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New Computers / PC98

If you don’t think that MS-DOS PC’s with ISA bus plug-in slots aren’t the Trailing Edge Of Technology, that means you haven’t seen the PC98 specs from Microsoft and Intel. In order to qualify for the WIN98 logo, your motherboard is not allowed to have any user accessible ISA bus slots. None. Zero. All I/O is to be done thru PCI slots or one of the two serial busses, USB or IEEE 1394.

For the latest and greatest systems running only new software and desktop applications, that’s probably a good step. PCI and the serial busses unload the CPU to a certain degree (they require specialized chips) and they all are a part of Plug’n’Play. For small companies selling ISA bus I/O cards and writing low level software, this is Not good.

PCI and the serial busses require network style protocols to communicate with them. They are also licensed technologies that must be registered for the Plug’n’Play software to be able to identify it correctly. How do you get licensed and registered? First you reach for your wallet and ...

Though ISA bus may be ‘old and slow’, you didn’t have to ask anybody’s permission to play. Many small companies made a decent living from building specialized ISA bus I/O cards and software. You are supposed to pass the FCC tests, but many didn’t bother.

With the expense and complexity of PCI and the serial busses, some of these companies will be put out of business. The Win98 machines are heading towards becoming a closed system with only the ‘big boys’ allowed to play. Another example of pricing the ‘little guys’ out of the market by making the up-front cost of the technology too high for them to play. Microsoft says it’s not a monopoly. ?? I think they’d like to be.

Because there is so much business in the ISA cards, there may be a split in the PC industry. There are already several alternatives to MS-DOS. Some of the smaller motherboard manufacturers may find a niche in the ISA bus motherboard business.

There are a number of articles on related subjects in this issue. In The Computer Corner, Bill Kibler writes about ‘The Move to Linux’ which is ‘the move away from NT’ and ‘the move away from Win95’. My interest is in control and communications so I wrote an article on I/O Software and Operating Systems’ which is right in front of Bill’s article.

Old Computers / Year 2000

I had thought that my old PC’s would be just fine with the Year 2000 since DOS supports dates until 2038. Then Bill Kilber called me up and told me about BIOS problems with the PC’s Real time clock. I downloaded a Y2K test program and found out that none of the five PC’s I have in use will properly support the Year 2000 at the BIOS level.

After doing some research on the web, I found out that AMI and Phoenix didn’t upgrade their BIOS’s until 1995 or 1996. The suggestion was that users should get upgrades from their motherboard manufacturers. I did some more research and called up the manufacturer of my 486 motherboard made in 1990 to see about getting a BIOS upgrade. They said they don’t support motherboards that old. !!!!

Since new PC98 motherboards aren’t going to support the ISA boards and applications I run, the choice isn’t as simple as getting new motherboards. I have a number of customers in the same situation although many of them don’t know it. I’m looking at the possibility of modifying the BIOS roms in my machines. If I succeed, you’ll hear about it here in TCJ.

Dave Baldwin
TCJ Editor/Publisher

Many of TCJ’s authors are using Linux and this is reflected in several articles this time. Frank Sergeant talks about using a Linux kernel compilation in Stress Testing PCs with Linux. Rick Rodman covers using Samba on Linux as a file server for PCs and Linux developers resources in Real Computing. Bill Kibler has two Computer Corner articles this time and they’re both about Linux, especially compared to Microsoft products. And since people are constantly asking about writing I/O programs, particularly serial I/O, on different operating systems, it seemed appropriate to put my article on I/O Software and Operating Systems right before Bill’s articles.

Part 2 of the article by Hal Bowers and Cameron Cotrill on Banked/Portable I/O System (B/P BIOS) is in this issue. Rick Rodman mentions the Unofficial CP/M Web Site in Real Computing and the next article is The Unofficial CP/M Web Site by Tim Olmstead who maintains it and collects CP/M related source code and manuals for the site.

Brad Rodriguez is back with Multitasking 8051 CamelForth, an extension to his 8051 CamelForth which was published in TCJ. Ron Anderson switches from C to BASIC this time with an article about tracking the Lottery along with a few opinions and some comments about older PCs and source code for a BASIC interpreter he found.

On the hardware side, we have two installments of Dave Brooks Simplex III article. He goes over the microcode and schematics for one of the cards. Hal Bowers shows how to modify the YASBEC in High-Density FDC for YASBEC.
About The 'Net
by Ted Deppner

A little beyond all the glammer and glitz surrounding the 56K modem battleground is the wonderful world of reality, where the physical laws of the universe (and the FCC) say, ‘hal! 48Kbps is all you get!’ Due to FCC limits on how hard you can drive a phone line, and the limits of 56K technology, the maximum bandwidth you can achieve is 48k. In practice of course, this is 42k to 46k on average.

We sit here on the brink of 56k modem technology becoming the standard, and look at the changes over just a few years. Ten years ago 1200 baud was top dog. Soon came 2400, then 9600! Each time of course, we hit the impenetrable ceiling, and ‘this is the fastest it can go.’ The world is flat, ‘the moon is green cheese,’ when will we learn?

It used to be that that copper could do a maximum of 10 megabit traffic, and that fiber could do 2 gigabit. Then Category 5 wire came into widespread use, and other improvements followed. Today copper can do 2 gigabit, and your modem can do 56 kilobit with ease.

Well, only for today. Rockwell International recently announced their plans for what is beyond 56k for the modem market. The plan calls for a 1 megabit modem, based on Digital Subscriber Line technology. DSL technology (and ADSL in particular) can take a single pair of copper wire, and pump 1.5 megabits over it.

In the good old days, we made modem servers by buying 32 modems, multi-port serial cards, and writing customized software to turn a unix PC into a terminal server. This process involved various things, (sacrifices, ritual and prayer) and often they worked.

Today, the ‘box’ that does 56k has 4 plugs: power, 2 T1 phone lines, and a local network. It can handle 46 users at a time, modems from 300bps to 56k, and ISDN at 64k or 128k. It doesn’t have a screen or a keyboard, only 4 idiot lights. Oh, and it only costs $17,000.

That box (specifically the PortMaster 3 made by Livingston) does its magic by removing one step in the way modems work. Modems, as you know, are Modulator/Demodulators, and work by turning digital signals into analog ones. The “T1 phone lines” are T1 circuits, that have 23 fully digital ‘lines’ on them. The PortMaster takes those digital lines directly, avoiding the need for another modulate/demodulate step. Less signal conversion means less noise and more usable bandwidth available for communication.

On the horizon are DSL technologies, internet telephony products (or ‘TelANphony’), cable modems, and broadband satellite to name a few. The cable modems and broadband satellite don’t seem viable for the mass market. If they are fully deployed nationwide, there aren’t enough satellites up there, nor is there enough bandwidth in the world to supply every cable modem subscriber.

In the last 3 years the cost of the service provider’s network connection hasn’t changed much. In that time the average consumer speed has gone from 14.4k to 56k. If the consumer speed doubles from 28.8 to 56k, and the service providers costs remain the same, the provider’s user capacity was just divided nearly in two. Supporting cable modems at 400k to 700k each is an even worse situation.

As always technology marches forward. While some of the emerging technologies stand the chance of outstripping the carrying capacity of the net, there is no doubt it’s going to be a fun ride.

Side Notes:

Livingston was bought by Lucent Technologies a few months ago (Lucent is the technology ‘branch’ of what used to be AT&T). Lucent (formally Bell Labs) is credited with developing a minor thing in the industry, the transistor. You can read about it at http://www.lucent.com/ideas2/heritage/transistor/inventors.html, it’s good history, and it all began only 50 years ago.

Information about Rockwell International’s next consumer modem technology can be found at http://rockwell.com/News/PressRel/PR971028.html.

Internet telephony takes those T1 phone lines and sends voice through the internet at a fraction of the cost of a direct dialed call. Using compression and other bandwidth optimising technologies, instead of just 23 phone lines per T1, you can reach 100 to 200 per T1 with relative ease. This is a big win for large companies for their internal phone traffic, or for upstart local and long distance companies.

Ted Deppner takes care of operations at psyber.com where TCJ’s Web pages are.
Send your letters for Reader to Reader to:

The Computer Journal
P.O. Box 3900
Citrus Heights, CA 95611-3900

Subject: Subscriptions; progress on various fronts
From: Herb Johnson
<hjohnson@pluto.njcc.com>

Read through the TCJ Web page, glad to see your progress. I see you are asking for subscriptions from your advertizers, so I will mail you today a $24 check to start my subscription from issue #80, which I don’t believe I received. I’ve missed Rich Rodman’s articles in particular. His interests and mine coincide on small networking like his “tiny TCP” stuff — has he advanced that work lately?

I’ve said I would write something on “classic Macs”, or compact Macs or “9-inch” Macs, which I’m now also selling as well as my S-100 stuff. But this is something new to me, and I’ve waited for my sense of that “domain” to jell into something that might also be interesting for your/my readers. One thing I like about the small Mac owners is their enthusiasm for their systems. In addition, these are relatively useful systems even by today’s standards for CASUAL personal computing. I will consider some kind of writeup in that context. As these systems are not bus-based, few folks are doing any elaborate hardware development. There are serial-based odds and ends however, and the old Mac CPU cards themselves are potentially hackable: problem being the development tools are scarce. The opposing market force to providing Mac tools and technologies is the number of inexpensive single-board computers and their free development tools. Still, even a Mac as terminal and filestore is a useful accessory application. If you see an article in this I’d be encouraged.

I’d like you to consider referencing my Web page: http://pluto.njcc.com/~hjohnson/ which describes the kind of S-100 documents I offer, as well as some tidbits on S-100 systems. As time progresses I will also list the S-100 cards I have available, but of course those interested can ask. I’m also buying S-100 cards and systems at very modest prices, for sale at modest prices.

The short story in the S-100 world is the obscene prices collectors are paying for Altair 8800 systems. Most of the rest of the S-100 world sells for zero to tens of dollars per card – or system. The increased use of the Internet has permitted more of this stuff to be traded around: the comp.os.cpm maillist is more active these days with people asking the usual questions about what to get, where it is, and how to use it. I’ve had more interest from those wanting documentation for their “new” computers, less interest in cards, modest interest in complete systems (which I rarely provide as it’s a lot of work!).

The Web page also lists early Mac systems for sale, also well-priced, and my astronomical interests. I’m spending a lot of my time on amateur astronomy: I’m involved with the TASS amateur sky survey project, which was written up in Sky and Telescope magazine a few months ago. Amateurs with CCD cameras monitor the sky around the celestial equator, looking for variable stars and whatever is out there at 10th-12th magnitude. It’s an interesting study on shoestring science.

My regards to you and to your readers, and my previous readers.

Herb Johnson, still “Dr. S-100"

Ed. - I’ve been trying to collect Digital Group systems. Here’s an email conversation I had recently with someone who knows about them.

Subject: Digital Group System in photo
From: Rex Widmer
<rwidmer@sound.net>

I was surfing the net and saw a photo of you behind a vintage Digital Group System - dress cabinet, in the usual style of lid removed! (on the Vintage Computer Festival web pages, www.siconic.com/vcf.html)

I wonder if any of the post bankruptcy products were in the machine. Hundreds of Z80+ (higher clock rate, real time clock, multiple boot emop, heartbeat, etc) processor boards were built down here in my dungeon and sold into the market. Many improved motherboards (one foot square, 1/8" thick PC), Also lot of I/O Processors, really similar to the (later on the scene) Hayes ESP cards, a fair number of TV80's (H89 emulator, but bus connected) and a tiny number of solid state Pseudo Disks (512K in 1981), and various hard drives.

There are still some of these machines (with all of the RWS replacement parts instead of the Digital Group) running 24 hours per day. Feed them a new Z80 chip every now and then and they just keep working.

Sometimes I wish they would all be museum pieces - but the customers paid real money for them, and they (at least the RWS versions) were designed to run forever with the exception of things like EPROMS that may need reprogramming and fans which need replacing.

Dave Baldwin / The Computer Journal wrote:

I actually know very little about the Digital Group machines except that
they were contemporay with the Altairs and Lmsais. I read about them first in a Byte article fifteen years ago. After I took over TCJ, I started collecting them but I'm short on documentation. If you can help with any of that, I'd appreciate it. I have two machines/motherboards, two quad tape drive units in cases and one dual eight-inch drive unit.

I'd love to hear about these machines, especially if you could write some articles for TCJ about them.

Subject: Re: Digital Group System in photo
From: Rex Widmer <rwidmer@sound.net>

The Digital Group machines emerged primarily from the Ham marketplace as opposed to the computer hobbyist. Lots of overlap between the two areas.

I beleive they were first shown at the Dayton Hamvention in 1975 - matching what was shown in Dr. Suding's original Byte articles - interchangable 8080 and 6800 cpu's, not much later with the Z80 which constituted most of the production.

The company entered Chapter 11 - reorganization in early 1979, and was liquidated in August 1979 (one creditor would not sign up for the 100 cents on the dollar with interest recovery plan). A liquidation auction was held at the Digital Group facility in Denver in the fall of 1979.

Several small companies (mine included) stepped in to continue support.

The intellectual property - board layouts, copyrights etc, was very poorly protected by the Bankruptcy trustee. Some folks (not me) paid tens of thousands for the original artwork, but then found others with "working tooling" churning out boards from that artwork. Was a mess for those who spent the money.

After seeing the original artwork sell for ludicrous prices, I executed a plan to build compatible, replacement cards all of which had significant improvements. The first was a new processor card - Z80 but with many improvements, the next was a 64K static ram card (replaced 8K static, 32K static and 32K dynamic cards) using 2K x 8 technology, also a new motherboard - better power distribution, more accurate geometry, and big enough to not require expansion. A new disk controller was produced, with a design that was about 3/4 generation newer, and much more manufacturable. A series of 4 peripheral cards was produced using dedicated Z80 coprocessors with onboard eprom and ram (made design and update work much easier). This series included a smart I/O card (buffering and protocol in the card), a 512 K solid state disk, a 80 x 24 (or more) video card, and a co-processor prototype. The last component produced was a daughter card for the processor which provided a SCSI hard disk interface.

A number of articles are possible, mainly an issue of getting enough time.

Speaking of your machines, the quad tapes were an on-going challenge (as were several other components). The design was good on paper, then was poorly executed - i.e. the voltage to the drives was not properly regulated, the heads of all 4 drives were wired in parallel, and the head preamp was on the end of a long noisy cable. A chap at Stanford published a newsletter with lots of tips on how to fix all of these issues - Hugh McDonald (later of Tall tree systems as I recall).

The 8" disk subsystems might have one of several disk controllers attached. Original single density card (42 pin NEC LS1), noted for needing mods and wiping out disks if powered down with disk in drive. Digital Group (or direct clone) double density board - high density card with WD1791 chip. Difficult to manufacture, lack of proper voltage regulator for critical components, (made card not portable, sensitive to system's power supply voltage), and card had too much noise sensitivity. RWS type III controller - fixed all of that (only slight bragging), used newer data separator technology and proper board layout.

Many operating systems were available for the disk based units. More for the double density boards. CP/M 1.4, 2.2, 3.0, MCOS (CP/M clone), OASIS, DISKMON (also with a variant called PHIMON for the digital tapes you have) and others.

The machines still running are typically involved in process monitoring - a testing lab in Cincinatti has a bunch - Dow Chemical Co had a bunch (last time I heard), and several local medical centers who have dedicated message switch applications running on them.

Dave Baldwin / The Computer Journal wrote:
Thanks for the info. May I print your email in TCJ's 'Reader to Reader' column?

If you get the time, I'd like to see some articles. My impression is that the Digital Group equipment is rather neglected compared to the Altair and Lmsai crowd.

Subject: Re: Digital Group System in photo
From: Rex Widmer <rwidmer@sound.net>

You may certainly print the letter.

Digital Group stuff has certainly not attained the "collectable" status of the Altair / IMSAI S100 machines.

Many of the original machines were without fancy cabinets - the kits were frequently sold that way. Also no fancy front panels - nice cost savings by doing all of that type of stuff via EPROM and a mini monitor loaded from audio cassette.

Like the Altair, original machines frequently needed a tweak or two. 8K memory boards had driver (to CPU) problems, origianl motherboards had keystoning in the phototools which made the dual connector I/O cards act up, motherboard voltage drop was a problem when you might have 25 Amps of +5 running 56K of 8K memories (the RWS 32K used about 500 ma)!

But... the systems could be made to work reliably, once you knew the tricks, and perhaps got rid of a few first generation parts.

Biggest difference was that there was far fewer vendors of significance until after the bankruptcy. Godbout did make a suitable 32K static card, that probably hit the street prior to the failure. Doug Bell A.K.A Bell Controls adapted a fine Tarbell $100 floppy card to the system prior to the failure, but that adaptation took a fair amount of mechanical fiddle work and wire wrap to lash a $100 card into the D.G. chassis / bus structure.

The surprising point is that there were active user get togethers at the Dayton Hamvention for over 10 years after the bankruptcy. Even now, almost 19 years after, there will no doubt be at least an informal gathering of the faithful in 10 days at the Hamvention.
Online with TCJ
Internet and BBS resources

TCJ has both an Internet Web site and a BBS that you can download files from. I keep a lot of the same files on both systems, but each is quite different. The web site provides easy access to anyone with an Internet account but is limited in disk space.

The BBS requires you to dial it up directly (which is a long distance call for most), but is limited only by the size of the hard disk I can provide. You can also access the BBS with modems as slow as 1200 baud (and as fast as 14.4Kb) where most Internet providers don't allow access below 9600 baud now.

I've been trying to get the resources together to convert the BBS over to Linux. When that (finally) happens, the Web site and BBS will have a lot more in common.

The BBS phone number is (916) 722-5799. If you don't want to go through the signup procedure, you can use the generic TCJ logon. Use "Computer" as first name, "Journal" as last name, and "Subscriber" as the password.

The TCJ Web site now has 15 main pages and dozens of links and files. The TCJ Web pages are at:
* http://www.psyber.com/~tcj/

**TCJ Web Pages**

**Asynchronous Serial Data Communications**
Datasheets and applications notes in PDF format, source code from TCJ articles and other sources, terminal and serial port info programs, and links to other sources of information.

**Current Issues**
Table of Contents of recent issues along with the text of some articles and source code from some articles.

**Back Issues**
Complete Back Issue listing for TCJ with the table of contents.

**The TCJ Store**
Items for Sale from TCJ.

**Advertisers**
Links to and files from TCJ advertisers.

**TCJ FTP**
FTP directory with FAQ's, schematics, and software.

**FAQs and Files**
Links to Internet FAQ's and file archives.

**People and Places**
Links to persons and places on the Internet.

**Support Groups**
Support listings for older systems with links to those we know are online.

**TEOT**
Links to web pages with information about *The Trailing Edge of Technology*. Older systems, terminal info, and 'online' computer museums.

**Kaypro**
TCJ Kaypro catalog - ROM Upgrades and stuff

**Tools**
Some software tools and files

**GIDE**
Tilmann's Generic IDE interface for the Z80

**TCJ Writers and Editors**
Links to web pages put up by TCJ authors and editors.

**TCJ Readers Resources**
Web pages by some of TCJ's subscribers.
How can you get your work done if you cannot rely on your computer? Fortunately, I had at least two unreliable computers of my own to experiment upon. Intermittent troubles are very difficult to track down. Is it the hardware or is it the software? I will describe the test I now use to answer that question.

*The Test*

Compiling the kernel of the Linux operating system uses the resources of the computer so intensely that it makes a good test of the hardware. If the compile "blows up", then the hardware is bad. This may take a leap of faith at first, but I will try to explain why I think it is a reasonable hypothesis. You might think that the compile blowing up means a software bug in the compiler. But, if that were true, you would expect the compile to blow up at the same place each time. Compiling the kernel consists of running the compiler on many different source files, producing an object file for each one, then linking the object files together to form the final kernel. (The kernel is the heart, so to speak, of the Linux operating system.) Typically, if the compile blows up, it does so during the compile of a different source code file each time, sometimes after 45 seconds, sometimes after 2 or 3 or 20 minutes, etc. It is this random nature of the problem that suggests bad hardware and not bad software. More details about this test, examples of hardware problems and what to do about them, plus additional case histories are available from http://www.bitwizard.nl/sig11/ or in the file CGG-SIG11-FAQ available on Linux distributions and ftp sites (for example, see http://www.infomagic.com).

Remember, we are dealing with an intermittent problem. If the computer didn’t work at all, we wouldn’t have a problem, we’d just replace it. But, when it crashes once a day or once a week, especially if we are running Windows, how do we know it isn’t Windows causing trouble? The uncertainty is disturbing, not to mention the problem of losing your work, corrupting your data, and upsetting your employees and customers. Because we are dealing with an intermittent problem, compiling the kernel once does not prove the hardware is good. The more times in a row you compile the kernel successfully, the more likely the hardware is good. However, a single failed kernel compile proves the hardware is bad. Throughout this article, a "test" or a "stress test" will mean running a kernel compile. Since even bad hardware can sometimes run a single test successfully, we must run a series of tests, 10, 20, 50, or more, depending upon our patience.

