruction. Then, when PAUSE jumps to this task, it immediately jumps to the next task. The sleeping task is skipped in only one machine instruction!

You can see that if all the tasks had JMP instructions, the round-robin loop would be simply a loop of jumps. Of course, the CPU would then be stuck in an infinite loop! Usually, several tasks will be "awake" with INT 80h instructions.

F83's PAUSE and RESTART are coded as follows:

CODE (PAUSE)
IP PUSH
RP PUSH
UP # BX MOV SP 0 BX Mov save SP in user area
BX INC BX INC BX INC BX INC calculate address of next
0 [BX] BX ADD BX INC BX INC task from (relative) LINK,
BX JMP C; then jump to that task

CODE RESTART
-4 # AX MOV
BX POP
AX BX ADD
BX UP # AX MOV
AX POP AX POP
STI
0 [BX] SP MOV
CLI
RP POP
IP POP
NEXT C;

Using a forth multitasker

Creating a task

All Forth systems start with one task. (There’s always at least one program running!) New tasks can then be added to the system in two phases, which I call creation and activation.

Creating a new task involves two steps:

a) Reserve RAM for the task. Space must be allocated for its two stacks and its user area. In multiuser systems, a private dictionary must be reserved as well.

b) Link the task into the round-robin list. This is a simple linked-list insertion using the LINK field.

These steps are performed only once. Obviously, reserving RAM twice for the same task is a pointless waste of RAM...and can lead to confusion if other tasks need to know where this task is located. Linking the task into the list twice is more subtle, and more insidious: usually it ends up destroying the round-robin loop!

In F83, on the IBM PC, steps (a) and (b) are performed by the word TASK: This word expects, on the stack, the number of bytes to reserve for the new task. 256 bytes are reserved for the return stack, and the rest is divided between the user variables, the private dictionary, and the parameter stack. Thus:

HEX 400 TASK: SCREEN-CLOCK

defines a task and allocates a total of 1024 bytes to it. The newly defined word SCREEN-CLOCK will return the base address of this 1024-byte area (the base address of the user area).

Activating a task

Once the task has been created, it can be "activated" any number of times. This involves two steps:

c) Initialize the task context. Several user variables must be initialized, and in F83 the INT 80h instruction must be inserted in the user area. Also, the initial values for the SP and RP must be stored in the stacks or user area in such a way that they will be correctly loaded into the CPU registers when this task is "resumed" for the first time.

d) Specify the code to be executed by that task. The initial value for the IP must be stored in the stacks or user area, too. When the task is "resumed" for the first time, this will be where execution begins. It must point to a fragment of high-level Forth code.

(Some Forths may only perform step (c) once, in which case it may be done as part of task creation.)

After the task has been activated, it will lie dormant until its turn in the round-robin loop. Then it will begin executing the Forth code specified in step (d).

In F83, the RP, SP, and IP are initialized by the word ACTIVATE. F83’s ACTIVATE must be used within a Forth word -- it cannot be used interpretively. It expects the address of the task on the stack, and is immediately followed by the high-level code the new task is to run. For example:

START-CLOCK SCREEN-CLOCK ACTIVATE BEGIN_CLOCK PAUSE AGAIN...

code performed by "main" task
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START-CLOCK is executed by some other Forth task -- typically the "main" (initial) task. It then "activates" the SCREEN-CLOCK task to perform the code fragment BEGIN .CLOCK PAUSE AGAIN. The main task exits this word immediately after ACTIVATE.

Other task control in F83

The functions described above -- PAUSE, TASK:, and ACTIVATE -- are all that you need to establish a multitasking Forth system. But F83 provides some additional words for convenience:

taskaddr SLEEP puts a task to sleep, by inserting the JMP instruction in the user area.

taskaddr WAKE awakens a task, by inserting the INT 80h instruction.

STOP just puts the running task to sleep, and then switches to the next task. This is equivalent to my-task SLEEP PAUSE.

MULTI enables the multitasker.

SINGLE disables the multitasker, by changing the action of PAUSE to a "no-op." (PAUSE is a DEFERred word for just this purpose.) Whichever task does SINGLE will keep control of the CPU.

APPLYING THE FORTH MULTITASKER

The PAUSE : running programs in parallel

The simplest use of a multitasker is to have several programs running in parallel. This requires only that every program have its own task, and that every program obey two rules:

a) Every task must PAUSE periodically! This is how the task voluntarily surrenders the CPU to the other tasks in the system. If there are no PAUSES, this task will never release the CPU, and no other task will ever run!

Most Forth I/O words, such as EMIT, KEY, and BLOCK, contain a PAUSE. The assumption here is that I/O is slow, and so other tasks should have some time at the CPU.

b) The code executed by the new task must never return! Remember, this code was not entered from a subroutine call -- it is the very first code executed by this task. Thus, there is no return information on the return stack! (Boom.) In general, the "topmost" Forth code of any task must be an endless loop. In F83, the word STOP can be used to end a task, instead of looping.

There is also a rule for Forth programmers during the test and development phase: do not FORGET tasks! Remember, once a task is created, it is linked into the round-robin list. If you -- accidentally or intentionally -- reclaim a task’s RAM area with FORGET, and then put other definitions into that RAM, you will destroy the round-robin linked list! (Again, boom.) It's safest to put all the task creation first in your code, and then never FORGET back that far.

Example #1: the on-screen clock

Note: the example code given in this article is written for F83 version 2.1.0 for the IBM PC. F83.COM seems to be distributed with the multitasker already installed. Type

' TASK:

to find out. If TASK: is not defined, you will need to install the multitasker by typing

OPEN CPU8086.BLK 21 LOAD OPEN UTILITY.BLK 52 LOAD

Screen 1 of the listing is the code for a simple on-screen clock. This code creates a second task which continually displays the time of day in the upper right-hand corner of the screen.

@TIME is a Forth word to return the IBM PC clock time.

We want to use the Forth display words, but we don't want the clock task to interfere with the main task's display. After we reposition the cursor to the top right of the screen, we need to be able to put it back where it was. The words @CURS and CURS invoke BIOS functions to get and set the current display cursor.