Following is the shell script to run the test multiple times. I name it ‘stress.sh’ and invoke it with ‘stress.sh 10’ to run a series of 10 tests. It reports the success or failure results to the screen and also writes them to a log file named ‘stress.summary’.

```
#!/bin/sh
# perform the compile (make dep; make clean; make zimage) 2>&1 > /dev/null
if [ $? -eq 0 ]; then
  echo ' - success - ' >> HOME/stress.summary
else
  echo ' - failure - ' >> HOME/stress.summary
  fi
# increment the pass number
passnum=$((passnum+1))
done
```

*What is Linux*

Linux is a free clone of the Unix operating system. It runs on various hardware, including PCs (386 or better). It is a more reliable operating system than DOS or Windows. It can co-exist with DOS or Windows on a PC, allowing you to decide at boot time which operating system you wish to use.

*Case Histories*

**First Case History: Pentium 90 MHz (24 MB RAM)**

***Symptoms***

This computer worked most of the time. It would lock up perhaps once a day or more often in Windows 95. It would lock perhaps every few days in plain DOS. It essentially never locked up in Linux. Even in Linux, though, there were signs of trouble: a kernel compile would never complete successfully and a large LaTeX compile would fail about
one in three times. It would blow up at random places. Even then, the computer did not lock up, but just reported an error and returned to the command prompt. So, this is why I say that Linux is more reliable than Windows or DOS: on the very same hardware, even though the hardware was defective, Linux did not lock up but the other two did.

**Testing**

I first suspected a RAM (memory) problem. I spent several days substituting various combinations of RAM chips and re-running the tests. None of the combinations of RAM chips solved the problem.

Next, I pulled all of the cards that weren’t essential to running the test. This didn’t help. Next I checked the motherboard settings with the manual. The CPU was rated at 90 MHz and I wanted to make sure the motherboard hadn’t been misconfigured to run at a higher speed. The settings were correct.

Then, I changed the clock settings to reduce the external clock speed from 60 MHz to 55 MHz. This “fixed” the problem. That is, a series of tests completed successfully. I replaced the cards and it still worked. I reset the jumper to 60 MHz and again the tests failed. I reset the clock to 55 MHz and the tests passed. The motherboard has two sets of jumpers. One set controls the external clock speed (60 MHz, 55 MHz, etc.) and the other controls the multiplier for the CPU clock. The lowest this would go was 1.5, thus, for a 90 MHz Pentium (really an AMD chip), the external clock should be set to 60 MHz and the multiplier to 1.5, giving 90 MHz for the CPU. I was disappointed in having paid for a 90 MHz machine but only receiving an 82.5 MHz machine. But, I was much happier to have a reliable machine at the slower speed than to suffer the data loss and aggravation of the faster speed. One test run took about 17 minutes. I ran a series of 30 tests overnight — all successful.

The CPU has a fan and a heat-sink combination attached to it with a plastic clamp — the heat-sink is sandwiched between the CPU and the fan. The fins of the heat-sink face the fan and the smooth side of the heat-sink touches the CPU. The idea is that the fan blows air over the fins to cool the CPU. I was surprised to see that the heat-sink was merely placed “bare” against the CPU. In the old days, voltage regulators and power transistors and other hot-running ICs usually were mounted to their heat-sinks with thermal compound (heat-sink grease) that was designed to improve the heat transfer. I hear that the lack of using the thermal compound is typical these days for CPUs. I am suspicious of this practice and think it may be laziness or ignorance on the part of computer assemblers. I remounted the heat-sink to the CPU using heat-sink compound, reset the external clock speed to 60 MHz and ran another series of tests. Yes, this fixed it. Now the machine runs at full speed.

**Second Case History: Pentium 166 MHz  
(16 MB RAM)**

***Symptoms***

This machine, in a network of three computers, all running Windows 95, would lock up occasionally. It was a new machine and no reason or pattern was obvious. The installer planned to return it to his whole-seller for replacement. I suggested we run the stress test on it first to see if the problem really was the hardware.

***Performing the Test***

There were some problems setting up the test that I worked around by temporarily installing my spare 120 MB hard disk. I installed Linux on that disk and was able to run the test without disturbing the primary hard disk on the machine. I felt I needed to do the tests this way because the primary hard drive was set up as a FAT32 file system with a single partition and would have been difficult to repartition.

***Results***

One run of the stress test took about 13 minutes on this machine. To my surprise, the first test was successful. I set up the stress test to run overnight and it ran successfully 57 times in a row. Of course, this does not prove the hardware is good. The stress test might have failed on the 58th time. Also, the stress test does not test everything. Nevertheless, 57 successes in a row with no failures is a good sign that the hardware is probably good.

Our next best guess as to the cause of the lockup problem was that it was caused by WordPerfect version 6.0a (a 16-bit Windows 3.1 program) running on that machine. I had heard from an experienced WordPerfect user that version 6.0 was particularly buggy. WordPerfect was upgraded on that machine. The computer has not locked up since then, although it has only been running for a few days. We will keep an eye on it and hope for the best. Meanwhile, it appears this was a software problem due to WordPerfect.

**Third Case History: ‘486 40 MHz (20 MB RAM)**

***Symptoms***

This machine gave frequent problems under Windows 95 and under Windows NT. The registry would become corrupted, software installs would fail, the computer would lock up, etc. Even under Linux there was trouble, although not as much as under Windows. Linux would usually lockup if left to run overnight.

***Special Considerations***

This machine presented an interesting problem due to its speed and its value. Because of its relatively slow speed, the stress test takes a long time to run. This makes the testing extra expensive in terms of time. Then, because of the machine’s age and speed, it is not worth very much. So, the test costs more to run and the best possible final result, a working ‘486 machine, has little value. Therefore, if a ‘486 system seems to be causing trouble, instead of testing and repairing it, it might be more “cost effective” simply to replace it with a faster machine.

Nevertheless, the stress test might be useful even on old, slow machines as a quick “Good/Bad” test. If the test fails, replace at least the motherboard and CPU, don’t try to locate the faulty component. If it passes the test, let it run overnight or over a weekend to give more confidence that the hardware is ok and that the trouble lies elsewhere.
**Test Results**

Since I had the 120 MB hard drive already loaded with Linux after testing the Pentium 166, it was not too difficult to open the case of the '486 and connect this drive. Because of other troubles I had been having with the '486, I was fairly sure it would not pass the stress test. Sure enough it failed right away with lots of errors.

I changed various this around over a period of about a week, running lengthy tests between changes. The final result appears to be a reliable 12 MB machine. It seems there were two problems: (1) probably the 16 MB SIMM was bad and (2) the CPU needed a heat-sink and fan.

The length of time each test took depended upon the amount of RAM and whether the external cache was turned on or off. A single test with 4 MB of RAM was still running after eight and a half hours when I finally killed it. It might have passed the test, but I'm not going to run a series of tests where each one takes that long. Thereafter, I tested with at least 8 MB. Normally, a series of 10 tests would take between 8 and 15 hours.

After removing what later turned out to be the bad 16 MB RAM and replacing it with 8 MB of "known good" RAM that had passed the stress test in another machine, I ran a series of 10 stress tests. This took about 15 hours. Yes, with a '486-40 and only 8 MB of RAM (and a fairly fat Linux kernel) each compile took around and hour and a half. It passed the first 6 tests and the 8th test, but failed the 7th and 9th and 10th tests. You see how tricky this is? If I had stopped after only 5 or 6 tests, I might have concluded incorrectly that the hardware was now fixed. Once the hardware is fixed, how many tests would it take to prove it had been fixed? We need to consult a statistician, but I would say certainly more than 6 and probably more than 30.

Although I tried a number of changes, the next beneficial change was to put a fan and heat-sink on the CPU. This allowed a successful series of tests. To be sure the problem wasn't due just to the lack of heat-sink and fan, I replaced the 16 MB SIMM and reran the tests which again failed. So, the final configuration has 12 MB of RAM and appears (after passing about 22 tests in a row) to be fixed.

*Is it Worth It?*

It depends upon whether you are doing this for fun or not. If you are not doing it just for fun, it doesn't make much sense to spend a lot of time running the tests and swapping out memory and rerunning the tests time after time if you have a slow '386 or '486, especially if you have less than 8 MB of RAM. With at least 8 MB of RAM, it might make sense to run the stress test on an old '386 or '486 as means of verifying the hardware is good. Forget it, though, if the machine has less than 8 MB of RAM. Even then, expect it to cost a number of hours with, at the very best, a final diagnosis of "probably good". If any of the tests fail, the machine probably should be replaced immediately because continued component replacement and retesting won't be worth the cost in time of doing the tests. If the old machine tests bad, you might be better off buying a new motherboard and CPU. The latest ad I have from DreamTech (800-237-3263) shows a 150 MHz Pentium motherboard/CPU for about $230.

On the other hand, if you are using your computer for important work and are experiencing problems, performing the test could be very worthwhile. If your problems really are due to bad hardware, this is a way to fix them and to confirm that the hardware is now good.

*New Machines*

On new machines, a 150 MB partition could be reserved for Linux from the beginning and Linux installed in it. It is hard to buy a machine new with as less than a 1.2 GB hard disk, so this might be a reasonable use of about a tenth of the disk or less. Run the stress test at least overnight before putting the machine in service. Later, if there are questions about the machine's reliability, it is a fairly simple matter to boot to Linux and run the stress test — you don't even need to open the case.

*Efficiency*

You might complain that this stress test is overkill. Why should you need to install an entire operating system, compiler, etc. just to test the hardware? I agree. The problem is that RAM testers apparently do not work reliably. So, you take your RAM into a computer store where the clerk tests your RAM and says it's good. All that means is the RAM is not always bad. The RAM that causes you trouble is the RAM that is almost good and fails only in rare circumstances, perhaps once or twice a day or week. It is the intense and effectively random testing of memory that occurs as a byproduct of compiling a Linux kernel that really gives the memory a proper workout. It stands to reason that the same sort of testing could be done by a program designed for just that purpose, making the test a lot easier to perform (and perhaps faster). It should fit on a floppy instead of requiring many megabytes of hard disk and RAM. I suspect such as test already exists or will exist, but I do not want to write it or search for it or verify it myself. I'll wait until someone finds it for me. Meanwhile, the Linux kernel compile is overkill, but it's all I've got and it is a lot better than guessing.

*Conclusions and Speculations*

Don't tolerate flaky or questionable hardware. Now that a test exists you don't need to keep wondering whether it is the hardware or the software.

Always use thermal compound when mounting a heat-sink to the CPU. The goal is to have the CPU itself run at room temperature, not just to have a cool heat-sink.

Old, slow computers may not be worth fixing unless doing it for fun. The test is more expensive to run and the end result is still an old, slow computer. For business purposes, your time and money might be better spent upgrading the motherboard and CPU.
The test is expensive and tedious to perform. Pre-install Linux whenever possible, if only to use for hardware testing. Since that might not always be practical, I plan to put together a Linux file system that will fit on a ZIP drive so I can copy it to a temporary Linux subdirectory on an ordinary DOS (FAT16) disk and run the test from the DOS disk. This probably won’t work if the disk is a Windows 95 FAT32 or a Windows NT file system. Because of this, I suggest, if you must install Windows, that you use a plain FAT16 file system.

Expect a cheaper, easier test to appear. If you find it, please tell me about it. It shouldn’t take 120 MB of disk space and shouldn’t require the installation of a full operating system and compiler just to check out the hardware. On the other hand, beware of simplistic memory tests, including your computer store’s RAM tester, which will pass bad memory.

Don’t ever buy any Windows-only hardware. Watch out especially for modems and printers that work only with Windows. Watch out for Windows in general. I read over the bug list in Kermit 95 for Windows 95 and Windows NT where the programmers listed bug after bug in Windows 95 that they had to work around. From that bug list, it sounds like Windows NT might be the better choice if you insist on running Windows. On the other hand, some programs that run under Windows 95 do not run under Windows NT.

Always make proper backups of your important files. Modern desktop operating systems are too complex to trust completely. Hardware can and will fail eventually even if it is not failing right now.

Am I unfairly down on Windows? Possibly so. After all, the troubles I’ve had with it have been on machines that I now know had hardware problems. I’m interested to see how it works on the fixed the hardware. Nevertheless, let’s not go out of our way to tie ourselves to Windows. Let’s keep our options open.
Banked/Portable I/O System (B/P Bios) Pt 2

by Harold F. Bower and Cameron W. Cottrill

As we discussed in the first part of this article, our efforts in the design and construction of our Banked/Portable Bios were directed towards building an architecture that would viably support applications on Z80/180 computers for a number of years. One of the initial ground rules was a prohibition on the use of Self-Modifying code. As in our development of ZSDOS, we want to retain the ability to place operating system components into ROM if desired. This goal was met, but at the price of slightly increased code size, particularly in non-banked systems such as those placed on system boot disks. Exceptions to this rule do exist, but primarily in system components which are intended specifically for execution from RAM such as portions dynamically relocated at load time.

Another key consideration addressed in B/P Bios was the need to provide a mechanism to identify the Bios and to locate Bios-specific data areas and options. The solution to this was Bios Function 30 which returns, among other things, a pointer to the start of a standardized data structure containing configuration information. This vector allows examination or alteration of various parameters such as Data Rates, Drive parameters and configuration flags. Using this vector instead of assuming absolute locations within the BIOS is crucial to obtaining and maintaining portability and standardization.

The Configuration Area pointer serves a secondary purpose as well. The six bytes preceding the returned address point to a string which must begin with the Ascii letters “/P”. The following three characters contain a suffixing identifier for the hardware base. Between us, we have either implemented or planned B/P Bios installations to varying degrees on the following systems:

- "-18" - MicroMint SB-180 (HD64180 CPU, 9266 FDC, 5380 SCSI)
- "-FX" - MicroMint SB180FX (Z180 CPU, 9266 FDC, 53C80 SCSI)
- "-YS" - YASBEC (Z180 CPU, 1772 FDC, DP8490 SCSI)
- "-DX" - D-X Designs Pty Ltd P112 (Z182 CPU, 37C665 FDC, Flash ROM) (Add-on 5380 SCSI supported)
- "-CT" - Compu/Time S-100 board set (Z80 CPU, 1795 FDC, 1MB Memory)
- "-TT" - Teletek (Z80 CPU, 765 FDC)
- "-AM" - Ampro Little Board (Z80 CPU, 1770 FDC, T. Hazen's MDISK)
- "-XL" - XL M-180 SBC (HD64180 CPU, 9266 FDC, P16 SCSI)

This method of identification can serve to prevent customized programs from operating on the incorrect hardware. For example, the HDBOOT hardware-specific program distributed for YASBEC and Ampro Little Board computers will not modify a Hard Disk boot record unless the system on the Boot Tracks contains either the “-YS” or “-AM” identifiers.

With the additions made to the ZCPR3 Environment Descriptor in Version 3.4, it readily became apparent to us that the Environment belongs to low-level hardware-dependent portions of the Operating System, with allowances being made for high-level use. While this decision may be debated, we adopted the ENV structure and require it within the Bios with only one necessary change. The four bytes associated with the second printer have been usurped to provide data on a resident User Space. To provide compatibility with other vectors added to Operating System components, the four bytes are reallocated as:

1 byte - Number of free 128-byte blocks
2 bytes - Pointer to start of User Space
1 byte - Total size in 128-byte blocks

The User Space is always assigned below all other ZCPR3 System Segments and the Starting Address Pointer serves double-duty as the lowest address in reserved memory. This is needed in hard disk systems since the ALV buffers are dynamically calculated at system load (boot) time. For Non-banked systems, if the amount of space needed by the ALV buffers extends beyond the base of the User Space pointer, a warning is printed to alert users that a smaller system is required to allow full use of the hard drive without overwriting System Segments. In banked systems, the check is performed against the end of the primary 32k System Bank. The use of a byte to indicate amount of free space remaining will allow multiple RXS-like additions to be chained into the User Space in a (hopefully) controlled manner. A similar construct is being developed for banked applications, but has not yet been fully developed.

As most of us are painfully aware, Floppy Disk formats in the CP/M compatible world are woefully non-standardized. To maximize the efficiency of B/P Bios, we have NO required format. Our system may be assembled with hard-coded invariant formats (the smallest code requirements), with calculated skew values or indexed tables, or with a user-configurable suite of non-conflicting formats, including 3.5" 5.25" and 8" drives as well as "High-Density" formats where the hardware is supported. For standard distribution, the
Amppro/SB-180 5.25" formats are included in an auto-select mode. 8" drive capability is in the SB-180, SB180FX, P112, Compu/Time and Teletek versions, but not in YASBEC or Amppro Little Board since those controllers will not handle the higher data rates. Using this scheme, tailoring options may be used to gain every byte and clock cycle possible, for example, by deleting 8" formats if you only have 3.5 or 5.25" drives connected to the system.

The B/P BIOS is divided into a number of files, some of which are machine dependent, and some are generic and need not be edited to assemble a working system. Much use is made of conditional assembly to include option-dependent modules and relocation bases. The Basic file, BPBIO-xx.Z80, specifies which source code elements are used to assemble the BIOS image under the direction of an included file, DEF-xx.LIB, which selects features and contains hardware-dependent equates. Modules requiring customization for different hardware systems are given names which end with a generic "-xx" designator to identify specific versions. These names correspond to the suffix embedded in the identifier string covered above. By maintaining the maximum possible code in common modules which require no alteration, B/P Bios is relatively easy to convert to different machines.

While some of these versions cannot take full advantage of B/P Bios, such as the Amppro Little Board which, without Terry Hazen's MDISK, contains only 64k of memory, the benefits of having only one set of support utilities and common operating procedures across different computers often outweigh the disadvantages of a slightly larger Bios. Those of you with several different types of computers will readily understand.

With B/P Bios, systems may be changed "on the fly" in a manner similar to that employed with NZBLITZ/NZCOM. The system placed on the boot disk's system tracks must be relatively small to fit in the limited space, often sacrificing features. Once started, however, the rules change. A special loader is used to move pre-configured system images in place and start execution. These images are built from an assembled B/P Bios REL file, ZSDOS version 1 or 2 ZRL file, and a Command Processor REL or ZRL file. The utilities to build the image file and load it into position account for both banked and unbanked component locations. The build utility also allows you to alter many of the Environment parameters as the image is built. For example, the extra large Command Processor demonstrated several years ago at the Trenton Computer Fest includes most of the common features from RCPs. Consequently, RCP space is often not needed and may be removed typically freeing 2k for the TPA.

Different system images may be generated with BPBUILD and then loaded as specific functions or configurations are desired, without regard to the system on the Boot Tracks. Tailoring of these image files is performed with the B/P Bios configuration utility, BPCNFg, to include Startup Alias file names unique to that system. In this manner, different images may chain from one to another as system sizes and needs change. A given image file might be duplicated with only minor configuration changes. Hal uses this technique in system backups where two hard disk partitions are activated for backups to external hard drives, but different parameters are configured for various backup media and drive types.

To provide some comparative numbers, a YASBEC with a 20MB hard drive is typically limited to a 53K non-banked system for placement on the Boot Tracks. A 56K system is achieved simply by banking portions of the Bios. With the banked ZSDos2 and the associated large Command Processor, Z41, a 58.0K system is standard with the default 2K RCP and 1.5K IOP space reservations. This expands to 60K with no RCP and 61.5K if no IOP is needed. The large expansion in size with the banked ZSDos2 is due to banking of the ALV and CSV bit storage areas needed for hard and floppy drives. Placing these elements into the system bank means that large system sizes are no longer dependent on the hard disk sizes (yes, even a 200 MB hard drive on a YASBEC sports a 60K system configuration), but with the penalty that normal programs which count ALV bits to determine disk free space and file size no longer function correctly. This difficulty has, in part, been addressed by providing modules in the DSLIB utility library (a companion to SYSLIB and Z3LIB) which "know" about ZSDos2 and properly return disk free space values. Source code for DSLIB was released to Z-Nodes several years ago, and many of the included routines are used in our utilities, such as the ZXD directory lister provided as part of the B/P Bios package.

If even more Transient Program Area is needed, one additional means exists to expand available memory, but at a possible expense. Part of the BPBUILD process is to size the Code and Data requirements of the relocatable modules, and allocate them at static addresses for loading. Since the resident portions of both the Z41 Command Processor and ZSDos2 are smaller than their non-banked counterparts, the lower limit for applications programs, which are allowed to overwrite the Command Processor, and Resident System Extensions (RSXes) which nestle immediately below the Command Processor see a higher memory address. The caveat to this approach, however, is that only programs which use the enhanced environment parameters compliant with ZCPR 3.4 and later will function properly. To avoid such potential problems and provide maximum commonality with existing CP/M programs, BPBUILD also allows the user to direct that the Command Processor and resident DOS elements be linked to begin at "standard" locations reflecting sizes of 2K and 3.5K respectively.