@TIME displays the time in hh:mm:ss format. It illustrates the use of @CURS and CURS to get the cursor position, set a new position, and restore the original position. Note the use of SINGLE and MULTI around TYPE. TYPE does many EMITs, and each EMIT does a PAUSE. This would switch back to the main task while the cursor is in the wrong position! Rather than redefine EMIT to eliminate the PAUSE, we can simply shut off multitasking for the duration of the TYPE. (This is, however, quite crude.)

The definition and activation of the SCREEN-CLOCK task have already been described. Note that you must specify DECIMAL from within the clock task’s code. The number base is a user variable, and typing DECIMAL from the keyboard will change the main task’s number base, not the clock task’s.

After loading this screen, type

START-CLOCK MULTI

to activate the clock. You will see the clock appear in the corner of the screen. Type WORDS and observe that the displays do not interfere with each other. Then type SINGLE WORDS and compare the speed when the multitasker is
switched off. The clock display in this example consumes far too much CPU time; it redisples the clock dozens of times every second, when only once per second would be adequate. A better version would wait for the time to change before redisplaying.

Example #2: the round-robin cycle counter

Screen 2 is Forth code to count passes through the round-robin list. Similar code is supplied as an example with F83; this code is different only in that it displays the cycle count in the upper right corner. Load this screen, and type

START-COUNTER

to activate the counter. (You may have to turn MULTI back on.) On my 12 MHz AT, with the on-screen clock task also running, I see about 50 counts per second. This means that each task is getting the CPU every 20 milliseconds.

Waiting without pain

Programmers seem to write a lot of wait loops. Most wait loops fall into one of three categories:

a) polling an I/O device. Sometimes you must use polled I/O. The hardware may not have a "data ready" interrupt. Operating system software may only offer a "check status" function -- such as the keyboard under MS-DOS.

b) awaiting an interrupt. When the hardware supports interrupts, you may have to wait for an interrupt to occur. For example, a disk controller using DMA will issue an "end of transfer" interrupt when the operation is complete.

c) waiting for another task. In multitasking applications, occasionally one task has to wait until another task has accomplished something.

Sometimes, waiting is just the obvious way to write a program! Recall the example of the command processor, which has to wait for a keypress to be received and processed.

Wait loops burn up CPU time. Even worse, trying to wait for more than one thing at a time can lead to some Byzantine compound loops! A multitasker solves both of these problems.

One simple addition changes the wait loop from a CPU hog to an efficient programming construct. Simply insert a PAUSE in the loop! This ensures that, while this task is waiting, all the other tasks in the system will get to use some CPU time.

Most multitasking Forth kernels write their I/O this way. For example, the basic definition of KEY

: (KEY) BEGIN (KEY?) UNTIL 0 8 BDOS ;

is changed in F83 to

: (KEY) BEGIN PAUSE (KEY?) UNTIL 0 8 BDOS ;

As long as no keypress is ready, this task will PAUSE repeatedly, surrendering the CPU to the other tasks in the system. The polling represents a slight overhead: on every pass through the task list -- typically every few milliseconds -- this task will execute PAUSE (KEY?) UNTIL. If (KEY?) is not too complex, this overhead is negligible.

Note that, even if a keypress is ready, the word (KEY) will do at least one PAUSE. This is usually desirable. If this is not desirable, a BEGIN..WHILE..REPEAT loop can be used instead.

What if all of the tasks are waiting for something? Then the system ends up spinning in a much larger wait loop -- checking each task in turn for its "wake up" condition, and moving on to the next. The first task whose poll is successful will then continue execution. With no special programming effort, the multitasker automatically checks a set of events, and starts a different program for each event!

Be aware that putting a PAUSE in the loop will significantly reduce the polling rate -- from microseconds to milliseconds. If fast response is essential, or there is a chance that an event can be "missed," some other approach is needed. Interrupts are usually best in this case.

Shared resources and semaphores

The problem of mutual exclusion

Once you have several tasks running in parallel, a new problem can arise: access conflicts. This happens when two tasks attempt to use, simultaneously, some device or resource which can only be used by one task at a time.

Consider, for instance, a disk controller which requires two time-consuming operations to access the disk: a seek, and then a read or write. (Many controllers are like this; the Western Digital 1791 is one example.) Suppose Task A does a seek, which takes a few hundred milliseconds. While it is waiting, other tasks are running. Now suppose that Task B tries at this moment to access the disk. It issues its own seek command, conflicting with the command issued by Task A. When the seek completes, and Task A resumes, it will be at the wrong location on the disk. (Worse, since only one completion signal is issued by the controller, one of the tasks may wait forever for its seek to finish.)

Or consider the on-screen clock and cycle counter examples: while one task had altered the display cursor, other tasks had to be prevented from using it.

Or consider the printer. If one task -- maybe a background printing task -- is outputting to the printer, we certainly don't
want another task sending output at the same time.

In the example programs we used the crude solution of switching off the multitasker. This is usually not practical -- it defeats the whole purpose of multitasking! We need a better solution.

Fortunately, this "mutual exclusion" is a classic problem in computer science, and several solutions have been devised over the decades [TAN87]. One of the simplest and most elegant is the "semaphore," invented by by E. W. Dijkstra in 1965.

The semaphore

In its simplest form, a "binary semaphore" is a flag associated with a resource. Two operations act on semaphores: WAIT and SIGNAL. WAIT checks to see if the resource is available. If so, it is marked "unavailable"; if not, the CPU is released to other tasks until the resource becomes available. SIGNAL just marks the resource "available."

In Forth, these can be written

```
: WAIT ( addr -- )
  BEGIN PAUSE DUP @ UNTIL \wait for nonzero = available
  0 SWAP !; \make it zero = unavailable
:
  SIGNAL ( addr -- )
  1 SWAP !; \make nonzero = available
```

(These are also in screen 3 of the listing.)

Dijkstra observed that the operations of testing and setting the semaphore must be indivisible. In the cooperative Forth multitasker, all Forth code is indivisible until a PAUSE is executed, so these definitions will work as written. In preemptive multitaskers, or any application where interrupts can affect semaphores, interrupts must be disabled within WAIT and SIGNAL (except for the PAUSE).

It is a subject of some debate whether SIGNAL should include a PAUSE. Adding a PAUSE ensures that a task which is blocked on that semaphore will be awakened soonest, thus maximizing the use of the resource. Sometimes, however, this is not what is desired.

How semaphores are used

Every task, before using a shared resource, does a WAIT on its semaphore, and after using the resource, does a SIGNAL. This is sufficient to ensure that only one task can use that resource at any time -- and yet even if one task is blocked, the other tasks can run normally.

The semaphore is defined as a simple Forth variable. The semaphore variable must be initialized to a nonzero value, to indicate that the resource is initially "available." This should be done when all other variables are initialized: when you load the application (on a PC), or as part of the startup code (in an embedded application.)

For the disk example described above, the Forth code may look something like

```
VARIABLE DISK-SEMAPHORE

: READ-SECTOR
  DISK-SEMAPHORE WAIT
  SEEK
  READ
  DISK-SEMAPHORE SIGNAL ;
```

Now consider the situation with two tasks trying to access the disk simultaneously:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task A</th>
<th>Task B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WAIT</td>
<td>WAIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEEK (100 msec)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>READ (100 msec)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGNAL</td>
<td>SIGNAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Task A performs a WAIT, sees that the disk is available, and proceeds to do its SEEK. Task A then waits with PAUSE until the seek is complete, so that other tasks can use the CPU. At this point Task B requests the disk resource with WAIT. Thanks to Task A, the resource is busy, so Task B is put into a wait loop -- waiting not for the seek to complete, but for DISK-SEMAPHORE to be set. This won't happen until Task A is finished seeking and reading. So, Task A is waiting for the disk, Task B is waiting for Task A's SIGNAL, and the other tasks in the system are free to use the CPU.

The beauty of this approach is that the WAIT and SIGNAL are identical for all tasks, so they can be made part of the common routine READ-SECTOR that all tasks use.

Semaphores for intertask signalling

The WAIT operator is general-purpose: it can be used any time you need to wait for a RAM location to become nonzero. Recall that two of the uses of wait loops are to wait for an interrupt to occur, and to wait for a signal from another task.

To wait for an interrupt, simply WAIT on a variable you have defined for the purpose. The interrupt routine then just needs to store a nonzero value in that variable. (Often this can be done with a single machine instruction, e.g., increment.) Waiting for another task is exactly the same, except that the other task can use the high-level SIGNAL word to store the non-zero value.

Note that, in these cases, the semaphore should be initialized to a zero value.
Problems with semaphores

Semaphores are not foolproof. They are susceptible to "dead-
lock," where two tasks, each having grabbed one of two
resources, are waiting for the other resource to become avail-
able. One solution to this is the "monitor," also described in
[TAN87], which can be implemented if you have semaphores.

Breaking out of the depths

What about the problem of "breaking out" of a deeply nested
program, preserving all of its nesting information, and then
returning to it at some future time?

Surprise! This is exactly what PAUSE does! All of the return
information, temporary variables, etc. which need to be pre-
served, are all part of the task context. The task context, you
will recall, is the information which is saved when tasks are
switched. So, to suspend a task until some future event, simply
do a WAIT or some other multitasking wait loop.

Returning to the command processor example: when I rewrote
this application, I created a word KEYPRESS which waited
-- with PAUSES -- for a keypress to become available. I also
converted all of the variables used in command processing to
user variables. KEYPRESS is used in dozens of places in the
program, as the command processor works its way through its
logic tree. The command processing code is written "nor-
manally," with no special attention given to saving the state, or
releasing the CPU to other tasks!

Message passing

Often parallel tasks need to communicate with each other. One
of the most-used schemes is the "mailbox," an agreed-upon
place where messages can be left for a task. Mailboxes may be
statically allocated to each task -- in which case you must be
careful to have enough mailboxes -- or they can be dynamically
allocated from a pool.

Perhaps the simplest scheme, which ensures that there are
sufficient mailboxes for all the tasks, is to include a mailbox in
each task's user area. Then, as tasks are added, new mailboxes
are too. Each task can reach its own mailbox easily, as a user
variable. Other tasks can reach that mailbox by offset from the
given task's address. (Recall that the "task address" is usually
the base address of its user area.)

For simplicity, we can limit messages to a single cell (16 bits
on the IBM PC). An F83 implementation of this would be:

```
: SEND ( message taskadr -- )
    MYTASK
    OVER SENDER LOCAL
    get adr of destination's SENDER
    BEGIN     ! loop with PAUSE,
        PAUSE
        until his SENDER is zero
    DUP @
    0= UNTIL
    ! store my adr in his SENDER
```

MESSAGES and SENDER are user variables. (See screen 4
of the listing for their definition.) The convention here is that,
if SENDER is nonzero, a message is in the task's mailbox.
This works because most Forth systems can't have a task user
area at address 0000.

SEND sends the given message to the given task. Before it can
do so, it must be sure that the destination mailbox is empty --
otherwise it would destroy some other mail. So it waits, with
PAUSES, until the destination task's SENDER variable is
zero. Then it stores the message, and the sending task's
message in his MESSAGE

```
BEGIN
    MESSAGE @     ! get the message from my MESSAGE
    SENDER @      ! get his task adr from my SENDER
    0 SENDER !;  ! indicate mailbox empty & ready
UNTIL
```

RECEIVE waits for any message to appear, as indicated by
SENDER going nonzero. It then reads the message and the
sending task's address. Finally, it clears the SENDER field,
to indicate that the mailbox is now empty.

Note that if two tasks try to send messages to the same desti-
nation, one of the tasks will be blocked until the destination
task has read its mail!

This is a very simplistic message system, although adequate for
many applications. More sophisticated schemes allow a re-
ceiver to select only messages from a specific sender, or allow
multiple messages to be queued at the receiver so that multiple
senders do not have to wait. Other extensions would allow
variable-length or string messages.