THE INTERFACE.

B/P Bios entry points are contained in a Table of 3-byte Absolute jumps at the beginning of the Bios Image. Parameters needed for each function are passed to the Bios in specified registers. To avoid future compatibility problems, some of the ground rules for Bios construction include: No alteration of Alternate or Index registers as a result of Bios calls, and all registers listed in the documentation as being Reserved/Unaffected MUST be returned to the calling program in their entry state. The first seventeen jumps (indices 0-16) constitute the standard CP/M 2.2 jump table. Following those are additional sequences patterned roughly after
CP/M 3 and the B/P-unique extensions. The BIOS entry points listed in order of their appearance in the jump table are:

0 - CBOOT  Execute Cold Start initialization on the first execution. The code is later overwritten, and the argument of this jump then points to the IOP Device jump table. (all registers used)
1 - WBOOT  Execute Warm Restart initialization, reload the Resident part of the Command Processor and log onto the default drive. (all registers used)
2 - CONST  Return Console Input Status as: A=OFFH if Character is ready, A=0 if no character is ready. (Uses AF)
3 - CONIN  Read a character from the Console, waiting until one if ready, then return it in A masked as specified in the BIOS, Normally with Bit 7 set to 0. (Uses AF)
4 - CONOUT  Send the character in C register to the console masked as specified in the BIOS. (Uses AF)
5 - LIST  Send the character in C register to the List Device (normally a printer) masked as specified in the BIOS. (Uses AF)
6 - AUXOUT  Send the character in C register to the Auxiliary Output masked as specified in the BIOS. (Uses AF)
7 - AUXIN  Read a character from the Auxiliary Input port masked as specified in the BIOS. (Uses AF)
8 - HOME  Position the head(s) on the selected drive to Track 0. (Uses All primary registers)
9 - SELDISK  Select the drive specified by the value in Drive C where Drive A=0...P=15. (Uses All primary registers)
10 - SETTRK  Select the Logical track contained in Register BC for a future disk operation (All registers preserved)
11 - SETSEE  Select the Logical sector contained in Register BC for a future disk operation (All registers preserved)
12 - SETDMA  Set the address in Register BC for a future disk operation (All registers preserved)
13 - READ  Read a Logical 128-byte sector from the Disk, Track and Sector set by Functions 9-11 to the address set with Function 12. On return, Register A=0 if the operation was successful, Non-Zero if Errors occurred. (Uses All primary registers)
14 - WRITE  Write a logical 128-byte sector to the Disk, Track and Sector set by Functions 9-11 from the address set with Function 12. If Register C=1, an immediate write and flush of the BIOS buffer is performed. If C=0, the write may be delayed due to the deblocking. (Uses all primary registers)
15 - LISTST  Return A=FF if the Printer is ready to accept a character for printing, otherwise return A=0. (Uses AF)
16 - SECTR  Translate the Logical Sector Number in register BC (Only C used at present) to a Physical Sector number using the Translation Table addressed in the Selected Drive’s DPH. (Uses All Primary regs)

This ends the strict CP/M 2.2-compliant portion of the BIOS Jump Table. The next series of entry Jumps roughly follows those used in CP/M Plus (aka CP/M 3), but with corrections to what we perceived to be deficiencies in the calling parameters and structures.

17 - CONOST  Return A=FF if the Console is ready to accept another output character, otherwise return A=0. (Uses AF)
18 - AUXIST  Return A=FF if the Auxiliary Input has a character waiting, otherwise return A=0. (Uses AF)
19 - AUXOST  Return A=FF if the Aux. Output is ready to accept another character for output, otherwise return A=0. (Uses AF)
20 - DEVBL  This corresponds roughly to an analogous CP/M Plus function although precise bit definitions vary somewhat. The Character IO table consists of four devices; defaulting to COM1, COM2, PIO, and NUL. Each has an input and output mask, data rate settings and protocol flags. Not all defined settings (e.g. ACK/NAK and XON/XOFF handshaking, etc) are implemented in all versions, but are available for use. (Uses HL)
21 - DEVS  Initialize Character IO settings and other specified functions. This is very close to the CP/M Plus function and can be used to store IO configurations after alteration by programs which directly access hardware such as modem programs. (Uses all primary registers)
22 - DRVTL  Return a Pointer to the DPH table for Drives A-P where a 16-bit 00 entry means that no drive is defined. (Uses HL)
23 - MULTIO  <Reserved for Multiple Sector IO>
24 - FLUSH  Write any pending Data to disk as mentioned in Function 14 above. (Uses all primary registers)
25 - MOVE  Move number of bytes specified in BC from the location starting at (HL) to an area addressed by (DE). For banked moves, the Source and Destination banks must have been previously specified with an XMV function. Note that this function reverses the functions of the DE and HL register pairs from the CP/M Plus function. (Uses All Primary registers except A)
26 - TIME  If Register C=0, Read the Date and Time to a 6-byte field addressed by (DE). If C=1, Set the Date and Time from 6-byte field addressed by (DE). On exit, register A=1 if the operation was successful, A=0 if an error occurred or No clock exists. The Date/Time string is in ZSDOS format as opposed to Digital Research’s format used in CP/M Plus for this function. Also, This function must conform to additional requirements of DateStampr(c) that in on exit, register E must contain the entry contents of (DE)+5 and HL must point to the entry (DE)+5. If the clock supports 1/10 second increments, the current .1 second count may be returned in register D. A recent addition (suggested by Terry Hazen in TCJ #79) uses BC to return the address of a free-running 8-bit counter decremented every 100 milliseconds. Such a feature is invaluable in user programs such as modem drivers. (Uses all primary registers)
27 - SELMEM  Select the Memory Bank specified in the A register and make it active in the address range 0-7FFFH. (All registers preserved)
28 - SETBK  Set the Bank Number in A for the next Disk IO. (All registers preserved)
29 - XMV  Set Source and Destination Bank numbers
in registers C and B respectively for a future Move (Function 25). (All registers preserved)

This marks the end of the CP/M Plus "Type" jumps and begins the unique additions to the B/P BIOS table to support Banking, Direct I/O and interfacing.

30 - RETBIO Return the BIOS version number and a pointer to internal BIOS data areas as:
A = Bios Version Number
BC -> Page Address of B/P Bios
DE -> Start of Configuration area
HL -> Start of Device Vector table

31 - DIRDIO Execute low-level functions directly on Floppy or SCSI devices. (described below)

32 - STFARC Set the bank number for a subsequent Function 33 execution. (All registers preserved)

33 - FRJP Switch to bank number specified with Function 32, then execute routine at address in HL, returning to address on stack top. (Uses all primary registers)

34 - FRCLR This entry is used for error exits from banked routines to return to the entry bank. (Uses all primary registers)

35 - FRGETB Load the byte addressed by HL from the bank number specified by the C register into the register A. (Uses A)

36 - FRGETW Load the Word addressed by HL from the bank number specified by the C register into the DE register pair. (Uses DE)

37 - FRPUTB Store the byte in register A to the memory addressed by HL in the bank specified in register C. (All registers preserved)

38 - FRPUTW Save the Word in DE to the memory addressed by HL in the bank specified in register C. (All registers preserved)

39 - RETMEM Return a Byte identifying the Memory Bank number currently in context in the A Register. (Uses A)

DIRECT DISK IO. BIOS Function 31 permits low-level access to Floppy and Hard Disks (currently via SCSI interface) by specifying a Driver Number and desired Function. While some hardware types do not support all of the parameters specified, particularly for Floppy Drives, this architecture supports all types, although specific systems may ignore certain functions. In this manner, for example, a single Format program supports NEC765, SMC9266, SMC37665, DP8743 and WD1770/1772/179x controller types with widely differing interfaces. Floppy Disk functions are entered by accessing a 1 value into Register B (Floppy Driver Number) and the desired function number in Register C, then jumping to or calling BIOS Entry jump number 31.

FLOPPY DISK SUBFUNCTIONS:

1 - (STSIZE) Set Drive Size (3.5/5.25" or 8"), Drive Speed (300 or 360 rpm) and Motor Needed flag. On entry, A=0 for normal floppy speed (synonymous with "Normal" 250 kbps MFM Double-Density), A=OFFH for High speed motors (synonymous with "Hi-Density" 500 kbps MFM). Register D=0 if the motor is always on or no motor control is needed, while D=OFFH if motor control is necessary. Finally, register E must contain the drive size as: 0=Hard Disk, 001B=8" Drive, 010B=5.25" Drive, and 011B=3.5" Drive. Nothing is returned from this command. While all of these functions may not be supported on any specific computer type, the interface from using programs should always pass the necessary parameters for compatibility.

NOTE: This routine assumes that Function 2 (STHDVR) has been called first. Call this routine before calling Function 0 (STMODE). (Uses AF)

2 - (STHDVR) Set Head and Drive Number for Disk Operations. This routine is entered with register A containing the Floppy unit number coded in bits 0 and 1 (Unit 0=00, 1=01 ,..3=11), and the Head in Bit 2 (0=Head 0, 1=Head 1). Nothing is returned from this function. (Uses AF)

3 - (STSECT) Set Physical Sector Number, Size and Last Sector Number on the Track. On entry, register A contains the desired physical sector number desired, D contains the sector size where 0=128 byte sectors, 1=256, 3=1024, and E contains the last sector number on a side. Normally register E is unused in Western Digital controllers, but is needed with 765-compatible units. Nothing is returned from this function. (Uses AF)

4 - (SPEC) Set Step Rate and Head Load/Unload Time. On entry, the A register contains the drive step rate in milliseconds. Within the BIOS, this rate is rounded up to the nearest slower controller rate if the specified rate is not an even match. Implementation of this function in the BIOS also accommodates the need to adjust values reflecting the step rate when the data rates are changed from 250 to 500 kbps and vice versa. Register D should contain the desired Head Unload time in milliseconds, and E to the desired Head Load time in ms. With some controllers such as the Western Digital 177x and 179x family, only the Step Rate is universally variable. In these systems, rates signaled by the Bios settings are rounded up to the closest fixed step rate such as the 2, 3, 5, or 6 millisecond rates in the 1772 (YASBEC) or 6, 10, 20, or 30 millisecond rates used in the 1770 (Ampro Little Board) and 1795 (Compu/Time). Nothing is returned from this function. (Uses AF)

5 - (RECAL) - Recalibrate Drive (moves the head to track 0). There are no entry parameters for this function. On exit, the zero flag is Set and A=0 if no errors occurred, otherwise the Zero flag is Reset and A is Non-Zero.

NOTE: This routine assumes that STHDVR, STSIZE and SPEC have been called first. (Uses AF)

6 - (SEEK) - Set the Track for disk operations and seek to it. On entry, Register A is set to the desired Track Number, D signifies whether Verification of the seek is required (D=0 for No Verify, D=OFFH if verifying), and E indicates whether or not to double-step (E <> 0 for Double-Step, E=0 for No Double-Step). On exit,
A=0 and the Zero Flag is Set (Z) if the operation was successfully completed while A < 0 and the Zero Flag is cleared (NZ) if an error occurred. NOTE: This routine assumes that STHDRV, STMODE and SPEC have been called first. (Uses AF)

7 - (SREAD) - Read from the floppy disk. On entry, HL must point to a Buffer to receive the data read. It assumes that Mode, Track, Head/Drive, and Sector have been previously set. On exit, A=0 and the Zero flag is set (Z) if the sector was satisfactorily read, A < 0 and the Zero flag is cleared (NZ) if an error occurred. (Uses AF and HL)

8 - (SWRITE) - Write to the floppy disk. On entry, HL must point to a Buffer from which to send the data. It assumes that Mode, Head/Drive, Track, and Sector have been previously set. On exit, A=0 and the Zero flag is set (Z) if the sector was successfully written, A < 0 and the Zero flag is cleared (NZ) if an error occurred. (Uses AF and HL)

9 - (READID) - Read the first correct ID information on a track. There are no entry parameters for this function. The Zero flag is set (Z) and A=0 if no errors occurred, otherwise the Zero flag is Reset (NZ) and A is non-zero. NOTE: This routine assumes that STHDRV and STMODE have been called first. (Uses AF)

10 - (RETDST) - Return the status of a drive. There are no entry parameters for this function. On exit, A contains the raw unmasked status byte of the drive or the last operation depending on the controller type, BC contains the binary number representing the FDC controller type (e.g. 765, 9266, 1772, etc.), and HL contains the address of the status byte returned in register A. NOTE: This routine assumes that STHDRV has already been called. (Uses AF, BC and HL)

11 - (FMTTRK) - Format a complete track on one side of a Floppy Disk. It assumes that the Mode, Head/Drive, Track, and Sector have already been set. On entry, HL points to data required by the controller to format a track. This varies between controllers, so RETDST should be called to determine controller type before setting up data structures. On entry, E must also contain the number of sectors per track, and E must contain the number of bytes to use for Gap 3 in the floppy format. On exit, A=0 and the Zero flag is Set (Z) if the operation was satisfactorily completed, A < 0 and the Zero flag cleared (NZ) if errors occurred. (Uses all primary registers)

HARD DISK SUBFUNCTIONS:

These functions are available to directly access Hard Drives. To date, only drives connected by a SCSI type interface have been used, but the interface is generic enough to allow mapping to other interfaces such as IDE. The functions are accessed by loading the desired function number in the C register, loading a 2 (SCSI driver) into the B register and calling or jumping to Jump number 31 in the BIOS entry jump table. Since this interface is not as standardized as Floppy functions in order to handle SASI as well as SCSI devices, the interface has only basic functions with the precise operations specified by the User in the Command Descriptor Block passed with Function 2. This places a greater burden on User programs, but it allows more flexibility to take advantage of changing features in the newer SCSI drives.

An additional constraint placed on the Hard Disk interface is the restriction to 512 byte physical sectors at the interface. Since most hard drives now default to this sector size, no difficulties have been reported in the few years since B/P Bios has been released, so this constraint should pose no serious limitation.

0 - Set User Data Area Address for Direct SCSI IO. Return number of bytes available for the SCSI Command Descriptor Block. On entry, DE must point to a 512 byte User Data Area to Send/Receive. This is mandatory since 512 bytes are always returned from a direct access due to the wide variety of controller types handled. On exit, A contains the number of bytes available in the Command Descriptor Block which will usually be 10, but may be scaled back to 6 in limited applications. (Uses AF and HL)

1 - Set Physical Device bit and store Logical Unit Number (LUN) in SCSI Command Block (Byte 1, bits 7-5) from byte in A. On entry, register A contains a bit-mapped byte indicating the SCSI Physical Unit number (bits 0-2) and Logical Unit Number (bits 5-7). On exit, A returns a “1” bit in the proper position for the SCSI physical drive unit with Bit 7 being the host computer, Bit 0 indicating Unit 0, etc. (Uses AF)

2 - Direct SCSI driver. This routine performs the function described by the command in the SCSI Command Descriptor Block addressed by DE. On entry, register A must also contain a flag signifying whether or not user data is to be written by this command (A=0 if No data to be written, FF if the address set with Function 0 contains user data to write). At the end of the function, 512 bytes are always transferred from the BIOS IO Buffer to the User's Space set by Fcn 0. This may be inefficient, but was the only way we could accommodate the wide variety of different SASI/SCSI controllers within reasonable code constraints. Additionally, Register A contains 0 if the function performed with no errors, and 02H if a check condition was encountered. Also, Register L returns the unmasked Status byte (0FFH indicating a timeout error), and H returns the first Message byte received. (Uses all primary registers)

NOTE: This routine assumes the Command Block is properly configured for the type of Hard Disk Controller set in B/P Bios, and that the selected disk is properly described in the Bios Unit definitions (if necessary). Errors in phasing result in program exit and Warm Boot. It assumes the user has executed Functions 0 and 1 to set the data transfer source/destination address and logical/physical drive addresses.

In the final part of this series, we will describe some of the utilities developed and modified to support banked systems and address our ongoing efforts with the banked ZSDos2, Command Processor and future directions.
Paragons of the Industry

“You have object-oriented, and you have true object-oriented,” said Bill Gates, “and what we have is one level above that.” Yes sir, with profound thinking like that showing the way, it’s no wonder PCs are so easy to configure and expand. You complainers who can’t get an internal modem to work under Windows 95 should be ashamed of yourselves.

With 95 and NT and Office sprawling over multi-gigabyte hard disks and squandering processor resources that exceeded those of the entire world a few years ago, and hardware that, when it works, is too complex for anyone to tinker with, many have felt that the days of the computer hobby are long gone. Well, I’m here to tell you that it ain’t so. We’re entering a new decade of vast riches available to all!

Samba

Available now is Samba, a package which is supposed to allow Unix computers to attach to PC resources in SMB LANs. SMB stands for Server Message Block, and refers to a Microsoft-designed protocol which runs atop NetBIOS (aka NetBEUI). (NetBIOS is an API, NetBEUI is a protocol.) NetBIOS itself is unroutable, because it broadcasts, so lately Microsoft usually implements SMB over NetBIOS over either Novell’s SPX or TCP/IP. In the latter case, NetBIOS machine names are cataloged in what is called a WINS Server. WINS stands for Windows Internet Name Service, but it really has nothing to do with Windows.

As is usual with Microsoft, marketing gets to choose all product names; SMB networking has been called MS-NET, 3-Server-3, OpenNet, and many other names, and supported by software packages called LAN Server, LAN Manager, Windows NT Server, and so on. There are two flavors of authentication, usually called “workgroups” and “domains”. “Workgroups” basically means client-based authentication, whereas “domains” use a very crude server-based authentication. Microsoft’s various networking versions of Windows, including Windows 95, all support both methods. There is also a DOS client, which works fairly well, albeit slowly.

In fact, as you might guess from such a clumsily structured design, performance is, and has always been, poor - much worse than Novell’s Netware. Yet NT appears to be outselling Netware these days, which goes to show you, mediocre products, low or giveaway prices, heavy-handed marketing, and a dollop of monopolistic underhandedness, is the recipe for market share. Technological superiority has little to do with it.

Samba is downloadable from various addresses, in both source and binary form. There’s also ‘smbfs’, which is included in some Linux distributions, and ‘smblib’, a library for adding SMB functionality to your own applications. The main Samba site is: http://lake.canberra.edu.au/pub/samba/samba.html.

For KPCF and most smaller establishments, ftp meets most file-transfer needs, and TCP/IP defines functionality for sharing printers as well. NFS can be used if mounted drives are required.

However, for those of you using Linux in a large office where NT and/or Windows 95 machines are the norm, Samba could be very valuable. You’ll be able to set up shares on your machine that PCs can access, access shares on other folks’ machines or their servers, and let other users print on your printer or print on their printers. If you can get WINE working too, you can even run their software. And, of course, a Linux machine can do much more than a 95 or NT machine can out of the box. Imagine that - Linux, corporate network citizen par excellence.

Linux Developer’s Resource

New Linux releases are coming out all the time, like mammoth airdrops. My latest collection is InfoMagic’s “Linux Developer’s Resource”, which contains 6 (SIX!) CD-ROMs, including several releases, including Slackware 3.0 and Red Hat, both based on Linux kernel 1.21.

Slackware 3.0 has a greatly improved installation program and installs very smoothly. Either a 1.2 or a 1.4 floppy can be used. Red Hat requires a 3.5/1.44MB floppy drive. Its installation is the best I’ve seen under any Linux implementation, and includes setting up X Windows and everything. It’s not quite as flexible as Slackware’s in dealing with weird hardware configurations, however.

Also, I got around to trying the “UMSDOS” method of using Linux. Basically, you create a subdirectory on a PC running DOS or OS/2, and unzipp some files in there. Well, this is definitely the fastest way to install Linux - you can be up and running in minutes, rather than the hours needed for regular installs. The hardware used by your PC must be supported by Linux, of course. Unfortunately, the version sup-
plied seems to be different from the rest of the CD-ROM, so
you can't install any additional packages without strange
errors like "bash: /usr/bin/make: No such file or directory" -
a lie, since the file exists; it's just the wrong version. Also,
UMSDOS is not quite as flexible as you might think; you
can't conveniently read DOS files in the same partition. But
for speed of installation, it can't be beat.

Linux has really come a long way. If you had difficulties with
Linux before, you ought to give it another try. Now that the
popularity of the Internet is finally bringing about standard-
ization of networking services and protocols, Linux seems
poised to enter the mainstream of the computing world as
a standard computing platform. Getting acquainted with Linux
could be, not just fun, but a foresighted career move.