Example #3: the Print utility

Screen 5 shows a simple use of messages. This is a modifica-
tion of F83's screen-printing utility SHOW, to run as a "back-
ground" task. Load this screen, and type

```
START-SHOW
```

to activate the printing task. (Don't forget to turn MULTI on.)
At this point, nothing will happen. You can now type

```
1 4 SHOW
```

and a printout of screens 1 to 4 will start on the printer. At the
same time, you will get the "ok" prompt on the screen, and you
can type Forth commands. Type WORDS, for instance.

When the printing task was activated, its first action (in START-
SHOW) was to clear its mailbox. It then waits for two
messages in a row, which it expects to be the first and last
screen to print, respectively. (We don't care who sends these
messages, so the sending task address is DROPped after each
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RECEIVE.) Since no message has yet been sent, it just waits forever, invisible to the “main” Forth task.

SHOW is redefined to simply send two messages to the printing task. So, when the SHOW command is typed at the keyboard, the printing task is awakened and begins to print the requested screens. When the listing is complete, the printing task loops, waiting for a new print request (two more messages).

If you have a slow printer with a small buffer, you will notice occasional interruptions in the WORDS listing being displayed by the main task. This might appear as if the multitasker is not working as advertised. Actually, this is an unfortunate byproduct of the MS-DOS printer routine. MS-DOS assumes that a program which is printing can afford to wait, so there is no BIOS call to test the “printer ready” flag. All Forth can do is request a character be output to the printer. If the printer is not ready, MS-DOS (not Forth) will tie up the computer while it waits for the printer. To fix this problem, we would have to bypass MS-DOS and write our own direct printer I/O routines.

Advanced topics

The round-robin, cooperative multitasker which has been described is the most common Forth multitasker. Most public-domain Forths and many commercial Forths use this approach -- it’s simple, versatile, and efficient. But some Forth systems have extended the multitasker to add new capabilities; and most non-Forth multitaskers offer some of these “advanced features.”

Preemptive multitaskers

The problem with cooperative multitaskers is that each task keeps the CPU until it does a PAUSE. It can be very difficult to distribute CPU time equally among the tasks; poorly written code can “hog” the CPU for inordinate amounts of time. Placement of PAUSE instructions becomes something of an art.

One solution is to allow a task switch to be forced upon a task, say by a real-time clock interrupt. If tasks are switched every 10 milliseconds, it’s easy to allocate CPU time accurately. This also ensures that no task can tie up the CPU; and in a round-robin system, it guarantees an upper bound on how long a task must wait for the CPU.

Preemptive multitaskers have several disadvantages, too:

a) since a task switch can occur at any time -- even in the middle of a CODE word -- all of the CPU registers and flags need to be saved with the task context. On a big processor like the 68000, this can make the context switch time much longer. (Recall that the cooperative multitasker only needs to save four registers.)

b) words such as WAIT and SIGNAL become more complicated, since they must switch interrupts off to be indivisible.

c) since Forth code is no longer “normally” indivisible, many more semaphores are needed to enforce mutual exclusion, and more temporary variables must be moved into the user area.

Prioritized multitaskers

Another problem is: how do you tell the system that some tasks are more important than others?

Perhaps you want to allocate more CPU to one task than another. Or, it may be desirable to run one task in preference to all others, as long as it’s not waiting for an event. Or possibly there is a “background” task which should only run when no other task is able to run (i.e., when all the other tasks are waiting for something). What you need is a way to assign priorities to tasks. High-priority tasks should run in preference to low-priority tasks -- either by receiving a bigger share of the CPU time, or by taking the CPU completely away from lower-priority tasks.

Priority schemes are usually associated with preemptive multitaskers, but this need not be the case. Here’s one possible modification to the basic Forth multitasker to implement a priority scheme: instead of PAUSE switching to the next task in the round-robin list, make it switch to a designated “first” task in the list. This task then is the highest-priority task; as long as it is able to run, no other task will get service. WAIT must be modified to link to the “next” task in the round-robin list.

The disadvantages of priority schemes are:

a) there’s usually more overhead involved in task switching, since the multitasker must decide which task to run next.

b) assigning priorities is not simple. Sometimes a small change can have a disproportionate effect on the amount of CPU time various tasks receive.

c) in some systems, it’s possible that some tasks will never get any CPU time.

Interrupts

How interrupts are handled can vary from one multitasker to another.

The simplest approach is to treat interrupts completely apart from the multitasker. Interrupts do not affect the execution of tasks; when an interrupt occurs, the interrupt routine executes, and then returns to whichever task was running. (i.e., interrupts work the same as they do in a non-multitasking system.) Interrupts can set flags which will later be seen by various tasks. This approach works best when the interrupt handlers can be kept simple. It offers the fastest interrupt service time;
however, if the purpose of the interrupt is to "wake up" a task, it may be many milliseconds before that happens.

A preemptive multitasker can allow an interrupt to wake up a specific task. If the service to be performed is complex -- say, interpreting a long message received via DMA -- then it's usually better to do that service in a task, rather than in an interrupt handler. (This is because, usually, some or all interrupts are blocked for the duration of an interrupt handler.) The downside: in addition to the disadvantages of preemptive tasks, this approach has a longer interrupt service time, since the interrupt handler is more complex.

There are other variations, but most interrupt service schemes fall loosely into one of these two categories.

Conclusion

Multitasking is a powerful tool, and like a good tool, it can dramatically increase your productivity. The basic Forth multitasker is more than adequate for many applications. Like Forth itself, it is simple, versatile, and efficient... and easy for one person to grasp. Add multitasking to your "toolkit": you will find that many programming problems become simpler, and some become trivial!
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Well another issues is done and ready for the press. It is late and I need to whip this out so I can finish the issue. Yet I actually do have items of importance (depending on your point of view) to comment on.

**UZI on a Z180?**

Rick Rodman's column this month got me thinking about the UZI program (Unix 7 for the Z80). The intro I included pointed out problems with such a limited memory space. That sort of ruled out doing much with it, until I considered the Z180. It would appear that a little re-working is in order, and major problems might be solved when it has a full Megabyte of memory for swapping instead of using the disk. Something we need to consider.