Minix 2.0 and ELKS

Minix 2.0 has been released, and is available for download at:
ftp://ftp.cs.vu.nl. There are versions for the 8086 (XTs
and 286s) and for the 386. Source code is available. Since
apparently no book will be published, it has been rumored
that there are some changes with respect to copyright restric-
tions on Minix. Minix 2.0 includes TCP/IP and supposedly
supports new kinds of hardware, including Adaptec SCSI
boards. I tested this briefly and was unable to get past the
'mkfs' command, which refused to recognize my hard disk
controller (on a generic 286 AT machine).

ELKS (Embeddable Linux Kernel Subset) is a variation of
Linux which is intended, at least initially, at a floppy-only
8088 configuration. It is available for download at:
ftp://ftp.ecs.soton.ac.uk/pub/elks. This is a refreshingly simple
system which fits on a couple of floppies, including source.
I had no difficulty booting and running it. The designers are
working hard to keep total system size as small as possible,
so it will work in a floppy-only 640K system. Their goal is
to make an embeddable kernel, usable in small controllers.

Minix on a Rainbow?

Jeff Weiner and I have been studying DEC Rainbow docu-
mentation with an eye to possibly getting Minix 1.5 or 2.0
running on it. The Rainbow is, of course, a much more so-
plicated machine than your regular XT. It includes both
a Z-80 and an 8088. Its floppy drive is controlled by the Z-
80, but the hard disk by the 8088.

ELKS is another possibility, and Minix 2.0 is out, but Minix
1.5 is stable and well-documented, and we'll be able to tell
when it's working. Anyone who wants in on the fun (?),
please contact me (rickerr@erols.com) or Jeff
(j_weiner@juno.com) by e-mail, or send a message to the TCJ
mailing list and we'll get in touch with you.

There's a Rainbow set up at KPCF which is used for various
CP/M work. It's really good for that, having utilities to read
various disk formats (all single-sided, of course). Also,
there's an optional graphics board using a NEC 7220 GDC
which is supported by GSX. The Rainbow was one of the
nicest CP/M machines made, and they're available very in-
expensively at flea markets and hamfests.

In fact, there's a web site with lots of software for the Rain-
bow at: ftp://ftp.update.uu.se. Using a program called
Teledisk (from our friends at Sydex), you can create images
of Rainbow software to use on your machine. Using this tech-
nique, I made a disk set for Concurrent CP/M.

Other available 32-bit OSs

A couple of other 32-bit OSs you may have heard about are
Microsoft Windows NT 4.0 and IBM OS/2 Warp 4. NT 4
has the Win95 "shell", which I'm not too crazy about, and
includes a Web browser and server. It's a little buggy, but a
couple of service packs have come out already. One prob-
lem that drives me nuts is its hesitations - 40 seconds or more
whenever you run a 16-bit program, or sometimes when you
exit programs, or sometimes for no apparent reason at all.

OS/2 Warp 4 is very stable and polished, and much easier to
install than any previous version of OS/2. Its user interface
is definitely different, but very consistent, and easier to use
once you get used to it. And Warp 4 has a responsive feel to
it - when you click an icon, things happen; there's no sev-
eral-second wait as under NT. It runs much faster on a 486
than NT does on a Pentium.

Of course none of the OSs mentioned here have more than a
tiny sliver of market share compared with Windows 95, but
you can read about Windows 95 in other magazines.

The CP/M Web Page

While the folks at Caldera pursue their lawsuit against
Microsoft (and they should prevail, given that Microsoft has
already admitted wrongdoing), they have graciously made
available much software from the Digital Research archives
at a website maintained by a volunteer: http://cdl.uta.edu/
cpm. You'll find source and binaries for CP/M 2.2 and CP/
M-68K, binaries for CP/M Plus, MP/M 2 and CP/Net, and
various assemblers and utilities. These are not disassemblies,
these are the real, original, Digital Research source code.
CP/M 2.2 are in 8080 assembly, with utilities in PL/M. CP/M-
68K is written in C, for the Alcyon cross-compiler on a VAX.
(CP/M-86 and PL/I are not there, since they are still being
sold commercially.) You can download it and do whatever
you like for non-commercial purposes. Great stuff!

The wealth of information and source code available on CD-
ROM and on the Internet is truly mind-boggling. But always
remember, it was hobbyists and experimenters, sharing with
the community - not crass commercial monopolistic propri-
etary beasts like Microsoft - who built the Internet and made
it what good it is. Corporations created only Net equivalents
of telemarketers and junk mail and commercials.

Next time

More fun with operating system hacking at KPCF, including
CP/M porting, Unix System V, portable networking, and
more on the Real-Time Control System. HTTP/HTML as the
universal network interface: your toaster's web page! All this
and more!
The Unofficial CP/M Web Site

by Tim Olmstead

Over the years, one of the things I have most desired is to get the source code to the programs I use. This gave me a feeling of power. I can make changes if I want to, and make the program do something that its' designers hadn't envisioned, or simply fix problems. With most commercial programs, however, you will never get to look at the source code.

I knew of efforts to disassemble CP/M-80 as early as 1980, and everyone I knew had the source. But, this was certainly not something we could talk about openly. Digital Research kind of frowned on people disassembling their code, so we had to keep a low profile.

When, in mid 1996, Caldera bought out the rights to Digital Research software, I wondered if we would now get a look at the “real” source code. It seemed that we might. Caldera was releasing the source code for DR-DOS, renamed OpenDOS, and indications were that they would also release the CP/M code.

Time passed, and we seemed no nearer to getting the “blessed” code than we were in 1980. I found this to be quite frustrating, and it caused me to do some thinking. The conclusion I came to was this. When one company buys out another company it is because they expect to get something they want. Usually, once they get what they want, anything else that came along for the ride, just gets discarded, or further sold off.

To make the CP/M software available, it would require that Caldera use resources, assign people to do it. Before most companies would do this, they would have to know how they were going to make some money from it. I suspect that their board of directors, and stockholders, might require it.

I worried that CP/M would at best get discarded, and hit upon an idea. How about if somebody were to do something user supported? This would only require that someone approve the idea, and forward the material received from Novell to whomever was coordinating the effort.

I had no idea at the time how little that would be. Much material has been lost over the years. There is very little actual source code left, and no manual source. We have source for CP/M 2.2, CP/M 68K, and CP/M 3.0, but not MP/M x.x, CP/M-86, or any of the compilers, assemblers, etc.

So, a couple of months after the announcement of the acquisition by Caldera, I began trying to make contact with someone inside Caldera so I could make my proposal. This took over six months of effort, and finally bore fruit by going through the webmaster of the “unofficial OpenDOS” web page. Contact was finally made.

During the time when I was attempting to make contact, I reviewed the problems associated with the distribution of operating systems software via the Internet. Files were the least of the problems. They can easily be copied over to a PC using 22DISK, and PKZIP’d for distribution. The real problem is manuals, and boot disks. I decided to defer on the boot disk issue as Don Maslin provides a tremendous service in archiving boot disks. Anything I did here would only be redundant.

So, I turned my attention to the worst problem; that of how to distribute manuals for CP/M over the Internet. I consider this to be of equal importance to having the binary. A user will need the manuals in order create a usable system from any of the CP/M operating systems.

The only solution was to get the manuals into some form that could be transmitted electronically. This meant scanning, and OCRing them, to get them into some text editor format. I purchased a flatbed scanner, and some OCR software, and went to work. I quickly discovered that OCR has come a long way over the years, but, the kindest thing I could say was “It ain’t there yet”. The manuals took quite a bit of effort to get presentable.

Since this was to be a user supported effort, I solicited contributions from those who likely still had copies of Digital Research software laying around. I discovered that many of the users on comp.os.cpm still had this software, but had sentimental attachments to it and wouldn’t part with it. Some I had to buy to get, while some people have sent me virtual mountains of stuff. I now have a queue of manuals thick enough to keep me busy for a year or two.

Finally, Caldera gave their approval to build the site. I contacted a friend and got onto a server at a local university, The University of Texas at Arlington. This is a very strong electrical engineering school, and the material made a good fit.

With final approval from Caldera, the “unofficial CP/M web site” went on-line. The name caused some confusion

Continued on Page 20
Simplex-III Debug Support

Previous articles in this series have described the architecture and programming of Simplex-III, a home designed CPU from the late 1970's. This article describes the facilities provided for debugging hardware and software.

Back in the '60s and '70s, the fancy debug software we have now was unknown, except perhaps on mainframes. Software was tested by stepping the hardware through the code. This required that every programmer-accessible register could be inspected (and preferably, modified) using monitor hardware. Such facilities were also necessary to debug the hardware. To test code in this way, it is necessary to be able to halt after each instruction. A logical extension, the ability to halt at micro-steps within an instruction cycle, permits the same mechanisms to be used to verify the hardware.

Accordingly, Simplex-III includes a control/display panel, which can display any internal CPU register, and could halt execution on one of:

1. The next byte-step (ie one internal clock cycle)
2. Microcode box (ie process a multibyte operand, then stop)
3. End of the current instruction
4. Never (ie run free)

Normally, the “stop never” can only be selected when executing instructions, however an engineering-test switch permits the microcode read/write routines to be repeated indefinitely, as an aid to testing.

Simplex-III was designed to use dynamic RAMs. The architecture includes an automatic refresh function, which runs a refresh cycle on every bus cycle not required by the CPU (including when the CPU was halted). This feature is also used by the monitoring functions.

To reduce the number of lines needed to monitor the internal bits, 3 address bits are used as a scan counter. These bits are always cycling, due to the DRAM refresh function. Bits to be monitored are grouped in sets of 8, and multiplexed using the address bits. The multiplexer outputs are carried to the display panel, which includes a LED array, scanned by the same address lines. A total of 55 LED's are fitted, arranged in a 7 x 8 matrix. These signals are listed in Table 1.

The LED arrangement is shown in Table 2, the MON-X lines being the outputs of the various 8-bit multiplexers.

---

**Table 1: Signals displayed on the front panel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MP[0:5]</td>
<td>Microprogram Pointer (ie box address)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDLE</td>
<td>Machine is NOT running</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSB</td>
<td>Processing least-significant byte of data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTLVL</td>
<td>Running at interrupt level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC[0:2]</td>
<td>Microcode-box repeat counter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAR[0:3]</td>
<td>Scratchpad address register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R[0:7]</td>
<td>Anti-race register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I[0:7]</td>
<td>Current-instruction register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDR[0:15]</td>
<td>Store address register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L[0:2]</td>
<td>Effective accumulator length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Zero condition bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Negative condition bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Carry-out from last instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>Internal carry between bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG</td>
<td>Signal LED, controlled by program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Multiplexed front-panel LED array**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDR11</th>
<th>ADDR12</th>
<th>ADDR13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MON-A</th>
<th>MP0</th>
<th>MP1</th>
<th>MP2</th>
<th>MP3</th>
<th>MP4</th>
<th>IDLE</th>
<th>LSB</th>
<th>INTLVL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MON-B</td>
<td>LC0</td>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>LC2</td>
<td></td>
<td>SPAR0</td>
<td>SPAR1</td>
<td>SPAR2</td>
<td>SPAR3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON-C</td>
<td>R0</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>R3</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>R5</td>
<td>R6</td>
<td>R7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON-D</td>
<td>I0</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>I2</td>
<td>I3</td>
<td>I4</td>
<td>I5</td>
<td>I6</td>
<td>I7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON-E</td>
<td>ADDR0</td>
<td>ADDR1</td>
<td>ADDR2</td>
<td>ADDR3</td>
<td>ADDR4</td>
<td>ADDR5</td>
<td>ADDR6</td>
<td>ADDR7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON-F</td>
<td>ADDR8</td>
<td>ADDR9</td>
<td>ADDR10</td>
<td>ADDR11</td>
<td>ADDR12</td>
<td>ADDR13</td>
<td>ADDR14</td>
<td>ADDR15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON-G</td>
<td>L0</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>SIG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Panel Operations

Microcode operation is controlled by two panel switches: Function and Halt. Function controls a multi-way branch taken by the microcode, to execute instructions, or to read and write scratchpad or memory locations. Halt determines when the microprogram is to stop, and return control to the front panel. The required function is then executed when the "Start" button is pressed. The "Function" operations are:

- Restart
- IPL
- Examine register
- Load register
- Examine memory
- Load memory
- Execute instruction

The register-scratchpad and main memory are examined and altered by running short sequences of microcode, which move data between the display and the stored locations. When manually accessing the scratchpad, the required address is set up on panel switches. The Function switch is set to Examine or Load memory or scratchpad, and data (if required) is set up on panel switches. When the Start button is pressed, data is copied from the location to the R register, which is displayed on the panel. For write operations, data is copied from the panel switches to the location. For memory operations, the Store Address register auto-decrements after every Examine or Store operation, so that a sequence of locations may be read or written rapidly. The decrementing action is consistent with Simpex-III's big endian organisation.

The "Restart" function simply sets the S and SA registers to -1. Since S is increased by 2 before the next instruction is fetched, the first instruction will be fetched from memory locations 1 and 0.

The "IPL" setting loads the value 1F into SA, and proceeds to copy 32 bytes from the IPL ROM into memory, filling downward to location 0. S is then set to -1 (as for Restart), in readiness to execute the just-loaded instructions. Typically, these would bootstrap in a larger program using the paper-tape reader.

When manually accessing the scratchpad, the "Sense" switch determines whether the base or interrupt-level registers are accessed. Effectively, it acts as a 5th address bit. In normal running, "Sense" may be tested by a conditional branch instruction, and provides a low-level data input to programs.

Next

The following articles will descend into detailed hardware operations. The microcode will be described, followed by a quick tour of the logic circuits, including a simple peripheral card. Part 5 starts on page 21.

Continued from Page 18

in the beginning. This is what Caldera wanted me to call it. They planned to put up an official web page, so I was to call mine unofficial. They did put up some material, and a pointer to my site.

Given the origin of this site, my goal is this; to put together a collection that is as complete as possible of all Digital Research produced software. There have been a number of things show up that I had no idea that Digital Research had done. I say "great", I'll add them to the collection.

Many people have offered me other software that runs on CP/M, such as Microsoft Basic. These programs, while they would make a good addition to the collection, can't be posted because I don't have the distribution rights to them. I have attempted to contact several of the copyright holders for these packages, such as Borland, and Microsoft, and usually never even get an answer to my email. Oh well. We will proceed with the Digital Research software.

There is one dark spot on the project though. I have been specifically prohibited from posting anything in the Concurrent product line. This includes MP/M-86, Concurrent CP/M-86, and Concurrent DOS. Caldera has sold the rights to this family of products. There are, I believe, three companies that have been actively involved in enhancing these products over the years, and have significant interest in continuing their efforts. They are the ones who have bought the rights to this family of products.

I have noticed quite a bit of confusion as to the exact status of the Digital Research software. I have heard many comments like this; “Since we can now get CP/M for free, and it is in the public domain, we can do whatever we want with it”. This is not true. CP/M still remains commercial software. There is a license agreement on the unofficial CP/M web site that all users should read, and understand. I know this is tough as it was written by lawyers, not humans, but try. What it says is, "You can have the software for free, with source code, for PERSONAL, NON-PROFIT use". For commercial use, Caldera will sell licenses. I suspect that these will be favorably priced. The point is that CP/M is not freeware, nor is it public domain. It is still commercial software.

That said, let's keep checking those closets. I want to make the collection as complete as possible. I just got in a new OCR package, and I'm anxious to see if it will do any better job than what I've been using. Thanks to all that have made contributions to help make this a great site. The "unofficial CP/M web site" is unique. To my knowledge it is the only site that has been authorized to distribute Digital Research software.

The last time I checked the Caldera site, I couldn't find any CP/M files. That's OK. The user response to the unofficial CP/M web site has been tremendous. I have had many email messages, mostly positive.
Simplex III
Part 5
by Dave Brooks

Simplex-III Internal Details

This is the 5th in a series of articles which describe a home-designed CPU, built in the late 1970’s from TTL logic parts. Previous articles have described the development of the project, and have examined the machine from a programmer's viewpoint. The final 3 articles look at the construction and the low-level circuitry.

Microprogram

The microprogram is described in pseudo-code, in Table 2. Each “box” or ROM address is labelled as “Boxnn”, and also defines “Rpt”, the number of times the box is repeated. A repeat-value of “L” denotes the content of the L register if the operand register is A, and 2 otherwise.

Table 3 shows the signals emitted from the microcode ROM array. The ROM contents are listed in Table 1.

Implementation

While it is not expected that anyone would want to build a copy of Simplex-III, some of the practical details may be of interest.

Mechanical Construction

The CPU proper is built on 4 sheets of stripboard, each about 150 x 150mm, having a 60-way edge connector. All connections are hand-wired, as it was not then practical to do one-off printed boards (also, the design went through several iterations and refinements). The first 3 cards are also linked by an additional connector, plugged in on the top edges (60 pins was not quite enough).

The boards are fitted in a card-cage, with the LED display board at one end. This end is placed behind a transparent panel, for viewing. The 4 boards are assigned as follows:

1. LED display, interrupt logic, byte counter and scratchpad addressing.
2. Main data paths, or "mill".
3. Microprogram ROMs, function switch interfacings & support.
4. Clock logic, including single stepping.

Additional slots are provided behind the CPU group, for memory and IO cards.

The main panel controls are located to the left of the card-cage, with the power supply and cooling fan behind.

Circuit Description

Simplex-III was built almost 20 years ago, and many parts are now obsolete (some were obsolete even then). The original schematics were hand-drawn. The present schematics have been digitised from the original drawings. Many “shorthand” devices have been employed, eg letting one component stand for many in a bus. Hence the schematics are not electrically precise: their object is to show how things worked, rather than to be suitable for compilation into netlists. In particular, component reference designators are meaningless in terms of how gates are grouped: they are purely for reference from the text.

Card 1 SCHEMATICS:

The register pair at E1/E2 is the L (accumulator length); the pair at C1/C2 are the condition codes. These registers are duplicated for interrupt and base levels. The appropriate level is selected by the REGSELA\ and REGSELB\ signals. The condition codes are selected by the multiplexer A for a conditional jump.

The decoder at F5 drives the LED array. The monostable E4 is a safety device: the LEDs are driven with short heavy current pulses, which would cause damage were the scanning func-

Special Feature
Advanced
TTL Computer

TABLE 1: Microcode ROM content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Addr.</th>
<th>Chip C1</th>
<th>Chip D1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pin</td>
<td>12345679</td>
<td>12345679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>HLLHLLH</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LLLHLHLH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>LLLHLHLH LLLHLHLH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LLLHLHLH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>LLLHLHLH LLLHLHLH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0A</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0B</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0C</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0D</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0E</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0F</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1F</td>
<td>LHLHLHHL LHLHLHHL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 2: Microcode logic

In the following table, all numbers are in hexadecimal. The instruction fields are defined as:

- `opc`: | 103 |
- `Ro`: | 45 |
- `Rx`: | 67 |
- `C`: Condition-code bits (N, Z, C)
- `S`: 0 (locn. of "S" register in scratchpad)

The Mode Switch defines the values KV and M as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>KV</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Execute instruction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examine SPAD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load SPAD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load memory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load memory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restart</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPL</td>
<td>1F</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cold start:**

**Box00:** Rpt=2 //Preindex "S", goto micro routine

SPAD[Ro++] = SPAD[Ro] + KV

goto Box00

**Box01:** Rpt=2 //Fetch instruction

I = R = mem[SA-] // LSB in I, MSB in R

if (opc > 00) goto Box10 + opc;
else goto Box02

**Box02:** Rpt=2 //Compute operand address

SA = R + SPAD[Rx]

goto Box10 + opc

**Box03:** Rpt=1 //Examine SPAD

R = SPAD[switches]

goto Box00

**Box04:** Rpt=1 //Load SPAD

SPAD[switches] = R = Panel_keys

goto Box00

**Box05:** Rpt=1 //Examine memory

mem[SA-] = R = Panel_keys

goto Box00

**Box06:** Rpt=1 //Load memory

mem[SA-] = R = Panel_keys

goto Box00

**Box07:** Rpt=2 //IPL: set up SA

SA = 1F

goto Box08

**Box08:** Rpt=1 (until SA=0) //IPL: copy to memory

mem[SA-] = R = Panel_keys

goto Box08

**Box09:** Rpt=2 //Reset S to start execution

SA = S = -1

Set Interrupt level

goto Box00

**Box10:** Rpt=1 //ADD instruction

SPAD[Ro++] = C = SPAD[Ro] + mem[SA-]

goto Box00

**Box11:** Rpt=2 //STORE instruction

mem[SA-] = SPAD[Ro++]

goto Box00

**Box12:** Rpt=2 //LOAD instruction

SPAD[Ro++] = C = mem[SA-]

goto Box00

**Box13:** Rpt=2 //XOR instruction

SPAD[Ro++] = C = SPAD[Ro] xor mem[SA-]

goto Box00

**Box14:** Rpt=2 //AND instruction

SPAD[Ro++] = C = SPAD[Ro] and mem[SA-]

goto Box00

**Box15:** Rpt=2 //LOGICAL COMPARE (TEST) instruction

C = SPAD[Ro] + mem[SA-]

goto Box00

**Box16:** Rpt=2 //ADD TO STORE instruction

mem[SA-] = C = SPAD[Ro++] + mem[SA]

goto Box00

**Box17:** Rpt=2 //SUBTRACT instruction

SPAD[Ro++] = C = SPAD[Ro] - mem[SA-]

goto Box00

**Box18:** Rpt=2 //COMPARE instruction

C = SPAD[Ro] - mem[SA-]

goto Box00

**Box19:** Rpt=2 //Jump Indirect (Link)

if (Ro != A) SPAD[Ro++] = SPAD[S++]

//Saves link

goto Box00

**Box20:** Rpt=2 //Indirect jump

SPAD[S++] = mem[SA-]

goto Box00

**Box21:** Rpt=2 //COUNT IN STORE instruction

C = mem[SA-]+1

goto Box00

**Box1B:** Rpt=1 //Set flags

else {
    Intlevel = I[5]
    Signal[J,K] = I[6:7]
}

goto Box00

**Box1C:** Rpt=2 //SHIFT LEFT instruction

SPAD[Ro] = C = SPAD[Ro] + SPAD[Ro]

goto Box00

**Box1D:** Rpt=2 //BRANCH CONDITIONAL instruction

if (condition) {
    else SPAD[S] = R
}

goto Box00

**Box1E:** Rpt=2 //LOAD IMMEDIATE instruction

SPAD[Ro++] = C = R

goto Box00

**Box1F:** Rpt=2 //MOVE/INCREMENT REGISTER instruction

SPAD[Rx] = C = SPAD[Ro] + R

goto Box00

---

### TABLE 3: Microcode ROM outputs & their functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>CIN</th>
<th>TSTC</th>
<th>END</th>
<th>OUTSEL</th>
<th>LOAD SA</th>
<th>LOAD SPAD</th>
<th>BYTE</th>
<th>SPD0</th>
<th>BUS0</th>
<th>BUS1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| S0 \ S1 | S2 | S3 | M | CIN | TSTC | END | OUT | LOAD | LOAD | BYTE | SPD0 | SPD1 | BUS0 | BUS1 |
|---------|----|----|----|-----|------|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| L | L | L | L | H | L | SPAD | L | L | L | H | L | SPAD | L | L | L |
| L | H | L | L | H | H | L | TSB | H | L | L | H | L | SPAD + TSB | H | L | L |
| H | L | L | H | L | H | L | TSB | H | L | L | H | L | SPAD + TSB \xor TSB | H | L | L |
| L | H | L | L | H | L | SPAD \and TSB | H | L | L | H | L | SPAD \and TSB | H | L | L |
| L | H | L | L | L | L | SPAD \xor TSB | H | L | L | H | L | SPAD \xor TSB | H | L | L |
| L | H | L | L | L | L | SPAD \and TSB | H | L | L | H | L | SPAD \and TSB | H | L | L |
| H | H | L | L | H | L | SPAD + SPAD (= sl 1) | H | L | L | H | L | SPAD + SPAD (= sl 1) | H | L | L |
| H | H | L | L | H | L | 0 | H | L | L | H | L | 0 | H | L | L |

---
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High-Density FDC for YASBEC

by Harold F. Bower

Paul Chidley's excellent YASBEC (Yet Another Single-Board Eight-bit Computer) has proven to be remarkably versatile, and suffers few weaknesses. The most desirable feature was addressed by Jim Thale in 1994 when he produced the YASMIO expansion that added a "High-Density" floppy diskette controller (which can also handle 8" drives) as well as additional serial and parallel ports. Having worked closely with Jim to build the prototypes as well as write the drivers for the Banked and Portable (B/P) Bioso, it was natural to draw on this experience when I built a YASBEC-based laptop a year ago. The circuit modifications in this article might also be easily adaptable to other computers.

Since I could not afford the space (or power) for a YASMIO in the laptop, a circuit modification to the YASBEC was the course of action. Borrowing on the circuitry from the YASMIO, I used the National Semiconductor DP8473 as the core since it does nearly everything required in one chip. While the part has been discontinued by National, it is still available from Digi-Key as of this writing. In addition to this chip, only a 24 MHz Monolithic oscillator, a few resistors, capacitors, a piece of perfboard and some pins are required for the entire modification. As a further benefit, several parts may be removed from the YASBEC since the 8473 includes the drivers on-board!

One of the main constraints going into this project was to make minimal trace cuts and other modifications to the basic YASBEC. Since the fundamental goal is to replace the Western Digital 1772 controller (U14), any signals appearing on that chip are assumed to be available by plugging into the socket when the chip is removed. This furnishes us with power, 8 data lines, Address lines A0-A2, and Chip select for addresses 68-6FH. Also available are several lines needed for the Floppy cable connector. Missing from the needed signals are Read and Write (active low), and a Reset signal of the proper polarity. These three signals are, however, available from a socket adjacent to the 1772 which was designed for a 8231A Math Coprocessor (U13). In addition, more power and ground pins are available to provide better noise performance. Six additional signals are still needed, but they can be handled by a short length of ribbon cable and a home-made plug made from in-line machined-pin socket strips.

With the sockets for U13 and U14 available, we create a footprint for the modification. The prototype, as well as a duplicate to prove the design for this article are based on a piece of "pad-per-hole" perfboard measuring 1.5" x 3.0". Connections between this board and the YASBEC sockets are via pins which plug into the sockets and into pad holes on the perfboard. Since the two sockets are not exact multiples of 0.1" apart, some mis-alignment exists, but not to an excessive degree.

Before you begin this project, be sure you have any needed files on a bootable hard disk. With "Murphy" alive and well, you should prepare a second hard drive as well, since you will not be able to boot from floppy diskettes from the time you begin this project until you have the modification working and modify the Boot ROM to recognize the new circuitry. For the bold of heart, charge on...

The first step is to remove all unnecessary parts from the YASBEC. Remove the 8231A (U13) and FD1772 (U14) from their sockets. Carefully unsolder and remove the 8 MHz Monolithic oscillator (U24), the 74HC74 surface-mount IC from the bottom of the board (U23), and the two surface-mount drivers; 74AC04 (U22) and 74AC00 (U18) from the top of the board next to the 34-pin DIP header. Care in removal of these latter two chips is essential since you will need to solder wires to many of the pads for these ICs.

Next, solder short "U"-shaped pieces of wire-wrap wire across some of the pads where U22 formerly resided to connect signals from the DIP header directly to pins on the U14 socket. Five such jumpers are required to connect pins 1-2 (MOTOR_ON), 3-4 (STEP), 5-6 (WRITE_GATE), 10-11 (WRITE_DATA) and 12-13 (DIR_SEL). On the bottom of the board, solder a short jumper wire between pin 26 of U14 to Pin 2 of the 34-pin DIP floppy cable connector. This lead will be used to command floppy drives to switch between "High-Density" and normal MFM by latching a "1" or "0" in bit 6 of the 74HC273 at U20. This is the only bit of that chip used in the modified design, since the other six bits which were needed by the original 1772 are no longer needed. Note that wiring a TTL/CMOS level signal such as this directly to the floppy cable violates the normal open-collector/open-drain drive, but works in practice if the cable lengths are short, and you are careful not to short the pin or tie it directly to +5 volts. Set the YASBEC aside for the time being. We will return to it later to wire a small cable to pick up six more signals to/from the floppy connector.

Gather all parts needed to construct the small adapter board. Component selection is relatively non-critical except for those used in the analog data filters. Contrary to the filter...
design used in the YASMIO which accommodated rates through 1000 kbps, this circuit includes only the 250 and 500 kbps capability. I have had best results using either a mylar or Silver Mica capacitor for the .001 microfarad unit, and mylar for the .027 and .047 microfarad items. Careful selec-tion of these capacitors and the two 560 ohm resistors will provide the most reliable data recovery.

Finally, wire the adapter according to the schematic. The six leads shown on the schematic extended slightly to the right are the leads which will be wired to the extender cable and should be terminated on the adapter in six pin female header. Perhaps the easiest way to begin is to place the male pins in the respective sockets on the YASBEC, then place the perfboard on the pins in the desired position. Tack-solder a few pins in each row to retain the positioning and carefully pry the board with pin strips out of the YASBEC sockets. Next, position the 52-pin PLCC socket for the DP8473 and solder the unused pins to hold the socket in place. Finally, wire the remaining components using wire-wrap wire for connections.

When the board is fully wired, return to the YASBEC. Five of the six external connections will be made to the pads remaining after removal of U18 and U22, but one (DSKCHG) must be made from the bottom of the board. This may be made by drilling a small hole near pin 32 on the floppy connector. Hold the YASBEC up to a bright light and locate a free spot with no trace on either side of the PCB. Mark the spot and drill with a 1/16" drill or smaller. Feed a small stranded wire through the hole and solder it to the resistor lead which is wired to a trace running only to pin 34 of the Floppy Drive connector. In the original circuit, this was the /READY signal and was simply pulled high. The new circuit uses it for the /DSKCHG signal which is typically unused by the software, but available. Next connect small flexible stranded wires to the following pads for removed ICs: U22 Pin 8 (SIDE), U18 Pins 3, 6, 11, and 8 (/SELECTO-/SELECT3). A piece of flexible ribbon cable may be used if much care is used to prevent stress from pulling the pad traces from the PCB.

After the wires are installed, install the adapter board by plugging it into the sockets, and route the wires to the header on the adapter. Insert a 6-pin male strip into the header, and solder the wires to the respective pins. This method allows the board to be removed if necessary rather than fixing it into position by soldering it in place.

Now comes the hard part..the software! If you heeded the introductory warnings about preparing a bootable hard drive, you should be able to still use the computer by booting from the hard disk, but the floppy disks will be useless until a modified Bios is prepared. Anyone proceeding this far should have the knowledge to perform this, so we will concentrate on the requirements for booting from Floppy Disks, or the code that must be burned into ROM. Rather than specifically addressing the YASBEC ROM, we will generically cover code fragments suitable for integrating in any form.

It might have been simpler to extend the DENS signal from the 8473 directly to pin 2, but that would have required all...
MULTITASKING 8051
CAMELFORTH
Brad Rodriguez,
T-Recursive Technology

This article describes a multitasking extension to the 8051 CamelForth system (described in TCJ #71 and #72). The techniques described here are not limited to Forth; they should be useful to any 8051 assembly-language programmer.

CONTEXT SWITCHING
When several tasks are sharing a processor, the information which is specific to any one task is called that task's "context." This includes the current instruction pointer, CPU registers, subroutine return stack, and any other private data. CamelForth uses the 8051 registers as follows:

The registers labelled "scratch" may be used within Forth words, but have no guaranteed value between Forth words (except that the register select bits in PSW must always be 00 in 8051 CamelForth).

The 8051 stack pointer SP points to the last byte actually stored in the Return stack. This stack grows from internal RAM location (register) 09 upward to 7F.

In CamelForth, each task's private data is contained within a 768-byte "task area," organized as in Figure 2.

All of this data is addressed relative to a pointer, UAREA, whose high byte is stored in register 8. (The Task Area is page-aligned, so the low byte of this pointer is always 00.) To make another Task Area current, only this pointer need be changed. Note that the start of the Task Area is 100h bytes before UAREA.

So, to switch the from one task's context to another, the following steps must be taken:

1. Save the "important" registers and the Return stack in external RAM, with the task's private data. This is the purpose of the "Task Save Area" in Figure 2.
2. Switch the UAREA pointer to the new task's User Variables area.
3. Restore the previously-saved registers and Return stack from the new task's Task Save Area.
4. Resume execution.

The code to do this is shown in Listing 1, as a Forth word SWITCH. This word expects the address of the new task's Task Save Area on top of stack (i.e., in DPTR). Obviously, copying the Return stack out to the Task Save Area is the time-consuming step, so SWITCH includes a tight loop to copy registers N to 1 to external RAM, using R0 as the loop counter. The number of the highest register to be saved is contained in the Return stack pointer SP; this number is saved in the first byte of the Task Save Area.

Since SWITCH is called as a subroutine, the program counter will already be pushed on the return stack (and thus saved by the copy loop). Register R0 (the Data stack pointer) is saved by copying it to R1 before the loop. DPTR doesn't need to be saved, since its contents can be discarded after the task is switched. On the other hand, R2-R5 are saved needlessly, since they don't need to be preserved across Forth words. But including them simplifies the loop, and will come in handy later.

---

FIGURE 1. 8051 REGISTER USAGE

| 00 | R0: Data stack pointer |
| 01 | R1: scratch |
| 02 | R2: scratch |
| 03 | R3: scratch |
| 04 | R4: scratch |
| 05 | R5: scratch |
| 06 | R6: Loop |
| 07 | R7: index |
| 08 | User address msb |
| 09 | Return stack |
| 7F | Return stack pointer |

FIGURE 2. CAMELFORTH TASK AREA

| UAREA-100h | Task Save Area, 128 bytes |
| UAREA-80h | Terminal Input buffer, 128 bytes |
| UAREA | User variables area, 128 bytes |
| UAREA+80h | Data stack, 128 bytes |
| UAREA+100h | HOLD area, 40 bytes |
| UAREA+128h | PAD buffer, 88 bytes |
| UAREA+180h | Leave stack, 128 bytes |

---
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After SWITCH copies the current task’s context out to RAM, it fetches the new task’s context (specified by the address parameter passed to SWITCH). The process is simply the reverse of what was done to save the context. This assumes that the new Task Save Area contains the data saved when that task did a SWITCH. What about a brand-new task?

The Forth word INITTASK sets up the Task Save Area for a new task. It saves 10 bytes in the Task Save Area: two bytes of return stack, and the eight registers 1 through 8. For register 8, the high byte of the User Variables area address is stored. For register 1, the low byte of the Parameter stack pointer, 0FD, is stored (this value will cause an empty stack once the task starts running). The “saved” return stack contains only a return address, which is where execution will begin when the task is started.

So, to create and launch a new task:
1. Reserve 768 bytes of storage for the Task Area. Call this area taskname. This name can be defined as a Forth CONSTANT, or by using CREATE if care is taken to keep the area page-aligned.
2. Initialize the task to perform a Forth word, with the command
   "word taskname INITTASK"
3. Launch the new task with the command
taskname SWITCH

The “main” CamelForth task will be suspended, and the new task will run. Beware: if the new task never does a SWITCH back to the main task, it will retain control forever!

Listing 2 shows an example of how to define a task area in high RAM, by offsetting it below the main task’s user area (thus ensuring page alignment). It also shows how to write a task that will do something and then return to the main task: after loading this program, every time you type TASK1 SWITCH, the 8051 will emit a bell character.

ROUND-ROBIN TASK SWITCHING

For true multitasking, each task must run for a short time, and then hand off control to another task...making sure that all of the defined tasks get a turn. One way to do this is to have each task switch to the “next” task in the list. This can be done, clumsily, with SWITCH statements, as long as the list never changes (since task addresses are hard-coded in the program). A better way is to maintain a dynamic linked list of all tasks in RAM.

Listing 3 shows high-level words to manage a linked list of tasks. The first cell of the user variables (at offset 0 from UAREA) is reserved in CamelForth for a task link. MYTASK always returns the address of the running task area, by offsetting -100h from UAREA.

DETACH empties the task list, by making the running task link back to itself. (This causes an inadvertent PAUSE to simply save and restore to the running task’s context.) ATTACH adds a task to the list, inserting it immediately after the running task. Finally, PAUSE just causes a switch to the next task in the list. The secret to PAUSE is that it fetches a User variable, TASKLINK. User variables are always addressed relative to the current UAREA pointer, so whenever a task runs PAUSE, it fetches its link to the “next” task. In this manner, all of the tasks are linked together in a circular list. (See Figure 3.)

![Figure 3. Linked List of Two Tasks](image)

Listing 3 also includes the “bell” demo, this time written with PAUSE. Every time PAUSE is typed at the keyboard, a bell character is output.

SWITCH can also be used to implement more complex task schedulers, by defining a “dispatcher” task (or a “dispatcher” Forth word). The dispatcher might, for example, select the task having highest-priority according to some rule. This is left as an exercise for the student.

PRE-EMPTIVE TASK SWITCHING

SWITCH and PAUSE require a task to voluntarily give up the CPU to other tasks. This “cooperative” multitasking is simple and efficient, and avoids many of the synchronization problems that can occur when many tasks share a resource. But poorly written tasks can “hog” the CPU, and with even the best-written tasks, it’s difficult to parcel out CPU time evenly.

A pre-emptive multitasker uses some external event — typically a timer interrupt — to force a task switch. This ensures that tasks are switched regularly. But since a task switch can occur at any time — not just between Forth words — we must take pains to save all of the working registers that are used by CamelForth.

SWITCH saves all of the working registers except R1-R3, DPH, DPL, ACC, B, and PSW. The simplest solution is for the interrupt to push these onto the return stack, and then call SWITCH with the address of the next task. When the round-robin returns to this task, SWITCH will return to the interrupt service routine, which will then pop these eight registers and return to wherever this task was suspended. A subroutine PREEMPT to do this is given in Listing 4.

Note that PREEMPT fetches the same task link as does PAUSE. We can’t use ACALL PAUSE, since the phrase TASKLINK ? in PAUSE may destroy some registers that we haven’t saved. (We could learn this by examining the kernel listing for USER and @, but it’s bad form to build such hidden dependencies into kernel words.)

Also, PREEMPT saves all of the “extra” registers on the Return stack, except DPH and DPL. This is because SWITCH, before returning to PREEMPT, pops DPH and DPL from the Data stack.
Listing 1 also shows how to link PREEMPT to a timer interrupt, and initialize the timer. Listing 5 is the corresponding high-level test code. Once TASK1 is ATTACHED, the variable TICKS should increment every 65.536 msec (with a 12 MHz oscillator), and yet there should be no visible effect on normal Forth operation. Note the use of PAUSE to return control immediately to the "main" task, after the interrupt is processed.

A disadvantage of this approach is that the RETI instruction, required by the 8051's interrupt processing hardware, is not executed until the preempted task is resumed. For a simple example this is tolerable. To avoid this problem, PREEMPT should call a second copy of SWITCH, identical except that it ends with a RETI. Then PREEMPT should end with a RET.

Also, the preemptive multitasker could be made slightly more efficient if SWITCH saved all working registers (i.e., if PREEMPT were merged into the SWITCH routine). But this adds unnecessary overhead to the "cooperative" SWITCH. The code presented here clearly shows the extra context-switching overhead of a preemptive multitasker.

LISTING 1

: Camelforth Multitasker for the Intel 8051
: C) 1996 Bradford J. Rodriguez
: Permission is granted to freely copy, modify, and distribute this program for personal or educational use. Commercial inquiries should be directed to the author at 115 S 1st St., #105, Collingwood, Ontario L9Y 4W3 Canada

.equ dr1,h'01 ; r1 as direct register

; The key word of the multitasker is SWITCH.
; It saves the working registers AND the return stack of the currently executing task to a storage area in external RAM. Then it gets the saved registers and return stack of the new task, restores them, and continues execution wherever the new task left off.

; Registers as they are saved:
; 01 (R1): saved Parameter Stack pointer.
; 02 (R2): future use
; 03 (R3): -
; 04 (R4): -
; 05 (R5): loop index
; 06 (R6): loop index
; 07 (R7): -
; 08: P2. User Area pointer high
; 09...N: return stack (N is given by SP)

; DPTR is not saved, since it is consumed by
; SWITCH. (It is the address of the new task's save area, UAREA-100h.)

; Note that these are stored backwards in external RAM, starting at address UAREA-100h. Thus the save area of a newly created task should look like:
; SP: 0Ah
; 0A,09: init'1 Program Counter, hi byte first
; 08: task's User Pointer high (stack page)
; 07,06: xxx
; 05,04: xxx
; 03,02: xxx
; 01: 0Fh, initial stack pointer
; The initial stack pointer must be F6h because of the poptos at the end of SWITCH.