Speaking of the Z180, I have gotten some feed back on our proposed XT bus Z180 CPU card. So far the results are vary favorable. Everyone is in favor of it, except price seems to be a major concern. It would seem our competition is cheap clones that are falling into the $100 range and under. My position is still, that we are offering much more than you will get by buying that old clone.

What options, well 20MHZ CPU that would require at least a 40MHZ 386 to be as fast. Source code to operating systems and many utilities. Many times simpler design. Access to very cheap I/O cards. Ability to use LAN cards and other exotic I/O devices. Lots of boards that can be bought for $5 to play with and modify.

Of course you say, but why not the XT itself. My answer is go ahead and try. I spend many hours a week working on adapting PC/XT software and hardware for a living, and let me say without a doubt it is no fun! I hate anything that is written by Microsoft. The list of bugs in those products is endless. The problem there is there is no way to work around most of those bugs. What is in store for the future, more complex problems and bugs.

So my position is the simpler the better. Z180 on a XT bus is simple and straightforward. I like that. Windows for Workgroups, however is another successful dog from Microsoft.

**Windows for Workgroups**

Well last week I set through four hours of seeing just how Windows for Workgroups works. It becomes very apparent that the main objective is killing any competition. The product contains something to compete with almost every vendor of LAN products. It contains mail programs, LAN interfaces, schedule programs, their new database products, and on into nausea.

The market is targeted at small businesses (2 to 5 users) with the idea of eliminating sneaker nets (that is when disk data is transferred in person from machine to machine). Yet the $25 network (1-800-628-7992 and tell them The Computer Journal sent you) can do the same thing with less overhead and no need for windows. I would say my productivity drop by 30% thanks to waiting for windows to do it's thing. Now do it all with a Z180 and a few serial ports and now we are talking simple and fast.

**Z80 on a LAN?**

One area I am looking into is tying CP/M systems together. Now most servers are MSDOS based (actually OS2 for LanMan or Netware for the other 70%). And when it comes for raw storage the cost ratio for a newer PC based machine is definitely better than any other. So it only logically makes sense to use them for servers. Now to use a 386 with 8 Megabytes of memory for a word processor seems to be a little overkill to me.

A better alternative would be using a Z80 based machine, tuned for word processing and connected to the PC server. This seems a great ratio and balance of simple and complex ways to solve problems. The large and virtual nature of the server balances the speed and simplicity of the Z80 for handling characters on a screen.

I guess one area to consider is how about graphics on a Z80. When I look at how most of the more recent word processor are more like desktop publishers, I really don't see a problem. I even know of one magazine published solely on a PC based word processor. So in reality I find little that could not be done with a CP/M talking to a MSDOS server. So where is that old LAN hardware and software that started out on Z80s? There should still be some of the old code and products kicking around. Anybody got any? let me know will you.

Well I need to save room for the Kaypro disk catalog, so I hope you consider the Z180 on a PC bus and drop me or Herb a note about your ideas. Till later keep on computing.
MODEM PROGRAMS

MODEMPAT sets up the SIO (serial port) for whatever baud rate, bits per character, stop bits, and parity you need.

NOTE: See disk K28 for a later version of Modem 7. However, the disk K28 version limits you to 300 or 1200 baud. This Modem 7+ gives you the complete range from 300 to 9600 baud.

KMDM795 A superset of MODEM7, this program lets you set baud rate on the fly. However, it is not set up for changing the bits/char and such like MODEM7+.

TERM A disassembler of the TERM program that was distributed with the early KAYPRO IIIs and IVs. With this commented source, you can configure it for your own needs.

SQUEEZE/UNSQUEEZE These programs squeeze and unsqueeze all kinds of files.

UTILITIES

2-DISK DOC 4k DIEXEC DOC 1k WART CAT 1k
ALLOC COM+ 2k DU-77 COM+ 6k QCAT COM 1k
CAT COM 1k DU-77 DOC+ 6k SUPERCOM COM 3k
CATALOG DOC 8k DUMPX COM 3k SUPERDOC DOC 5k
COMPAR COM 2k DUMPX DOC 5k UCAT COM 2k
CRC COM 3k FINDBD54 COM 2k UNERA11 ELF 3k
CRC DOC 1k FINDBD54 DOC 1k UNERA19 COM 2k
CRLIST CRC+ 2k FIX COM 3k UNERA19 DOC 2k
D COM 3k FIX DOC 1k UNLOAD COM 1k
D DOC 3k FMAP COM 4k UPSPOOL COM 2k
DASM COM 10k FORMFEED COM 1k UPSPOOL DOC 14k
DASM DOC 17k FORMFEED DOC 1k WASH COM+ 2k
DIREK COM 2k LISTT DOC 4k
DIREK COM 2k LISTT DOC 2k

FORMFEED Run this program when you need a form feed on your printer.

DIR-DUMP Displays all the disk files and their locations, along with the user number. This super directory program produces an alphabetical list in vertical order.

WASH A file transfer/maintenance program. Forerunner (and subset) of SWEEP.

DUMPX Similar to the CP/M routine DUMP, DUMPX is incredibly more powerful and more useful (e.g. displays the ASCII equivalents).

SUPERCOM A definite improvement over CP/M's SUBMIT program.

ALLOC Produces a bit map of the disk and can write-protect files. Menu driven.

COMPARE Compares two files.

DU-77 Very powerful disk utility. The user interface isn't particularly easy to learn but you can do anything to a disk with this famous program.

LISTT Prints out CP/M files with headers, page numbers, and offsets from left margin.

DASM True Zilog format disassembler for 8080 and Z80 object (.COM) files.

UNSPool Lets you use your system and print at the same time (without one of those fancy print buffers).

FINDBD54 This super utility really checks a disk (without destroying the data on it) and reports bad sectors.

CATALOG A group of programs to create and maintain a directory of all the programs you have on all your disks.