; SWITCH a - switch to new task
; .drw link
; .set link,*+1 .db 0,8,"SWITCH"

; SWITCH:
; mov r2,dph : stash new task addr
; mov r3,dlp : save me at UAREA-100h
; mov dph,UP : save me at UAREA-100h
; dec dph
; mov dpl,,h'0
; mov dr1,r0 : save my Pstack pointer
; : This loop copies internal RAM, from location (SP) down to 01, to external RAM. 64+n cycles.
; The length is saved as the first byte.
; mov a,sp : sp-high address.
; movx @dptr,a = length.
; mov r0,a : 00 won't be moved
; saverregs:
; mov a,@r0 : 1 cycle
; movx @dptr,a : 2 cycles
; inc dptr : 2 cycles
; djnz r0,saverregs : 2 cycles
; mov dph,r2 : now get new task
; mov dpl,r3 : This loop copies external RAM to internal RAM.
; and restores SP accordingly. 64+n cycles.
; movx a,@dptr : get high address
; inc dptr
; mov sp,a : restore Pstack pointer
; mov r0,a getregs:
; movx a,@dptr
; inc dptr
; mov @r0,a
djnz r0,getregs

; The top of this restored return stack contains:
; a return address in the new task. DPTR no longer contains its top-of-stack; so pop the new top of stack from RAM.
; mov r0,dr1 : restore Pstack pointer
; mov r2,up : set new stack page
; jmp poptos : pop TOS and return

; INITTASK xt a = initialize a task area
; Given the xt (code address) of a forth word to execute, and the address of a task's save area, fill in that save area so the given word will execute when that task is started.
; .drw link
; .set link,*+1 .db 0,8,"INITTASK"

; INITTASK:
; mov a,h'0a : length
; movx @dptr,a
; inc dptr
; movx a,@r0 : low byte of xt
; inc r0
; mov r2,a
; movx a,@r0 : high byte of xt
; inc r0
; movx @dptr,a : store high byte first
; inc dptr
; mov a,r2
; movx @dptr,a
; inc dptr
; mov a,dp : UAREA=SaveArea+100h, so
; inc a
; movx @dptr,a
; inc dptr
; mov @r0,a : DPH+1 = UAREA high byte
; inc dptr
; movx @dptr,a
; inc dptr
; mov a,h'fd : initial Pstack pointer
; movx @dptr,a
; jmp poptos
LISTING 2
(MULTITASKER TEST)

HEX 0U 100 - CONSTANT TASKO (start of "main" task area)
  TASKO 300 - CONSTANT TASKI (new task area, 768 bytes)

: TESTI BEGIN 7 EMIT TASKO SWITCH AGAIN :
  TESTI TASKI INITTASK

LISTING 3
(ROUND-ROBIN TASK LIST)

HEX -100 USER MTASK (start of current task area)
  0 USER TASKLINK (link to next task in list)

(Initialize the task list to "empty".)
: DETACH
  MTASK TASKLINK ! ;

(Insert a new task into the linked list, immediately)
(after the current task.)
: ATTACH ( a )
  TASKLINK @
  OVER TASKLINK !
  SWAP 100 + ! ;
  (prev. successor becomes new)
  (task's successor)

(Switch to the next task in the list.)
: PAUSE TASKLINK @ SWITCH :

(EXAMPLE)
DETACH
MTASK CONSTANT TASKO
  TASKO 300 - CONSTANT TASKI
: TESTI BEGIN 7 EMIT PAUSE AGAIN :
  TESTI TASKI INITTASK
  TASKI ATTACH

LISTING 4

: PREEMPT
  force a task switch
  if entered from an interrupt, this will cause
  a switch to the next task in the round robin.
  the task link must be in the first cell of the
  user area (user variable 0U). Note that this
  is an assembler subroutine and must not be
  called as a forth word.

PREEMPT:
  push psw ; save regs used by SWITCH
  push acc
  push b
  push dr1
  push dr2
  push dr3
  lcall pushtos : DPTR saved on Data stack!
  mov dph.UP : fetch task link...
  mov dpl.00
  movx a,0dptr
  mov r2.a
  inc dptr
  movx a,0dptr
  mov dpl.r2
  mov dph.a : ...to DPTR
  acll SWITCH : switch to next task
  ; Execution will resume here when the round-robin
  ; comes back to this task. Note that the last
  ; action of SWITCH is to restore DPH:DPL from the
  ; Data stack, with "poptos",
  pop dr3 : restore regs
  pop dr2
  pop dr1
  pop b
  pop acc
  pop psw
  reti

: Sample timer 0 interrupt, entered when timer 0
  rolls over from FFFF to 0000. The interrupt
  flag is automatically cleared when the
  interrupt service routine is entered.
CLOCK:
Since we have worked our way through all of the C programming lessons that I had prepared, I thought this time we would talk more generally about writing a program to solve a problem. This column has come about because a reader has written me a couple of letters asking me to help him with two BASIC programs. I told him that his problem was not BASIC, but rather one of looking at a problem and figuring out a way to solve it. A procedure that solves a problem is called an algorithm. I thought I would present his request and my solution.

The writer is interested in analyzing the Lottery numbers for his state. The request was essentially:

Given a list of a number of winning lottery numbers (i.e. historical data), write a program that would report the number of times a given number (in the range of 1 to 39 for his state) had appeared. If a number appears one day (you might read "drawing" where I have used day here) and not the next, that fact is recorded as 1 hit. If a number appears 2 days consecutively but not on the third day, that is recorded as 2 hits (or a double hit), etc. The output is to be a table printed out on a printer. I organized the data per the writer's illustration, list the numbers 1 to 39 in the left hand column. Next column is to contain a count of the single hits in the data. Third column is to be a count of the double hits in the data, etc. with columns for up to 6 hits. The data file for a number of days is reproduced below.

2, 7, 12, 27, 29
1, 8, 21, 31, 39
1, 12, 24, 28, 30
1, 9, 10, 21, 37
2, 36, 38, 39
2, 5, 9, 13, 30, 32
8, 18, 25, 32, 33
1, 12, 24, 28, 30
1, 9, 10, 21, 37
3, 6, 15, 19, 38
4, 7, 27, 32, 38
1, 11, 29, 32, 37
1, 15, 21, 23, 26
1, 5, 26, 28, 33
6, 20, 25, 26, 35
1, 17, 18, 27, 32
9, 19, 21, 28, 39
1, 4, 23, 28, 30
1, 3, 6, 15, 20
5, 8, 12, 22, 34
4, 5, 26, 28, 38

The program below is in Quick BASIC. This BASIC has provision for integer variables, unlike some of the older BASIC interpreters. I have chosen not to use them in order to keep this little more like some of the older BASICS. First we'll dimension some arrays. Then we will get into the three phases of the program. I decided to read the data file into an array so I could manipulate the values if required. I hadn't thought the whole problem through at the time, but it turned out that an easy approach was to read through the data 39 times, once for each possible number. Reading through an array a number of times is faster than closing and re-opening a data file a number of times.

```
10 DIM LD(5, 100): REM NUMBERS, DAY
20 DIM HT(39, 5): REM NUMBERS, HITS
30 REM WE WILL USE A VARIABLE HC = THE HIT COUNT
40 OPEN "LOTTO.DAT" FOR INPUT AS #1
50 D = 1
60 INPUT #1, LD(1, D), LD(2, D),
    LD(3, D), LD(4, D), LD(5, D)
70 D = D + 1
80 IF EOF(1) THEN 100
90 GOTO 60
100 CLOSE #1
110 L = D: REM LAST DAY NUMBER +1
```

I didn't use a FOR D = 1 to 100 loop because some BASIC interpreters won't guarantee the value of D if you escape the FOR-NEXT loop with a GOTO, i.e. get out of the loop early because of detecting End of File in the present case. This program as written will crash if the input file has more than 100 data lines. A test for D = 100 ought to be inserted for safety. Of course, if you know you are going to have 150 data items you can simply increase the dimensions of the arrays in the program.

This next section is simply to see that we got the right numbers into our array. If you do something like this you can just as well delete it when you are done testing the first part of the program.

```
130 REM TEST INPUT DATA
140 FOR D = 1 TO 2
150 PRINT "DAY": D; "": "
160 FOR N = 1 TO 5
170 PRINT LD(N, D): "": "
180 NEXT N
190 PRINT
200 NEXT D
210 PRINT: PRINT: PRINT
```

Now we read the data file once for each possible number 1 to 39. In the code below the variable F is a "flag" that says a hit was found for the day. If it was found it was counted by incrementing HC. If there was no hit but we had accumulated a hit count from previous day's data, we increment the output array for the present number (K) and the number of hits that have been accumulated. Thus if we are looking at K = 1 and have HC = 2 F = 0, we increment HT(1, 2) and set HC to 0.
The remainder is simply to print the table. If we want to output to a printer in the present case of Qbasic we change PRINT to LPRINT for the table.

As I have been preparing this for this column, I found a simplification that indicates I hadn't thought it through very thoroughly the first time. I could therefore provide a good example of a poor program but I think I won't... Well, OK. I had made HC an array of dimension 39. It then came to me that I was handling one number at a time and only used HC(1) the first time through the loop, HC(2) the second time, etc. Therefore, HC didn't need to be an array. I can conceive of a more complex algorithm that could use HC as an array and only make one pass through the data, but that might be the subject for another time. By the way, I did something sneaky. The LD array was dimensioned 100... BASIC always initializes variables and arrays to all zeros. In the center working loop of the program I used the limit L which is one larger than the number of days of the data. All the numbers in LD(L) will be zero. The Flag F will be 0 and the remaining hit count if any will be written to the HT array. This is an easy way to insure that we don't leave any dangling hit counts at the end of the loop.

Let me warn you, however, that most other languages don't initialize variables and arrays unless you specifically do so in your code. If you were to write this program in C, you would have to do that, either clear the whole LD array initially in a double loop setting all the elements to zero, or clearing LD(L) after the limit has been established.

The Lottery

Having presented a Lottery data analysis program I feel compelled to add my thoughts on the whole subject. You might be wondering why anyone would want such data.

One group would argue that the number I came up more than any other in the data, so the random number generator must be "biased" toward 1. Those folks would bet on a larger number of 1s and reduce the bets on numbers that didn't show up very often.

A second group would reason that the law of averages dictates that all the numbers should show up equally. There have been a large number of 1s, so in the future there have to be less occurrences of 1 so the longterm average will balance out.

The truth of the matter is (disallowing that one ping-pong ball could be biased to select itself more often) that ping-pong balls have no memory of the past. If a number has occurred more often than expected after 20 tries, it is probable that after 40 tries it will have occurred more often than expected by about the number of excess in the first 20 tries. That is, no matter what the previous record, the best guess concerning the future is still entirely random.

The person that wrote for help has assured me that he is using the data and program(s) to follow the winners and see how well he could have done had he played. A friend of mine calls the lottery the stupid tax. He says it works very well, because the dumbler you are the more you pay!

In the present case of picking 5 out of 39 numbers, the probability of winning is very small. You have 5 chances in 39 of your first pick being in the winning numbers. There are four winners left so you have 4 chances in 38 of picking the second winning number etc. The probability of winning is 5/39 * 4/38 * 3/37 * 2/36 * 1/35 or 0.00000017368 by my calculator. That is one chance in 575,757. That is "on the average" there would be one winner for every 575,757 tickets purchased. This is not a guarantee, just an average. The only way to guarantee a win would be to buy 575,757 tickets and bet on all the possible combinations.

The deterrent to this sure win strategy is the very real possibility of someone else picking the winning combination too. People who have this much spare change to throw away are not generally of a mind to take such a chance. If they were, they probably wouldn't have a fortune.

I was listening to the radio the other day on the way to work. The MC was interviewing someone who wrote a book on playing the Lottery. He guaranteed that by using his "system" you could cut your average losses to only 40%. While I suppose cutting your losses such that on the average you only lose 40 cents on a dollar ticket might be a bit appealing, his system still won't make you a winner.

As I write this, the Michigan Lottery has a payoff of $43,000,000. Our Lottery was changed a while ago to make the winning probability a lot smaller in order to make the jackpots a lot larger. We have 49 numbers, and pick 6. The probability of a win is 0.00000007151124, or 1 in 13,983,820. The state quotes the chances as 1 in 14,000,000, so this looks like the correct calculation. I am assuming in both cases that the order of the numbers doesn't matter.

(Later) There were three winners of the $43,000,000 so it was split as I mentioned seems to happen when it gets so large that people flock to the sales places to buy tickets. The line was 8 or 10 deep at the convenience store this afternoon when I went to buy something other than a lottery ticket. By the way, I have heard that the Michigan State Lottery Commission uses several sets of numbered ping-pong balls, and on a given day chooses the set randomly. Thus if someone does detect a bias in the data over a period of time, it is no guarantee that the set chosen for a given day will have that same bias.
I just heard the oxymoron of the century, “The Michigan Lottery Smart Play”. (An oxymoron is an incongruous pair or more of words, like “terribly good” or “Military Inteligence”).

Miscellaneous

We have a friend who retired from teaching high school English about six years ago. At the time she was interested in trying her skills as an author so she asked me for help in getting a computer that wouldn't cost an arm and a leg. We found one at the local Service Merchandise Store. It is an XT clone with a 30Meg RLL hard drive and 640K of memory. I found an older CGA card with composite video output and donated it and an old monochrome monitor that would work with it. We found a used daisy wheel printer for $200 and she was in business.

A couple of months ago I received a phone call from her. It seems her son in San Francisco had suggested that she get on CompuServe so she could exchange Email messages with him. He sent a 2400 baud internal modem and a disk containing CompuServe’s software package and offer of ten hours of free service. I agreed to install the modem when it arrived and I recruited my son-in-law to be, Joe, to help her get registered and to set up the computer so it would be easy to get on CompuServe.

The first snag was that the computer has only a 360K drive, but the software arranged on a 5" high density drive. Well, I thought, I’ll just go home and copy the software to a few 360K disks that I pre-formatted on the old XT. Second snag was that one of the files was longer than 360K!

I took the system home to work on getting the software transferred and found a nice shareware program that would split the long file so it would fit the smaller capacity disks. We installed the software and I added the modem, which we checked out by dialing a local bulletin board, and then I got a better idea. I had a 286 system in my collection that would run 7 times faster than the XT and perhaps make it less tedious to talk to CompuServe since the computer could respond faster. Problem was that I didn’t have a display for the 286.

I looked around the junk pile at work and found a monochrome VGA monitor that somebody had discarded because it supposedly had a problem. I had been told to throw it away. I dusted it off and tried it out, and it seemed to work fine, so I threw it away in my car and connected it to the 286 system. I then transferred a number of directories full of files from the XT to this AT and ran it for a week, several times overnight to give it a little test. All worked fine so I brought it back to our friend and installed it announcing that I had substituted a faster computer and a much nicer monitor. Joe got her duly signed up with CompuServe and we were all happy.

A week went by and I received a call that the hard drive didn’t always start. There would be a Hard Drive Failure message on the screen. It had only happened once to me, the evening that I installed the computer. Meanwhile I had picked up another 40Mbyte hard drive (IDE) so I thought I would exchange drives. System came back to our house and I spent a couple more evenings changing drives and moving files.

The system got re-installed and all was well for a couple of weeks. Then I received another call. “The monitor went blank while I was editing”. We decided to go back to the XT and CGA/mono monitor. I had by then had great success with INTERLINK, the utility in DOS 6.0 and beyond to connect two computers via serial null modem cable so I thought I would bring the XT back and simply copy files via serial link. I couldn’t get the serial link working (repaired since) so I brought both computers home again (fortunately only 1/2 mile or so) and once more transferred files via 360K disks (about a dozen of them)... I’ve reinstalled the original system with modem and CompuServe software and so far no complaints.

I decided to try to repair the monitor. I rarely throw any non-working computer system component of any possible value away without trying to fix it so I removed the case and ran it for awhile. One semiconductor device got very hot and heated its heatsink to (estimated) about 140 degrees F. I suspected this device as the culprit, but it had no numbers on it that made any sense. I blew on it with a small fan and delayed the shutdown for a very long time, but eventually it would cut out. When it was working the picture was “perfect” and when it quit the screen went blank.

Due to lack of a schematic or a reference for the semiconductor device (a three terminal TO-220 package) I finally gave up. A new monochrome monitor can be had for about $90 and I had spent a week of evenings and half of Memorial Day on the project. I didn’t think it would be worth any more of my time. I assumed the device to be a transistor emitter follower with a problem, and connected a transistor thus. The terminal didn’t work very well, though the current was much less and the transistor didn’t get very warm. I took the monitor back to work and did what I was told to do with it in the first place (throw it away). I now have two old 286 systems that work fine. I bought a VGA switch box so I can run one of them on my main monitor by switching it from my “good” computer temporarily.

We win some and we lose some. Don’t expect success every time you find a $10 computer at a garage sale. Persistence sometimes wins out, but not always. I still remain a willing recipient of old computer components working or otherwise. I feel it is always worth a little time to look at a non-working component because sometimes the problem is both obvious and simple to fix (like a broken wire in a video connector).

If you pay $10 for a computer and manage to blow it up while trying to get it running, or to find out that it has a problem that will prevent it from working without investing in, say a hard drive, you can chalk it up to education. Besides, after you have collected two or three ten dollar computers you will surely have enough working parts to be able to make one or two that run.

A technician that works with me has a friend who makes a “garbage run” in Detroit on garbage days. He watches the curb for anything that looks like a computer or monitor. Sometimes the free goodies actually work and sometimes they are good for parts. Among the items has been a working 286
based PS-2 system. Nothing super, but a working computer with a hard drive. The only problem with PS-2 systems is that they are completely unexpandable with plain vanilla AT bus parts. They require special microchannel bus cards and disk drives made specifically for the PS-2. I suspect IBM wanted to come up with their own hardware so they could corner the market. I think the scheme backfired when people found out what they could do to upgrade their PS-2 hardware (throw it away and buy a new one), and realized that purchasers of AT clones had all kinds of ways to go to upgrade.

At any rate, it is fun collecting old computers and trying to make usable systems out of them.

Since this is still a bit shorter than usual and since I have another tale for you, I'll go on a little. The other day, a friend of mine brought me a casually 286 processor board. It came out of a computer he upgraded for a friend. The computer had quit and refused to boot. On taking it apart he discovered that the backup battery (Ni Cad) had leaked and the alkaline electrolyte had begun to attack the copper conductors. The stuff actually crept along the conductors under the solder mask. Fortunately most of the conductors in the vicinity of the battery were power busses, so they were fairly large. I found the corrosion not to be soluble in water. I had to scrape it off after removing the battery. In the process, I scraped all the way through what remained of a couple of conductors, but a careful check with a multi-meter showed that all the feed-throughs were still connected and a couple of short wirejumpers soldered across the breaks in the conductors made them continuous again from the power connector through to the buss connectors.

I opened one of my old computers and attached the power supply, moving the VGA board to the repaired motherboard. On power up, the monitor came up and told me I didn't have a bootable drive, so next the combination floppy and IDE drive card was moved to the repaired board. This time the system booted and I could run the software that was on the hard drive connected to the IDE controller. I've put that board aside for future reference. Now I have three 286 based 12 MHz AT systems. Two are in cases and complete except for a monitor. The third is just this extra board. I'll find a replacement Nicad battery for it and it will be available to help someone out as soon as I can scrounge a few more hard drives.

Programming

I found an offer in a catalog from a company called SurplusSoftware Inc. 489 N. 8th Street, Hood River OR 97031. I include their address in case you would like to write for a catalog. Basically they buy leftover "old versions" and software inpromotional packaging and sell it at some very good prices if you can find something you want. In their last catalog was a CD-ROM called Language/OS published by Knowledge Media Inc. The ad promotes the disk as containing complete source code for 100 computer languages, operating systems and tools. At $13.50 I couldn't resist. I found a lot of stuff for UNIX, but there were some other goodies for DOS. Many of the things offered were written several years ago and are set up for 8086/88 systems.

Among the goodies I found were a complete Fig FORTH package, a Modula-2 package, and a complete BASIC inter-

preter with source code in C. I found to my delight that the package compiled using Turbo C version 3.0.

After my initial delight I started to use the package BywaterBASIC version 1.1. I found a few bugs, the largest being that any negative floating point number printed out as an integer. Print 1.234 got me 1.234000, but print -1.234 got me -1. Having the complete source code, I first sent a letter off to the author asking if there might be some updates available. V 1.1 was dated 1992, so it is fairly likely. Meanwhile, I began to look through it and insert print statements here and there to track the progress of the variable through the print routine module. I discovered that it was getting fouled up by the routine that decided how many digits to print. It did an fmod(dval ,d) and compared the remainder with a constant, dropping out of a loop that incremented the number of digits after the decimal point starting at a value of zero. It occurred to me that perhaps fmod() would return a negative number which would be less than the constant in any case, but would work properly with positive arguments. I added an fabs() function to take the absolute value of the value before the mod calculation, and suddenly I had negative numbers printing just fine.

Next problem was that the interpreter doesn't properly handle unary negation, i.e. I set a variable pi = 3.14159. print PI now works fine but another assignment statement a=-pi chokes on syntax error. Obviously the expression handler doesn't like two operators in a row (= -). It bought a = 0 - pi, so obviously the author simply overlooked unary negative. (A unary operator operates on one argument. Operators that need two arguments such as * or / are called binary operators (no relation to binary numbers other than the fact that the number 2 is involved in both).