UNERAS Erases files. Kinda handy.
K-3

GAMES

3-DISK DOC+ 3k D COM 3k PRESSUP COM+ 8k
ADV-SAVE DOC+ 1k GAMES COM+ 39k WASH COM 3k
EDO COM 14k MAZE COM 3k WUMPUS DOC 15k
CAY2O + 1k MM C + 5k WUMPUS DOC 4k
CAY21 + 1k MM COM 7k WUMPUS PAP+ 12k
CAY22 + 1k OTHELLO COM 22k ECHESS COM 8k
CAY24 + 1k OTHELLO DOC 2k ECHESS DOC+ 3k
CAY25 + 1k PACHA COM+ 18k
CRC COM 3k PACMAN DOC+ 2k
CHECKLIST CRC+ 1k PRESSUP C + 8k

PACMAN Almost the real thing! And on a system that has no graphics!
ZCHESS A real honest-to-good, competent at least more competent
than D chess game with a 1 to 5 level look-ahead.

OTHELLO Othello is a game you learn in minutes, and master in years.
GAMES Seven games all put together as one program. You select from
LIFE, HORSE RACES, MAZE, PATTERNS, BLACKJACK, ANIMAL, and
GO-BANG.

WUMPUS Wumpus is a classic computer game. You have a choice of
caves as you enter and you can map them as you go through.
PRESSUP Pressup is somewhat similar in play to Othello but is not as
difficult to master.

MM This is the Master Mind game. You try to guess what characters the
computer has chosen. The point of the game is to guess the characters in
as few moves as possible.

BIO Generates bioswirl charts. This handy program does it all,
complete with a graphic display of the results.

MAZE Generates random mazes (surprise!).

K-4

ADVENTURE

-KATYRO 004+ 0k ADV1 DAT+ 31k ADV2 PRT+ 15k
ADV COM 36k ADV1 PRT+ 4k
ADV2 HAV+ 0k ADV2 DAT+ 105k

ADVENTURE Here it is! The latest, greatest, most cussed adventure
ever devised by half-mortals. This cave is greatly expanded and the
creatures are much smarter (smarter than I anyway). These files total
191K so there isn’t room for the usual documentation. See
ADV-SAVE DOC on disk K-3 for documentation.

K-5

MX-80/GEMINI 10X GRAPHICS SOFTWARE

5-DISK DOC 1k GRAF C 8k HOUGE GRAF 12k
BASE GRI 2k GRAF COM 46k LINE GRAF 3k
BASE2 GRI 2k GRAF DOC 21k MEDIUM GRAF 4k
CIRCLE GRAF 8k GRAPHFIC 2k SMALL GRAF 1k
CRC COM 3k GRAPHTILE 2k STAR GRAF 8k
CHECKLIST CRC 1k GRAPHTILE 1k TITLE GRAF 8k
D COM 3k GRAPHTILE 2k
DEM C 6k GRAPHTILE 2k
DEM C 41k GRAPHTILE 3k

Don Brittain has created this graphics display package for use with
MX-80, FX-80 and FX-100 with Grafix, or Gemini 10x printers.
GRAF An interactive routine that allows you to create graphic images.
NOTE: You get the graphics on the printer only. Even the new Kaypro
cannot display the kind of high-resolution graphics that this package can
do. The .COM files will work with Epson MX-80 compatible printers
only. If you are familiar with C and have Auto C you can try modifying
and recompling the source to match a different printer.

K-6

TEXT UTILITIES

6-DISK DOC+ 4k EXTREMES 1k ROFF2 C + 10k
CHOP C + 1k ENTAB C + 2k RTW C + 1k
CHOP COM 4k ENTAB COM 3k RTW COM+ 4k
CP C + 2k EPROM844 ARM 7k SIGNS COM 10k
CP COM 4k EPROM844 COM 2k TRUNC C + 1k
CRC COM 3k EPSW32T 1k TRUNC COM+ 3k
CHECKLIST CRC+ 2k FONT DAT+ 1k WRAP C + 7k
D COM 3k MS C + 1k WRAP COM+ 6k
DUMP C + 4k MS COM 4k WRAP DOC+ 3k
DUMP COM+ 5k ROFF DOC+ 18k TOYTYR + 1k
EDIT DOC+ 26k ROFF DOC+ 8k
EDIT DOC+ 10k ROFF H + 4k
EDIT ELP+ 1k ROFF C + 12k

ROFFA UNIX-like text formatter.
SIGNCS creates large block letters on your printer.
EPROM844 Set print modes on your Epson MX80.
EDIT Full-blown line editor similar UNIX’s EX.
CHOP cuts off a file after n bytes. CP will copy from one file or device to
another. DUMP outputs (to screen, disk, etc) the HEX translation of a
file. MS lets you specify how many linefeeds you want between lines
(multiple space). WRAP is a simple formatter.
ENTAB replaces blanks with tabs wherever possible.
RTW removes trailing whitespace from a text file.
TRUNC truncates each line in a text file at a specified column.

K-7

SMALL C VERSION 2

7-DISK DOC+ 2k ITOK C + 1k STDH H + 1k
ABS C + 1k LEFT C + 1k STUDIO H + 3k
CALL ABS+ 10k LIB H + 1k STUDIO H + 1k
CRC COM 3k LIBRAM C + 1k STDH H + 1k
CHECKLIST CRC+ 2k OUT C + 1k UTOI C + 1k
DIOX C + 1k PRIVIT C + 2k VM H + 1k
HELLO C + 1k SAMPLE DOC+ 7k VMD H + 1k
HELLO2 C+ 0k SIGON C + 1k XTOI C + 1k
ITOS ABS+ 26k SMALLC DOC+ 17k
ITOC C + 1k SMALLC2 DOC+ 6k
ITOC C + 1k EMC COM+ 35k

Here is an expanded version of Ron Calin’s Small C. The additions to
the language are substantial and include such important features as 1/O
redirection on STDIN and STDOUT, separate compilation of version 2
modules and many new library functions.

K-8

SOURCE OF SMALL C VERSION 2

ABS C + 1k OSC3 C + 5k LIBRAM C + 1k
CC DEF+ 4k CC4 C + 1k OUT C + 1k
C01 C + 6k CC41 C + 7k PRIVIT C + 1k
C01 C + 11k CC45 C + 5k ESM C + 1k
C12 C + 8k CC80 DEF+ 4k SMOC DEF+ 4k
CC13 C + 8k CRC COM+ 3k STUDIO H + 1k
CC2 C + 2k CHECKLIST CRC+ 2k SWROM C + 1k
C21 C + 7k DIOX C + 1k UTOI C + 1k
CC22 C + 9k ITOC C + 1k XTOI C + 1k
CC3 C + 2k ITOC C + 1k
CC3 C + 9k ITOC C + 1k
CC3 C + 8k LEFT C + 1k

K-8 contains the source of Small C version 2. You do not need this disk to
use the compiler on K7.
K-9
GENERAL UTILITIES

9-DISK DOC 4k EKL4 COM 2k INSTALL SUB 1k
ALIENS COM 1k EKL4 COM 6k PASSWORD 2k
ALIENS DOC 3k EKL4 SUB 1k PASSWORD COM 1k
CRC COM 3k EKL4 TST 2k ERDDOT 1k
CHECKLIST CRC 2k FIXED ASM 2k SCHEL 1k
D COM 3k EKL4 COM 1k TREX COM 7k
DIF/SEND DOC 5k EKL4 DOC 12k SCPR DOC 6k
DIF2 COM 15k EKL4 FIX COM 2ak ECRK EKL 6k
EKL4 ASM 29k EKL4 FIX DOC 1k

EX14 Great replacement for both SUBMIT and XSUB.
FIX A fantastic disk utility that allows you do anything on a disk.
FIND Searches the disk for a string of uppercase characters.
PASSWORD allows you to password-protect files.
ALIENS Space Invaders patched for the Kaypro.
TREK You finally get a chance to command the Starship Enterprise.
ZCPR This CCP replacement will look on drive A for a COM file when
you're logged in on drive B, page during TYPE, and more.
DO NOT ATTEMPT TO INSTALL ZCPR ON THE KAYPRO 10!
DIFP/SEND With these utilities you can update someone else's copy of a
program by simply creating a file of the updates (using DIF2) and then
sending only the differences.

K-10
Z80 AND LINKING ASSEMBLERS

10-DISK DOC 3k CROWECMP DOC 8k EARM DOC 5k
CRC COM 3k CROWECMP PRM 8k PRINTPRM ASM 5k
CHECKLIST CRC 1k CROWECMP 143k PRINTPRM 1k
CROWECMP COM 8k EARM COM 8k

CROWECMP if you've been looking for a good, basic Z80 assembler for
$8, this is it. We modified the CROWE assembler so that it would work
on any CP/M system. Source code is included so you can extend it to
your heart's content.
LASH A faster, longer rewrite of the standard CP/M/ASM assembler.
PRINTPRM This is a nice little program that makes it possible to list the
PRN files produced by the CROWECMP assembler on any printer.

K-11
CHECKBOOK PROGRAM - LIBRARY

11-DISK DOC 3k EXAMPL CHECK 1k LU COM 18k
CHECKS COM 10k EXAMPL DOC 6k LU DOC 23k
CHECKS DOC 19k EXAMPL HAM 2k LOEREF1 COM 7k
CHECKS 180+ 5k LOIR COM 8k PRINTL 6k
CRC COM 3k LOIR DOC 3k PRINTL DOC 1k
CHECKLIST CRC 1k LOIR LIB 13k PRINTL 2k
DISPLAY COM 3k LIBRARY LIB 1k PRINTL 2k
DISPLAY DOC 3k LIBRARY COM 2k

DISPLAY is like the TYPE command except it allows you to page
forward or backward.
VLIST uses the cursor keys to vary the scrolling speed of a file you are
TYPEing.
GLST reformats a long text file of short words into columns.
CHECKS keeps track of which checks are tax deductible and which
should be charged to various accounts. It also keeps a running balance.
LU (LIBRARY UTILITIES) This combination of utilities allows you to
extract individual files or run COM files from the library without
extracting them first. You can also build your own library files.

K-12
KAYPRO FORTH

12-DISK DOC 2k FORTH COM 7k KFORTH COM 16k
CRC COM 3k FORTH DOC 12k
CHECKLIST CRC 1k FORTH SCR-150k

There are two FORTHs on this disk. FORTH is true Fig. FORTH.
KFORTH has been extended and includes its own screen editor,
decompiler, and 8086 assembler.

K-13
SOURCE FOR FIG-FORTH

13-DISK DOC 1k CHECKLIST CRC 1k FORTH COM 7k
CRC COM 3k FORTH ASM 6k

This disk contains the source of the Fig. FORTH on disk K12.

K-14
SMARTMODEM PROGRAMS

14-DISK DOC 3k MODEMAP ASM 9k SMODEM MAC 83k
BYTE COM 2k MODEMAP COM 12k SMODEM 80k
CRC COM 3k PHONE 01 1k SMODEM 5k
CHECKLIST CRC 1k PHONE RAX 1k
D COM 3k SMODEM DOC 24k
KATTERM DOC 5k SMODEM COM 10k

SMODEMx Modem7 setup for the Kaypro and SmartModem.
XMODEM lets a remote user upload and download files.
BYE connects and disconnects your system from the phone line.

K-15
HARD DISK UTILITIES

15-DISK DOC 6k CHECKLIST CRC 1k MULTICOPY COM 2k
B1 COM 1k D COM 3k MULTICOPY DOC 1k
BACKUP ASM 31k FLOCOPY ASM 17k SWEEP COM 20k
BACKUP COM 4k FLOCOPY COM 3k SWEEP DOC 14k
BACKUP DOC 7k FLOCOPY DOC 2k U ASM 4k
BIGBURST ASM 12k MAKE COM 3k U COM 1k
BIGBURST COM 2k MDIR ASM 10k UASQ COM 12k
BIGBURST DOC 3k MDIR COM 1k
CRC COM 3k MOVE COM 1k
CRC DOC 1k MULTICOPY ASM 14k