I have not found the problem there. The expression evaluator is fairly complex (as are most) and there seems to be no provision for the unary minus operation. I tried a few things to attempt to fix the problem but I will have to spend a lot more time learning how it works before I will be able to fix it properly. I think I'll wait for news on newer versions, at least for awhile before I spend a lot more time on it.

It occurred to me that maybe these problems were because of a difference between Turbo C and the compiler used on the original code, so I went back and found the original .exe file on the CD-ROM. It worked identically. I think you would find the story in the documentation interesting.

I found I could do a few nasty things in the way of errors that would make the interpreter go West, though it has extensive error trapping and reporting features. I'll have to spend some time tracking down how to trap a few more syntax errors. Loading a program that didn't have line numbers (a QBASIC program) went OK but an attempt to list it caused it to go into an infinite loop listing blank lines and it required a reset to get out.

Last Word on Bywater Basic — My letter to the author was returned as was my Email attempt. As nice as it might be to have a BASIC interpreter with complete source code, I decided that since I already have QBASIC, which works fine, I would find some more interesting projects to do, so I abandoned further efforts on the project.
I/O Software and Operating Systems

by Dave Baldwin

The primary performance limit on I/O software, such as serial communication programs, is the amount of time available to perform the task. This is determined by the speed of the system and by the amount of time that is used by the system which is not available to your program. Interrupts, timer overhead, and background processing limit the amount of processing time available for your program.

Operating systems can have a serious impact on the performance of your programs. Some have a large amount of background processing activity that eat up system resources. Some use memory and I/O management hardware to prevent direct access by 'unauthorized' software. To determine whether your program can actually perform it's job, you need to look at the amount of time available and the kind of access allowed under a particular system.

There are five different levels of Operating Systems in my scheme, levels 0 thru 4, with each level having different programming requirements. Level 0 is the minimal single board computer with a ROM monitor or you might have to write the monitor yourself. Level 4 is Unix, Linux, and the other multi-user, multitasking operating systems where you are not allowed to write programs that do simple I/O.

Level 4 problems can be added to the lower levels when they include a network that allows others to access resources on your machine. Sharing files, printers, and modems with other machines turns a single user system into a kind of multi-user system. File transfers to and from your disks and remote printing to your local printer can make it very difficult to predict the response time of your program. The interrupts and background processing required to maintain the network connection take an unpredictable amount of time and resources.

Level 0

Your basic single board computer usually comes without an 'operating system' and provides very little built-in I/O. It's expected that you bought it (or designed it) and plan to write your programs to control the hardware - so it's all going to be DIY. Yes, I know that you can buy SBC's that include DOS, Windows, Unix/Linux, etc., but I don't call such systems a 'basic single board computer' (they're really levels 2 to 4).

The advantage of the basic SBC is that you can do it all yourself. Timer interrupts don't have to do anything extra to keep track of 'system events' or related background processing. All other interrupts will be directly related to your task and, since you wrote them, you will be able to account for the time they take.

The disadvantage of such a system is that you HAVE to do it all yourself. You can buy Real Time Operating systems to help you manage your system. You have to take into account the overhead required by them, the learning curve, the lack of source code, and waiting for someone else to fix system bugs.

Level 1

CP/M and similar OS's like early versions of PC/MS-DOS are at Level 1. They provide a file system, console I/O, and printer output. Decent serial I/O is up to you. What they don't have is a lot of overhead. Maybe a simple periodic timer interrupt, though even that, on a slow system, can limit performance. Timer interrupts are used for disk time-out functions and some have video interrupts for screen control functions (like the Kaypro screen interrupt which can limit serial performance to 2400 baud if not dealt with). Everything else is DIY with very little interference (or help) from the OS. Recent release of source code for these OS's means some 'tweaking' of the code is now possible. You could build your own real-time system based on one of these 'free' source code releases, and thus be able to shift it to handle your needs better.

Level 2

Recent versions of PC/MS-DOS are at Level 2. Although they do provide many more drivers for different devices, you can still write and run your own I/O programs. In fact, some of the device drivers (like the COM driver) are so poor that you have to write your own if you want decent performance.

The biggest difference between Level 1 and Level 2 operating systems is the amount of background overhead. On 386+ PC systems, the SMARTDRV disk caching program is normally installed along with HIMEM.SYS, the memory management program. These two programs 'hook' most of the system interrupts and do their work in the background. The timer interrupt in particular is used by SMARTDRV to tell whether it is time to write data to disk.

This 'background' work can take a fair amount of CPU time and limit I/O performance. A simple example of these effects is serial transfers. My 386/33 with SMARTDRV and HIMEM.SYS loaded has problems above 19.2Kb. If I boot from a floppy without them, the same serial program will run at 57.6Kb without any errors or problems.

Level 3

Levels 0 thru 2 are fairly general purpose systems without a lot of limits. Levels 3 and 4 are designed to be 'desktop' or
'workstation' systems almost exclusively and they are intended to limit I/O access to 'approved' drivers to preserve the 'integrity' of the system. If you really have to do I/O under levels 3 or 4, you may have to buy the appropriate SDK's and development software. The learning curve can be fairly steep if all you've done is simple programming under the lower levels.

The Windows OS's are at Level 3. They are 'protected mode' single user task-switching operating systems. In 'protected mode', the memory management hardware of the 386+ CPU's is used to limit access to memory and I/O addresses. This is what initiates the 'General Protection Fault' errors you see at times in Windows.

The Windows OS's also have a lot of overhead. In a way, Windows is the main program and all you do is write subroutines that use the Windows facilities (API's). So most of the time, it's Windows that is actually running, not your program. Windows is in control, not you.

Want to display something on the screen? You make up a list of what you want and where you want it and you give it to Windows to actually put it on the screen. If you try to access video memory directly, you'll get a GPF because video memory 'belongs' to Windows, not to your program.

Each program is 'allocated' memory to use when it runs. If it tries to access memory that is not allocated to it, you get a GPF. If a program needs more memory, it has to ask Windows for more.

Windows reserves all I/O access to itself. You have to 'install' a driver that requests and gets I/O access privileges to do I/O without problems. If you try to access I/O addresses directly, you will get a GPF. Yes, I know that under Win3.1, you can run any old DOS program you want to do anything you want. You can also cause yourself problems if your DOS program uses I/O that your Windows program also uses.

Win95 and Win NT get progressively more picky about DOS I/O. Apparently, it's almost useless to try to do DOS level I/O in an NT DOS box. I'm told that every time you try to access a different I/O device, your program stops and a 'box' pops up and asks you whether you want to allow your program to access this I/O device or address. Win95 is a mix of old DOS and NT concepts. Accessing I/O however has the same problems - it's best to use VB (Visual Basic) or such if you can live within their limits (usually slower 19.2 MAX on a 486/100 or above) and some restraints on data formats.

Level 4

This is the level for Multi-user, preemptive Multitasking operating systems like Unix and Linux. Like Windows they are 'protected mode' operating systems. Unlike Windows, they are designed to keep on running if a single program causes a 'protection fault'. They just cancel the offending program and keep everything else running.

Because they are designed to keep on running in spite of errors, simple I/O is not allowed at all. All I/O is done through OS driver extensions that have to be installed correctly and request the appropriate privileges. If it can't be done through the 'device drivers', it generally can't be done at all. Keep in mind you can write new drivers - if you have the skill and knowledge - or stay within the limits of the system drivers.

Another problem with Level 4 systems is that you are sharing the machine with other users and programs. The amount of time available to your program can vary a lot. With the Windows programs in Level 3, you can still be sure that your program is the 'main' program even if you do have to account for the overhead. On a Level 4 multi-user system that really does have multiple users, you can't be sure of that. It can get very difficult (almost impossible) to predict your response time.

Networks

Networks are almost always part of Level 4 systems. They can be part of the lower level systems as well. When they are, the character of the lower level systems can change dramatically.

When networks are used to share resources between single-user machines, the background processing time becomes dependent on the overhead required to maintain the network connection and the resource requests from the other machines. High speed networks using Ethernet cards, can have the card doing a lot of the processing. Simple serial networks use the CPU's time to do everything. If you're setup to share files with other machines on the network, your ultra-fast machine can suddenly (and unpredictably) become the slowest dog in town if everybody wants files from your machine at the same time.

Conclusion

There are development kits available that claim to be able to do just about anything you want. The ads seem to proclaim that they can overcome any restrictions. I don't believe it. You can never really overcome the limits of your operating system.

Without an operating system, you're limited only by your hardware and your own software. As you go up the levels of operating systems, the OS's take progressively more time away from your program. They also add the convenience of the additional built-in functions.

When you start a project, you need to figure how much capability you need. Sometimes simple hardware can out perform faster complex systems simply because of the lack of overhead. An 8085 or a Z80 CPU and a pair of 8250 UARTs is fast enough to run continuous, nonstop, full duplex serial I/O at 38.4Kb on two ports without an operating system. A standard 386/33 PC with DOS6 and a normal installation with SMARTDRV would be hard pressed to do that because of the background overhead. You would need to upgrade the UART's to 16550's with FIFO's so that the serial ports could keep on running when the system is doing background processing.

It's not unusual to make systems that combine the different levels. Your 'standard' PC with a hard disk and a modem is the mosty common example. While the main 80x86 CPU runs DOS or another disk-based OS, there's a CPU in the hard disk that just takes care it, another in the keyboard, and another in the modem. These other CPUs are at level 0 and don't know anything about the higher level operations of the main CPU.
Since I no longer manage TCJ, my computer systems usage has been changing. When I was managing everything, I had started setting up a full networked system, with the idea in mind that at some point I would need several workstations to handle TCJ’s needs. I have completed the network and am reconsidering my needs and usage.

In the quest for more computing power, I started looking at Linux as an operating system. I have OpenLinux from Caldera installed now, and have tried Slackware and Yggdrasil as well. At work I now use Unix almost exclusively, although I still have an NT workstation for e-mail and text formatting needs.

While using Caldera for Linux, I have loaded Caldera’s OpenDos and looked at their CP/M source code. I have been playing with NT, OpenDos, and Linux in order to settle on an operating system. Since I no longer need all this horsepower, it is causing me to reconsider my computing needs.

The Choices

In reconsidering my needs, I have been pondering the concept of three system types in which way to go. The types are “stick it out”, “go for broke”, “use them all.” Unlike most choices where it is some sort of hardware or operating system that controls the decision, this is based on usage and needs.

To explain needs usage, let’s talk about the “stick it out” concept. Here the user or person is still using their first computer. By this I mean, you buy one computer complete with operating system, word processor, and most of the tools you need and never buy anything else. This could be a Kaypro, an early XT or AT, or maybe your a late bloomer and are using the latest full powered system. The main line here is not staying up with technology, but instead using the system for it’s set of utilities and needing nothing else. You’re happy, it works, and you have learned it all. Why start over again learning things that might not give you anything more than you already have?

I contrast the “stick it out” concept with the “go for broke” philosophy. Here the idea is to stay on top with the latest system and software. You are always upgrading not only hardware but software and utilities. Since you most likely are buying and selling, maybe even building your own systems, the cost is not really a factor. Most likely you end up testing, installing, and trying things constantly as you try to learn and use the latest hot item. It never ends and often it seems I spent more time installing than using when I have used this approach.

The last approach is “using them all”, where you have a little of both going on. I have been moving in this direction, although lately starting to reconsider the merits of such a move. To use them all you must understand the old and the new. There is constant learning and adaptation and tons of problems to overcome. The advantages come from using some of your old tools and platforms since you are likely to be very good at using those tools. Disadvantages come from some platforms that are very poor when used with your favorite tools. A considerable amount of time are also spent on trying to make things work.

NT to Linux

Let me review my current installation at home. I have four systems, two in the office (the old TCJ workhorses), one in the house (the wife’s and son’s Windows 95 system), and one in the workshop for downloading files from the S-100 CP/M systems. I run an Ethernet backbone that ties all the units together for backing up and transferring data between the boxes. The office units are the ones I am playing with at present, one an NT box and the other an Caldera Standard OpenLinux Server. The server has a 4.3GB hard drive and was setup with Samba to allow backup from any of the other platforms.

I had some setup problems with the Server, but once I read the books and decided it was actually the way they said, all has worked very well. You can mount the drive and move files very easily from any of my systems and I have not had any failures. Since I work on Unix systems for a living, the Linux/Unix operating procedures are not a big issue. I know enough to start and stop the system and use it as a backup platform. All the tools that Linux provides is still somewhat foreign to me, since there are just too many of them to learn.

The NT workstation has RelectionX, an X server that allows the NT workstation to act as if it was a Unix Workstation. This allows me to run the graphic interfaces to Linux on my NT station. Well, that is at least the idea. I have had considerable trouble setting it up and have yet to get it to work correctly. At my last job, I used this same package every day without the same problems I am facing. I have yet to determine the problem, but it is one of the reason I have been reconsidering my options.
What Changes

I have started re-thinking my computer usage for many reasons. One has been the amount of time spent trying to resolve the X problem. Another has been my reduced demand for computing and thus needing a big system. I am also starting to tire of being in a setup and learning mode all the time. So how do I choose one of the options I outlined above. Let's start by looking at some of the pros and cons.

One of the nice things I like about CP/M is it's small size. The other day I fired up an CP/M system to take to a show and got a big smile on my face, as I inserted the 360K floppy, hit reset, heard it load one then two tracks of data and saw the prompt. It is almost a tie as to which system takes longer to boot up, NT or Linux, but I think Linux is faster. Both systems are intended to run non-stop until they crash that is, and then hopefully they will re-boot after the crash. Do not believe the media about how solid NT is, it crashes often and you will be amazed at how often it is necessary to reboot due to adding this or that package. Linux does crash, not often, but it can. Linux does not have the "must reboot" NT syndrome when loading programs, but some changes to the kernel, will require a reboot (almost never...).

For both PCDOS and CP/M, the commands and tools are for the most part very simple and easy to learn. The set of tools is limited, but enough to do what needs to be done. Linux is the extreme in the other direction, there are hundreds of tools, most with very cryptic command lines to master. At work I still must use the "man pages" (on line documentation) often to get the correct syntax as do most Unix users. NT and WIN95 both have so many utilities that often don't follow the help descriptions of how they work, that they seems more of a problem that they are worth. NT and WIN95 both rely on "Wizards" to do the work as no person could possibly figure them out.

As to installation on a new system, PCDOS is probably the most straightforward to install. CP/M on a new platform requires many programming skills, a lot of teeth mashing, and plenty of pure luck. I did it many times years ago and do not recommend it for the faint at heart. NT and WIN95 both are simply follow the prompts and hope for the best. You stand about an equal chance of having the installation work or be screwed up. I have found their configuration problems to be from loading multiple copies of drivers that later require hand removal to get things like modems to work. The Linux community is ever striving to improve their installation procedures. I have installed all the major versions of linux and have video tapes to help explain it as well. I still make mistakes doing it and often will need two or more shots to get it working correctly. I find the lack of exit and re-entry options in their setup scripts a major problem, make a mistake and start over from the beginning (I mean hit reset and reboot).

In reconsidering my option, I have looked at word processing and text editing. I have used WordStar since version one and two, so I feel very comfortable with it. I have tried all the others and find the current crop of windows based word processors to be horrible. Word is the worst editor of them all and I find them all difficult to use despite the windows interface. For text or program editing I like my SPE (Sage Professional Editor - now called Preditor) he best because of it's multiple window cut and paste options, and especially it's column options, missing on most Windows based editors. The fact that these programs are both PCDOS based and not windows based I think is a plus, but shows up as a problems when using them in DOS Boxes on NT or 95 (run like #%%^ in DOS Boxes).

Networking is a dream on Linux, since all Unix like systems are made to work remotely. NT and W95 have built in networking but configuration will be a trail and error adventure with uncertain results. Once installed, my Linux Server works great with the other units. I can do a DOS connection, but have not yet done it, although I know it is possible as I have set up systems at work. I remember the DOS setup as straight forward and somewhat simpler than NT/W95. Networking machines does not have to be with ethernet cards and complex installations. The Little Big Lan works fine using serial ports between PC's and there is work on a Linux port and it supports a few ethernet cards as well. There is also several public domain programs for tying DOS type machines together, and some of us are even trying to figure out how to make CP/M play on the network.

The internet has become a main driving force in computing and certainly influences my choices. Until recently you were limited to a Windows or Xwindows based web browser for surfing the net. Caldera now has WebSpyder, a DOS based graphics browser, and of course there are several versions of Lynx for DOS browsing without the graphics. I like Netscape over IE for many reasons, although up until lately Netscape was by far the better when printing was done. Netscape is available on both my NT/W95 platforms and on my Linux. Another option is using programs like Plume which is a Tcl/Tk web browser. I find the options becoming more and more platform independent and thus becoming a non-issue for choice of system.

What choice next

Well I still am researching my options and would like feedback from readers on their choice. I am testing using Linux as the do all platform since it can run DOS (and some windows) programs and Unix programs. I will let you know in an article about using Linux as the do all platform of choice. Some of the problems setting up this linux test has made me consider using DOS almost entirely, only using linux as the backup server since once setup it seems to work with little intervention on my part.

I did a search the other day on the internet and was impressed with the number of DOS programs out there. I really feel it is possible to do it all on an old DOS machine. Drop me a note if you agree. Till later keep hacking.

Bill Kibler
The TCJ Store

Regular Items

Back Issues .................................................. See page 44
All Back issues of TCJ are available.

TCJ Reference Cards ................................... $3.00 + $1 S+H
So far, all we have is the Z80 Instruction Set card from Issue #77. These are on heavier stock than the one sent with the issue.

The next two items are Group Purchase Items. TCJ doesn’t have the resources to stock these for you, so we have to collect a minimum number of orders before we can provide these.

*GIDE Kits .............................................. $73
Tilmann Reh’s GIDE board was featured in several issues of TCJ. It’s a ‘Generic’ IDE board to the Z80 that plugs into the Z80 socket (you plug the 260 back into the GIDE board). This is still an experimental kit. Sample code and docs including the articles from TCJ are provided, but you have to write your own BIOS routines.

CP/M CD-ROM ........................................ $25 + $4 S+H
This is the Valhalla Creek CP/M CD-ROM (normally $39.95+ S&H) with 19,000 files from Jay Sage, David McGlone, KDK (First Osbornes Group), the Beehive BBS, the Enterprise BBS, ftp.demon,uk, and the SimTel20 CP/M collection from the Internet.

Special Items

We currently have two each of Tilmann Reh’s CPU280 boards and the IDE boards that go with them. The CPU280 was featured as the Centerfold in Issue #77 and the IDE interface was in Issue #56. These are bare boards and are not for the faint of heart. They are expensive and the parts are hard to get. But they’re fast.

*CPU280 Bare Board .................................. $150
Comes with docs and utility disk.

*IDE Bare Board ..................................... $65
Comes with docs.

*CPU280 & IDE Together ......................... $200

CP/M Kaypro Catalog

TCJ has taken over Chuck Stafford’s Kaypro business. Here’s our current catalog.

Upgrades

Advent TurboRom
K4-83 ..................................................... $35.00
K10-83 ..................................................... $35.00
K2x-84 ..................................................... $35.00

MicroCornucopia Roms
Pro 8 ..................................................... $35.00
884 Max .................................................. $35.00
884 Max (Lo) .......................................... $35.00
Character ROM ........................................ $35.00

Add-ons

HandyMan ............................................. $75.00

Disk Drives

Dual Density TEAC FD-55BV ....................... $15.00
Quad Density TEAC FD-55FR ....................... $15.00
Pair ..................................................... $25.00
ST-225 20 MByte MFM HD ......................... ??

Disk Controllers

WD-1002-05 HDO ...................................... $75.00

Tech Data

Kaypro Technical Manual ......................... $25.00

Microcornucopia Schematics with
Theory of Operation
K-l/4 83 .................................................. $15.00
K-10/83 .................................................. $15.00
All-84 .................................................... $15.00
Any two .................................................. $25.00
All three ............................................... $30.00

Software

Advent Harddisk Formatter ......................... $25.00
TurboRom Applications Patches ................... $10.00
TurboRom Developers Diskette ..................... $10.00
Kaypro 10/83 Tinker Kit ........................... $10.00
Kaypro 2,4/84 Tinker Kit ........................... $10.00
Kaypro CP/M 2.2H Autoload set 8 diskettes for K-10/84 .................. $40.00

Other Stuff

Keyboards .............................................. $30.00
Video - CRT and board ......................... $40.00
Kaypro Carrying bags ............................. $75.00

Kaypro Machines

K-11, K-2, K-4, K-10 available in various condition.