BACKUP is a lifesaver. It backs up your hard disk onto as many floppy
disks as necessary.
FLOCOPY makes it easy to back up floppy disks on a system with one
floppy drive and a hard disk. It uses the hard disk as a buffer.
BIGBURST breaks apart any file that is too large to fit onto a floppy in
one piece.
MULTICOPY operates like Pip, but will prompt you when the floppy is
full so you can change floppy.
MDIR This directory program displays files under ALL user areas and
alphabetically sorts the entries in each area.
MAKE/MOVE Two utilities for moving files between user areas.
U lets you change user area and drive with one command.
SWEEP lets you nearly everything you normally do with Pip, only
easier. It's like TYPE, ERA, DIR, and Pip in one program.
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K-16
PASCAL COMPILER

16-DISK DOC 1k W55555A 1k PSYFAC DOC 2k
COMPARE COM 2k PASCAL DOC 4k REPORT DOC 3k
CRC COM 2k PASCALWTRX DOC 6k RPY ARP 11k
CRC DOC 1k PC SUB 1k RPY COM 2k
CHECKLIST CRC 2k PSYF COM 7k STERLING COM 2k
DISK DOC 3k PSYF PAR 11k STERLING PAS 1k
EQ COM 3k PASCALDATA 1k TESTER 1k PAR 4k
EQ PAR 2k PSYFPAR 13k VALIDATE SUB 1k
EXC2 COM 3k PSYF DOC 2k FX OCC 1k
EXC2 DOC 6k RONTO DOC 1k FX PCO 1k
FWD PAR 1k PDC COM 16k
HM COM 2k PDC DOC 12k
HM PAR 15k PDC PAR 26k

As it stands, this version of Pascal supports only a subset of the language, but since the disk also contains complete source for the compiler, you can extend it if you wish. The compiler is written in Pascal and it compiles itself.

K-17
Z80 TOOLS

17-DISK DOC 2k DASM DOC 27k XLATE2 DOC 3k
CRC COM 3k DASM MAC 74k XLATE2 MAC 37k
CRC DOC 1k DASM565 MAC 17k
CHECKLIST CRC 1k DISAMSEL DOC 5k
DASM COM 10k XLATE2 COM 5k

XLATE2 translates 8080 assembly language programs into Z80 mnemonics.
DASM is a friendlier version of the disassembler on disk K2.

K-18
SYSTEM DIAGNOSTICS

MEMRS performs a number of memory diagnostic tests from about 1000H to the bottom of BDCS (the TPA).
MDIAFTY/MDIAIGLPT Two programs to test from 1000H to the top of memory. The test procedures are different and a bit more exhaustive than those in MEMRS.
LOWTFT/LOWTFT Revisions of MIAFTY and MIAIGLPT that test the portion of memory that is not tested by the others (0000H to 1000H).
DISKSTST Nice little disk diagnostic which doesn’t require an alignment disk or scope.
DISKALGN simply positions your drive head to a specified track. This makes alignment with a standard analog alignment disk much easier (you still need an alignment disk, a scope and a manual).

K-19
PROWRITER GRAPHICS SOFTWARE

19-DISK DOC 1k GRAF C 8k MAGIC DOC 12k
BARI GIF 2k GRAF COM 4k LINE GRF 3k
BAR2 GIF 2k GRAF DOC 21k MEDIUM GIF 3k
CIRCLE GIF 8k GRAF11C C 2k SMALL GIF 1k
CRC COM 1k GRAFFILE C 2k STAR GRF 8k
CHECKLIST CRC 1k GRAFIVT C 2k TITLE GIF 8k
D COM 3k GRAFLINE C 2k
DEMO C 7k GRAFFILT C 2k
DEMO COM 35k GRAFUTIL C 5k

Don Brittain’s Prowriter version of disk K3. See the documentation on that disk for more information.

K-20
PROGRAMS FOR MICROSPHERE’s COLOR GRAPHICS BOARD

20-DISK DOC 2k PACMINT C 8k PIZ Doc 2k
CRC COM 3k PACMAN C 7k PORTS 0 1k
CRC DOC 1k PACMAN COM 24k SKETCH BAS 26k
CHECKLIST CRC 1k PACMAN SCR 14k SKETCH COM 19k
HIGHER PAC 1k PACMANSET C 5k SKETCH DOC 6k
PAC 1k PACUTIL C 6k SKETCH SCR 14k
PAC SUB 1k PIZ BAS 9k TEXT OUT 10k
PACBAS 2 3k PIZ COM 26k
SBASIC Pacman, sketching, and pie chart programs for the color graphics board.

K-21
SBASIC PROGRAMS AND SCREEN DUMP

ADDRESS DAT 0k DUMP94 MAC 8k MSTRMIND COM 12k
CRC COM 3k HANGMAN COM 22k SCREEN BAS 2k
CHECKLIST CRC 2k HANGMAN DOC 2k SCREEN COM 8k
DIR+ COM 4k INSCRIPT BAS 6k SCREEN DOC 1k
DIR+ DOC 1k INSCRIPT COM 11k SCROLL COM 1k
DRIVER BAS 12k INSCRIPT DOC 9k SCROLL 2k
DRIVER COM 13k MATH BAS 4k WORD COM 8k
DRIVER DOC 3k MATH COM 9k WORD LIB 1k
DUMP24 COM 1k MATH DOC 1k XLATE BAS 2k
DUMP24 DOC 3k MATH COM 3k XLATE COM 5k
DUMP24 MAC 6k MATHL COM 23k XLATE DOC 3k
DUMP94 COM 1k MSTRMIND DOC 1k

DIR+ This disk utility does everything PIP does and much more.
DRIVER creates a menu of .COM files on drives A and B and executes selected file.
DUMP24 Screen to printer dump for older (83) model Kaypros.
DUMP94 The same as the above program for the new generation Kaypros.
HANGMAN Traditional word guessing game in SBASIC.
INSSCRIPT SBASIC screen input program.
MATH Micro C’s attempt at structured SBASIC coding using Math1 as the victim.
MATH1 The math game in its original unstructured form.
MSTRMIND Mastermind game written in SBASIC.
SCREEN displays all displayable screen characters.
SCROLL allows viewing of files by scrolling forward or backward.
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