TCJ can accept credit card orders by phone, fax, or mail or you can place an order by sending a check to:

The Computer Journal
PO Box 3900, Citrus Heights
CA 95611-3900
Phone: 800-424-8825 or 916-722-4970
Fax 916-722-7480 / BBS 916-722-5799

Include your shipping address with your check, and your Internet address if you have one. For more info, contact TCJ via E-mail at tcj@psyber.com

* In Europe and particularly Germany, contact Tilmann Reh for a current price and shipping. His email address is: tilmann.reh@bigfoot.com.

His postal address is:
Tilmann Reh
Autometer GmbH
Kaenerbergstrasse 4
57076 Siegen (optional -Weidenau)
GERMANY
SUPPORT GROUPS FOR THE CLASSICS

TCJ STAFF CONTACTS

TCJ Editor: Dave Baldwin, (916)722-4970, FAX (916)722-7480 or TCJ BBS (916) 722-5799 (use “computer”, “journal”, pswd “subscriber” as log on). Email: tcj@psyber.com.

TCJ Adviser: Bill D. Kibler, PO Box 535, Lincoln, CA 95648, (916)645-1670, E-mail: kibler@psyber.com.

32Bit Support: Rick Rodman, 1150 Kettle Pond Lane, Great Falls, VA 22066-1614. Real Computing BBS or Fax: +1-703-759-1169. E-mail: rickcr@erols.com

Kaypro Support: Charles Stafford, on the road somewhere. Email: CIS 73664,2470 (73664,2470@compuserve.com). TCJ has taken over Chuck's Kaypro parts and upgrade business.

S-100 Support: Herb Johnson, 59 Main Blvd. Ewing, NJ 08618 (609)771-1503. Also sells used S-100 boards and systems. E-mail: hjohnson@pluto.nj.cc. Web page: http://pluto.nj.cc/~hjohnson/

6800/6809 Support: Ronald Anderson, 3540 Sturbridge Ct., Ann Arbor, MI 48105. Email: rwilande@concentric.com

Z-System Support: Jay Sage, 1435 Centre St. Newton Centre, MA 02159-2469, (617)965-3552, BBS: (617)965-7046; E-mail: Sage@ll.mit.edu

REGULAR CONTRIBUTORS

Brad Rodriguez, Box 77, McMaster Univ., 1280 Main St. West, Hamilton, ONT, L8S 1C0, Canada. Email: bl@zetetics.com

Frank Sergeant, 809 W. San Antonio St., San Marcos, TX 78666, E-mail: pygmy@pobox.com.

Tilmann Reh designed the GIDE board and has many programs for CP/M+ and is active with Z180/280 ECB bus/Modular/Embedded computers. Microcontrollers (8051). E-mail: tilmann.reh@bigfoot.com

Helmut Jungkunz, Munich, Germany, ZNODE #51,8N1,300-14.4,+49.89.961 4575. Email: helmut.jungkunz@metronet.de

USER GROUPS

Connecticut CPM Users Group, contact Stephen Griswold, PO Box 74, Canton CT 06019-0074, BBS: (203)665-1100. Sponsors Z-fests.

SMUG, Sacramento Microcomputer Users Group, has disbanded after all these years.


The Windsor Bulletin Board Users’ Group: England, Contact Rodney Hannis, 34 Falmouth Road, Reading, RG2 8QR, or Mark Minting, 94 Undley Common, Lakenheath, Brandon, Suffolk, IP27 9BZ, Phone 0842-860469 (also sells NZCOM/ Z3PLUS).

NATGUG, the National TRS-80 Users Group, Roger Storr, Oakfield Lodge, Ram Hill, Coalpit Heath, Bristol, BS17 2TY, UK. Tel: +44 (0)1454 772920.

L.I.S.T.: Long Island Sinclair and Timex support group, contact Harvey Rait, 5 Peri Lane, Valley Stream, NY 11581.

ADAM-Link User’s Group, Salt Lake City, Utah, BBS: (801)484-5114. Supporting Coeco ADAM machines, with Newsletter/BBS.

Adam International Media, Adam’s House, 1829-1 County Road 130, Pearland, TX 77581-5040. Contact Terry Fowler for information. Web: http://WWW.Augusta.net/~coleo

AUGER, Emerald Coast ADAM Users Group, PO Box 4934, Fort Walton Beach FL 32549-4934, (904)244-1516. Contact Norman J. Deere, treasurer and editor for pricing and newsletter information.

MOAUG, Metro Orlando Adam Users Group, Contact James Poulin, 1146 Manatee Dr. Rockledge FL 32955, (407)631-0958.

Metro Toronto Adam Group, Box 165, 260 Adelaide St. E., Toronto, ONT M5A 1N0, Canada, (416)424-1352.

Omaha ADAM Users Club, Contact Norman R. Castro, 809 W. 33rd Ave. Bellevue NE 68005, (402)291-4405. Suppose to be oldest ADAM group.

Vancouver Island Senior ADAMphilics, ADVISA newsletter by David Coleby, 17-885 Berwick Rd. Qualicum Beach, B.C., Canada V9K 1N7, (604)752-1984. Email: dcooleb@qi.island.net

Northern Illiana ADAMs User’s Group, 9389 Bay Colony Dr. #3E, Des Plaines IL 60016, (708)296-0675.

San Diego OS-9 Users Group, Contact Warren Hrach (619)221-8246, BBS: (619)224-4878.

The San Diego Computer Society (SDCS) is a broad spectrum organization that covers interests in diverse areas of software and hardware. It is an umbrella organization to various Special Interest Groups (SIGs). Voice information recordings are available at 619-549-3787.

The Dina-SIG part of SDCS is primarily for Z-80 based computers from Altair to Zorba. The SIG sponsored BBS - the Elephant’s Graveyard (619-454-8412) - is open to all callers who are interested in Z-80 and CPM related machines and software. Contact Don Maslin, head of the Dina-SIG and the sysop of the BBS at 619-454-7392. Email: dnom@cts.com.

Forth Interest Group, PO Box 2154, Oakland CA 94621 510-89-FORTH. International support of the Forth language, local
chapters.

The Pacific Northwest Heath Users Group, contact Jim Moore, 1554 - 16th Avenue East, Seattle, WA 98112-2807. Email: bc483@scn.org.

The SNO-KING Kaypro User Group, contact Donald Anderson, 13227 2nd Ave South, Burien, WA 98168-2837.

SeaFOG (Seattle FOG User's Group, Formerly Osborne Users Group) PO Box 12214, Seattle, WA 98102-0214.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

The Z-Letter - has ceased publication.

The Analytical Engine, by the Computer History Association of California, 4159-C El Camino Way, Palo Alto, CA 94306-4010. Home page: http://www.chac.org/chac/ E-mail: engine@chac.org

Z-100 LifeLine, Steven W. Vagts, 2409 Riddick Rd. Elizabeth City, NC 27909, (919)338-8302. Publication for Z-100 (an S-100 machine).

The Staunch 8/89' er, Kirk L. Thompson editor, PO Box 548, West Branch IA 52358, (319)643-7136. $15/yr(US) publication for H-8/89.


the world of 68' micros', by FARNA Systems, PO Box 321, Warner Robins, GA 31091-0321. E-mail: dstrfox@delphi.com. New magazine for support of old CoCo's and other 68x(x) systems.

Amstrad PCW User's SIG, newsletter by Al Warsh, 6889 Crest Avenue, Riverside, CA 92503-1162. $9 for 6 bi-monthly newsletters on Amstrad CP/M machines.

Historically Brewed, A publication of the Historical Computer Society. Bimonthly at $18 a year. HCS, 2962 Park Street #1, Jacksonville, FL 32205. Editor David Greelish. Computer History and more.

IQLR (International QL Report), contact Bob Dyl, 15 Kilburn Ct. Newport, RI 02840. Subscription is $20 per year. Email: IQLR@ncnet.com.

QL Hacker's Journal (QHJ), Timothy Swenson, 5615 Botkins Rd., Huber Heights, OH 45424, (513) 233-2178, sent mail & E-mail: swensotc@ss2.sews.wpafb.af.mil. Free to programmers of QL's.

Update Magazine, PO Box 1095, Peru, IN 46970, Subs $18 per year, supports Sinclair, Timex, and Cambridge computers. Email: ffdavis@holli.com.

SUPPORT BUSINESSES


Sydex, PO Box 5700, Eugene OR 97405, (541)683-6033. Sells several CP/M programs for use with PC Clones ("22Disk' format/copies CP/M disks using PC files system).

Elliam Associates, PO Box 2664, Atascadero CA 93423, (805)466-8440. Sells CP/M user group disks and Amstrad PCW products. Email:??

Discus Distribution Services, Inc. sells CP/M for $150, CBASIC $600, Fortran-77 $350, Pascal/MT+ $600. 8020 San Miguel Canyon Rd., Salinas CA 93907, (408)663-6966.


Star-K Software Systems Corp. PO Box 209, Mt. Kisco, NY 10549, (914)241-0287, BBS: (914)241-3307. S/K*DOs 6809/6800 operating system and software. Some educational products, call for catalog.

Peripheral Technology, 1250 E. Piedmont Rd., Marietta, GA 30062-2821, (404)973-2156. 6809/6800 single board system. 68K ISA bus compatible system.

Hazelwood Computers, RR#1, Box 36, Hwy 94@Bluffton, Rhinelander, MO 65069, (314)236-4372. Some SS-50 6809 boards and new 68000 systems.


GIMIX/OS-9, GMX, 3223 Arnold Lane, Northbrook, IL 60062, (800)559-0909, (708)559-0909, FAX (708)559-0942. Repair and support of new and old 6800/6809/68K/SS-50 systems.

n/SYSTEMS, Terry Hazen, 21460 Bear Creek Rd, Los Gatos CA 95030-9429, (408)354-7188, sells and supports the MDISK add-on RAM disk for the Ampro L.B. PCB $29, assembled PCB $129, includes driver software, manual.

Corvatek, 561 N.W. Van Buren St. Corvallis OR 97330, (503)752-4833. PC style to serial keyboard adapter for Xerox, Kaypro, Franklin, Apples, $129. Other models supported.

Morgan, Thielmann & Associates services NON-PC compatible computers including CP/M as well as clones. Call Jerry Davis for more information (408) 972-1965.


Trio Company of Cheektowaga, Ltd., PO Box 594, Cheektowaga NY 14225, (716)892-9630. Sells CP/M (& PC) packages: InfoStar 1.5 ($160); SuperSort 1.6 ($130), and WordStar 4.0 ($130).

Parts is Parts, Mike Zinkow, 137 Barkley Ave., Clifton NJ 07011-3244, (201)340-7333. Supports Zenith Z-100 with parts and service.

The Computer Journal Back Issues
Sales limited to supplies in stock.
This article started out as an email article which is why the format is slightly odd. I plan on converting the TCJ/DIBs BBS to Linux one of these days (in my spare time) and I keep on asking Bill about Unix/Linux. We felt we ought to publish it for everyone.

More Power

Notes on upgrading to Linux

In a recent Computer Corner, I discussed moving from NT back to DOS or ahead to Linux. I was rather unhappy with NT’s poor performance, especially items like crashing often or incredibly poor speed when using DOS boxes. Since I do more DOS type operations than windows it seemed that maybe going back to DOS might be an appropriate change. However I use Unix at work and have come to like having many terminal screens open and being able to cut and paste between text screens and so changing to Linux sounds better. It will also give me the chance to test how well Linux does DOS and Windows (which it can).

I had NT up and running, but tried to do my last article using PageMaker 5 and found several functions to NOT work correctly. I use version 6.5 on a NT station at work and was a bit surprised to see the difference. I think it has to do with changes to NT over Win3.1 API interfaces. The fact that it was different - sort of pushed me over the edge. For me that was the last I wanted anything to do with NT. So next up was backing off all the needed files and changing over to Linux.

In my setup, I already have a Linux server running with a very large drive.

It uses samba and thus works very well as remote DOS file system to save files off to. I started copying files over and ran into a few problems. Linux worked just fine, but first the NT DOS box locked up while trying to delete subdirectories that had been transferred. It ended up sending a prompt message to the printer, which I had to turn off to stop printing even after closing the DOS box.

After dumping my D: and E: partitions, I tried to dump the main or C: drive. I copied about ten files then hit a protected file which stopped everything. The swap or pagefile.sys can not be copied, and thus so much for backing up a drive with it. I thought I could get around this by changing the configuration setup and move the pagefile to one of my other drives, and then tried, just to see how many other files will stop the backup. It didn’t work since changing the pagefile location doesn’t remove the old pagefile, which can leave an unused 70meg file that can’t be deleted! So I just fdisk’d all away after saving some of the directories one at a time.

This is of course one of the other reason I hate NT and Win95, as I was logged in as administrator and as such should be able to do anything I want or need to do. But no, Microsoft knows better and will not let you do many things that most expert users find necessary to do. As part of this ongoing discussion I will explain how to do things in Unix or linux world. This whole topic of who has control is a great place to start explaining things.

In the Microsoft world, they know better than even the administrators. In Unix the administrator is who is in charge, whether or not they know what they are doing. It is a two edged sword, you have full control of everything and can change or do anything – including trashing or erasing the whole file system. For those of us who have spent more than a few years working on systems, this full control is absolutely necessary if you are to properly maintain your system. Many times I have had programs, users, and just power failures cause problems that being root solved.

What is “root” you say. In Unix the ultimate power user is “root.” This person or user has full control over the system. With this power comes the ability to fix anything, setup new users, erase any files, restore file systems, and just be in charge. This also explains why the manuals are very clear about making sure you log in as a normal user without root access. That way if you make a mistake, the system levels of protection will prevent a major disaster. Since “levels of protection” is a whole topic or article (to be done later), users normally can see or read system files, but not write or erase them (you have flags associated with all files controlling who can do what with the file).

To bring this start to an end, what will have happen under Linux when it finds a protected file? It skips it. A very simple solution to a common problem. If I don’t have authority to view the file, I can’t copy it either. But copy will just step over that file and continue on, which is what makes more sense and what I wanted to happen.

>From here I will blow away NT and MSDOS for good and move on to Linux as a power user. Stay tuned as I keep
you posted on my search for a better platform and teach you a few things about Linux or Unix.

Bill.

P.S.

After talking to Dave at TCJ he asked about the cutting and pasting between terminals that I mentioned in the first paragraph. First I need to make sure you understand that the reference is to Xwindows terminal sessions (mostly). These term sessions are like DOS boxes where you are running a terminal process against the host system. You have a screen or box or window that looks and feels like a terminal, in fact you can even make it emulate your favorite terminal.

These are all part of the Xwindows feature, which can be found on all the releases of Linux. You must install the X windows server for your hardware, and there are plenty of help files and utilities for that (more later). When you boot up Linux, you are given a prompt >login: from a terminal session. After logging you in, you start your X session or can have it be started automatically as part of the login process. One of the ways is to run startx and there are others depending on which X server you have. Simply put, X is like windows on a PC only a bit more standarized and can work over a network not just on your physical PC (much more on X later).

Let's take the situation where you have a very long path name to some file. In a NT DOS box you must type this in by hand, each character without mistake. Often that can be very hard. In Xwindows, you might have several window open, and one of which has this 60 character path name. No problem, move the mouse to the window with the path, hit left button and drag down the path name highlighting it. Move the pointer to the other term session, type "cd " then hit the middle button or both left and right at same time, and the highlighted text pops in place. Simple, straight forward and the same whether or not it is a program or a simple term session.

So I tried to do this on NT and at first it couldn't be done. But I tried a few other things and discovered the pull down menu in the corner. This has the resize, edit, properties options. You select edit and see "mark" which you can click on. Now you can mark the text you want, and hit return which puts the test in the clipboard buffer. You then can go to another DOS box, program, another place in the screen and select the location to put it and select the pull down in the corner if it is a DOS box (no hot key for paste in DOS box) and the string will be pasted.

So not only are DOS boxes in NT slow, but cutting and pasting is almost useless. Now we could say they want it that way, but the point is, in Linux or Unix the idea is a terminal session is still the fastest and easiest way to enter data and handle lots of normal items. So the terminal sessions work very well and are easy to use. Most Unix users have several terminal sessions open at once, cutting and pasting between them, quickly and effortlessly. I almost never use graphic oriented programs and thus anything that must be used graphically, by that I mean only by using a mouse, is not very productive for me.

In my column I mentioned that I like SPE, a professional editor. One of the reasons I like this program is it's ability to cut and paste from text file to file without using a mouse (I have mine set up to use same keys as Wordstar). After using Unix for some time, I have found the use of the mouse for selecting just the text you need an exceptable compromise and almost as good as using my SPE which I keep planning on making a version for use under Linux.

I have loaded DOSEM or the DOS emulator on my Linux system (actually was there all along, just needed setting up) and given it a try, with SPE no less. SPE worked just fine and I was able to cut and paste between screens. Now I tried this in Xwindows, then tried it with a regular terminal or VC for virtual console.

Linux has 6 virtual consoles (not Xwindows, but keyboard based termi-
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MAGAZINES AND BOOKS

Historically Brewed. The magazine of the Historical Computer Society. Read about the people and machines which changed our world. Buy, sell and trade "antique" computers. Subscriptions $14, or try an issue for $3. HCS, 3649 Herschel St., Jacksonville, FL 32205.

Start your own technical venture! Don Lancaster's newly updated INCREDIBLE SECRET MONEY MACHINE II tells how. We now have autographed copies of the Guru's underground classic for $21.50. Synergetics Press, Box 809-J, Thatcher AZ, 85552.

THE CASE AGAINST PATENTS
Througely tested and proven alternatives that work in the real world. $33.50. Synergetics Press, Box 809-J, Thatcher AZ, 85552.

FOR SALE

TRS-80 - MODELS I, 2, III, IV, 12, 16, POCKET COMPUTER, AND COCO: Software, hardware, internal and external disk drives (360K/720K), hard drive's (both complete and bubbles), replacement motherboards, floppy drive controllers, video boards, RS-232 boards, keyboards, and more. Send 4x10 SASE for list.
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FOR SALE: THE FORTH ARCHIVE
from tageta.com on CDROM is available from Mountain View Press, Rt 2 Box 429, La Honda, CA. 94020 Ph: 415-747-0760
ghaydon@forsythe.stanford.edu.

SUPPORT / INFO WANTED

Wanted: Intel SDK-85 documentation. This is a single board design kit with the 8085 CPU, includes a hex keypad and 7 segment LED readout. I have several of these units and would consider trading for interesting older computers. Ron Wintriss, 100 Highland Ave., Lisbon, NH 03585.

Kibler Electronics

Hardware Design & Software Programming
8051, 6805, Z80, 68000, x86
PLC Support and Documentation

Bill Kibler
P.O. Box 535
Lincoln, CA 95648-0535
(916) 645-1670
email: kibler@psyber.com
http://www.psyber.com/~kibler
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**Advent Kaypro Upgrades**

TurboROM. Allows flexible configuration of your entire system, read/write additional formats and more, only $35.

Replacement Floppy drives and Hard Drive Conversion Kits. Call or write for availability & pricing.
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**CP/M SOFTWARE**

100 page Public Domain Catalog, $8.50 plus $1.50 shipping and handling. New CP/M 2.2 manual $19.95 plus shipping. Also MS-DOS software. Disk Copying including AMSTRAD. Send self addressed, stamped envelope for free flyer, Catalog $1.00.

Elliam Associates
Box 2664
Atascadero, CA 93423
805-466-8440

**VINTAGE COMPUTERS**

IBM Compatible
Tested - Used Parts for PC/XT AT PS/2
Working systems from $50
All parts including cases monitors floppy hard drives MFM RLL IDE
Technical Specs
Send 5x7 SASE to:
Vintage Computers
Paul Lawson
1673 Litchfield Turnpike
Woodbridge, CT 06525
or call for a faxed list
203-389-0104
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**More Power!**

68HC11, 80C51 & 80C166

- More Microcontrollers.
- Faster Hardware.
- Faster Software.
- More Productive.
- More Tools and Utilities.

Low cost SBC’s from $84. Get it done today! Not next month.

For brochure or applications:
AM Research
P.O. Box 43
Loomis, CA 95650-9701
1(800) 949-8051
http://www.AMResearch.com

**$79.95 68HC11**

8K EPROM for More Program Space

- Single Board Computer
- SBC-8K
- Small Ssha, 3.3-5.5V
- Low Power, 100mA
- 8192 Bytes EPROM
- 256 Bytes RAM
- 18-3 I/O Lines
- 8-AI Inputs
- Power Reset Circuit
- 8-8 Mux Clock
- 8 Bits with SBO-8C

A Complete 68HC11 Development System.
New *CodeLoad* 2.5” and Sample Programs. No EPROMs or EPROM Programmers!
500 Pages of Manuals, 3.5” Utility Disk.

LDG Electronics
1445 Parran Road
St. Leonord, MD 20685
410-586-2177